#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 455 919 PS 029 653

AUTHOR Ingraham, Sandy

TITLE Oklahoma Kids Count Factbook, 1999.

INSTITUTION Oklahoma Inst. for Child Advocacy, Inc., Oklahoma City.

Oklahoma Kids Count.

SPONS AGENCY Annie E. Casey Foundation, Baltimore, MD.

PUB DATE 1999-00-00

NOTE 189p.; For 1998 Factbook, see ED 449 882. Oklahoma Kids

Count Partnership also funded by Sarkeys Foundation,

Chickasaw Nation, Kirkpatrick Family Fund, Sonic Industries, Stillwater National Bank and Trust Company, Drs. Carl and Roger Sheldon, Presbyterian Health Foundation, Burgess Law Firm, and Crowe & Dunlevy Attorneys and Counselors at Law.

AVAILABLE FROM Oklahoma Kids Count, c/o Oklahoma Institute for Child

Advocacy, 420 NW 13th Street, Suite 101, Oklahoma City, OK

73103. Tel: 405-236-5437; Fax: 405-236-5439; e-mail:

info@oica.org; Web site: http://www.oica.org.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive

(141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC08 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Accidents; Adolescents; Birth Weight; Births to Single

Women; Child Abuse; Child Advocacy; Child Health; Child

Welfare; \*Children; \*Counties; Crime; Delinquency;

Demography; Dropout Rate; Early Parenthood; Economic Status;

Elementary Secondary Education; Enrollment; Infant Mortality; Infants; Mortality Rate; Poverty; \*Social Indicators; State Surveys; Statistical Surveys; Tables (Data); \*Trend Analysis; \*Well Being; Youth Problems

IDENTIFIERS Arrests; Child Mortality; \*Indicators; \*Oklahoma

#### ABSTRACT

This Kids Count Factbook details county and statewide trends in the well-being of Oklahoma's children. The statistical portrait is based on eight indicators of child well-being: (1) low birth weight infants; (2) infant mortality; (3) births to young teens; (4) child abuse and neglect; (5) child and teen death; (6) child poverty; (7) high school dropouts; and (8) juvenile violent crime arrests. Statewide findings, presented in the Factbook's overview, indicate that five of the eight benchmarks (low birth weight infants, child abuse and neglect, child poverty, high school dropouts, and juvenile violent crime arrests) worsened compared to data from a decade or more ago. Three benchmarks (infant mortality, births to young teens, and child death) improved over the comparable decade-old data. The Factbook presents current statewide and county data for each of the benchmarks. Each benchmark is defined, state and county trends are presented, and racial differences are indicated. The bulk of the Factbook is comprised of county profiles in which data for each of the indicators are presented graphically. Tables of county data for each indicator, methodology and sources, and a directory of Kids Count Leaders conclude the Factbook. (SD)





# A Day in the Lives of Children in Oklahoma

Every day in Oklahoma...

#### 127 babies are born

48 of the babies are born without receiving adequate prenatal care 8 of the babies are born to children (mothers under age 18) 9 of the babies are born too small

Every day in Oklahoma...

# 133 incidents of child abuse and/or neglect are investigated

37 incidents are confirmed to be child abuse and/or neglect

Every day in Oklahoma...

# 26 children drop out of school without graduating

Every day in Oklahoma...

# 89 children are arrested for a crime

3 of those are arrested for a violent crime

Every day in Oklahoma...

# 226,841 children live in poverty (1 of every 4)

20 more children join them in poverty

Every day in Oklahoma...

# 1 infant dies before his or her first birthday

Every day in Oklahoma...

1 child or teen dies

# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership funded by

# Annie E. Casey Foundation Baltimore, Maryland

## Sarkeys Foundation

# THE KIRKPATRICK FAMILY FUND

1911\N10113





Drs. Carol and Roger Sheldon

Crowe & Dunlevy
Attorneys and Counselors at Law

**Burgess Law Firm** 









# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership includes

ğ	_
ᇡ	
Υ.	۲
ğ	7

Marlo Nash, Project Coordinator, Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership Anne Roberts, Executive Director

#### Data Partner

Howard Barnett, Secretary of Commerce Jeff Wallace, Research Analyst Oklahoma Department of Commerce

#### Leadership Partner

Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth Tom Kemper, Executive Director

#### Design Partner

Sarah Sears, Arr Direction & Design James Frisbie, Design S Design

#### Policy Analyst and Writer

Sandy Ingraham, JD, MSW Contracts & Consultation

# 1999 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook

Copyright 1999, Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, Inc.

information from this Factbook is granted as long COUNT Partnership, a project of the Oklahoma Permission to copy, disseminate or otherwise use as acknowledgment is given to the Oklahoma KIDS Institute for Child Advocacy.

remain the final authority regarding the quality Sources for the data used in this book, which are listed in the Methodology & Sources section, and meaning of the data.

C/O Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy 420 NW 13th Street, Suite 101 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103

fax: 405-236-KIDX (5439) phone: 405-236-KIDS (5437)

internet: http://www.oica.org

00

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgments		4
KIDS COUNT Resources & Factbook Features	:	5
Interpreting the Data	:	9
A State Profile	:	7
Overview & Findings	:	∞
Oklahoma's Economic Distress Clusters	:	10
Benchmark Topics	:	12
Low Birthweight Infants	:	12
Infant Mortality	:	14
Births to Young Teens	:	16
Child Abuse & Neglect	:	18
Child & Teen Death	:	20
Child Poverty	:	22
High School Dropouts	:	24
Juvenile Violent Crime Arrests	:	26
County Benchmarks	:	28
Data Tables	:	69
Methodology & Sources	:	82
Directory of KIDS COUNT Leaders	:	88

G

# Acknowledgments

individuals and organizations for their partnership Oklahoma KIDS COUNT thanks the following in preparing this publication

#### Jenean Arnold

Office of Accountability

#### Makala Bannister

Oklahoma State Department of Health

#### Howard Barnett

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

#### Don Blose

Oklahoma State Department of Health

#### Larry Garrett

Oklahoma Department of Human Services

#### Sandy Garrett

Oklahoma State Department of Education

#### Howard Hendrick

Oklahoma Department of Human Services

#### Matt Hesser

Office of Accountability

## Information Services Unit

Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation

#### Dick Lorenz

Oklahoma State Department of Health

Dr. Jerry Nida

#### Nina Rowland

Oklahoma State Department of Health

Contracts & Consultation

#### Jeff Wallace

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

#### Dawn Williams

Oklahoma State Department of Education

#### Victoria Wispell

Community Volunteer

#### Bill Young

Oklahoma Department of Libraries

#### Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy **Board of Directors**

Ronald Matlock, VP Development, Oklahoma City Robert Abernathy, Treasurer, Oklahoma City Anthony Francisco, VP Trustees, Norman Jean P. Harbison, President, Lawton Susan Harris, VP Programs, Tuka Laurie Fuller, Secretary, Muskogee lay Keel, President-Elect, Ada

George Foster, OD, Tablequah Anne Calvert, Oklahoma City Lynn Biddle, Enid

M. Andrew Glenn, PhD, Norman Lyn Hester, Oklahoma City Doug Fox, Edmond

Carole Huff Hicks, Tuka Rob Johnson, Tuka

Ed Legako, MD, Lawton Albert C. Kelly, Bristow

D. Kent Meyers, Oklahoma City Peggy McCormick, Stillwater

Cathy Shearer, LPC, MDiv, Oklahoma City Roger Sheldon, MD, Oklahoma City

Claudia Tarrington, Tulsa

effrey E. Tate, Edmond

Kathy Williams, Oklahoma City Deborah Watson, Drumright

Ken Young, Oklahoma City

Acknowledgments

# Resources & Factbook Features

# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leadership

in the areas of legislative and grass roots advocacy, communicating children's issues and community organizing. KIDS COUNT Leaders are available Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leaders are trained for group presentations and individual meetings. A director of KIDS COUNT Leaders begins on KIDS COUNT Leaders are chosen annually through an application process. To receive an application call 405-236-5437.

#### **Child Watch Visitation**

creating a sense of urgency and compelling participants to act. During a Child Watch Tour, participants see first create a climate of change for children and youth by hand the problems that Oklahoma's children and youth face. This visitation program has been highly effective The Child Watch Visitation program is designed to in strengthening the voice for children in Oklahoma and other states.

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT can provide training and technical assistance for conducting a Child Watch Tour in your community.

# Annual Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook

children and youth in Oklahoma. For additional copies, phone: 405-236-KIDS (5437) fax: 405-236-KIDX (5439) A publication which reports on the well-being of contact: Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership C/O Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, 420 NW 13th Street, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103 internet: http://www.oica.org

#### Internet Access

Data from the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook '99 is included on the website for the Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy at http://www.oica.org.

# National KIDS COUNT Databook

An annual publication which reports on the well-being of children, youth and families in the United States. Also available is a special report entitled When Teens Have Sex: Issues and Trends. The publications are free and available through: The Annie E. Casey Foundation, 701 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, 1-410-547-6600.

# Features of the 1999 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook

# Statements of Impact on Oklahoma

grantwriting, public speaking, communicating with the statements are designed to 1) link the eight indicators facilitate the sharing of the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT media and other opportunities in which the scope and There are brief statements highlighted on each of the data. The statements have been written to be useful in eight benchmark topic pages (pages 12 - 27). The emphasize the impact these issues have on children, severity of these issues needs to be clearly conveyed. by highlighting common causes and solutions, 2) families and communities in Oklahoma, and 3)

#### Interpreting the Data

included in this publication to assist in understanding An instructive section, located on page 6, has been and using the data.

# Key to Using County Benchmark Tables

benchmark: numbers, average annual numbers, county Benchmark Tables. The Key can be found on page 29, There is a guide to direct the reader to the various Several types of data information are available for each and state rates, percentage change between years, base at the beginning of the County Benchmark section. types of data information located on the County and recent data and county rankings.

# Understand What is Being Measured

It is important to understand what is being measured and how. Several types of data information are available for each benchmark: numbers, average annual numbers, county and state rates, percentage change between years, base and recent data, and county rankings. A "key" directing the reader to the various types of data information is presented at the beginning of the County Benchmarks section (page 29). The Methodology and Sources (page 82) details what each benchmark means, what data is included and where it is from.


### Beware of Small Numbers

County populations vary significantly. Such variations should be considered when interpreting the differences among counties. Be aware that small counties may have a small number of events (e.g., child deaths, arrests for violent crimes) which can cause rates to vary considerably from year to year without reflecting real change. For this reason, many benchmarks use multiple year averages to improve the reliability of rate comparisons. Low rates may appear in counties with large populations. Relying solely on rates, without considering the numbers involved, may result in overlooking locations which have large numbers of suffering children.

# Remember the Uses and Limits of Data

Benchmarks provide important baseline information. Effective use of benchmarks requires them to be understood in a broad context. They provide one way to look at how children are doing in a county or state. Benchmarks can provide the starting place to initiate dialogue with others who share your interest. There are many important perspectives required to piece together a complete picture. Collect additional data and viewpoints to flesh out the most useful view of child well-being in your own area.





ERIC Fronted by ERIC

Oklahoma's people remain her strength and promise. One in four (26.5%) Oklahomans are children.

Today, almost eight hundred eighty thousand (878,305) children live, play and go to school in Oklahoma communities.

Tomorrow their ideas and dreams will frame yours. They will have your job, your responsibilities. They will run the businesses and be the workers. One will be your grocer, one your pharmacist. One will be your neighborhood cop, one your child's doctor. Tomorrow

they will be the teachers. One will marry your child. Today's children will be tomorrow's policy makers and voters. One will be Governor. They will decide if your grandchildren are safe, healthy and happy.

Today, thousands of Oklahoma children are safe, healthy and happy. Thousands are not. Some will overcome the challenges depicted in these pages. Others will fail.

There is not one child to waste. The problems are complex, but not without promising solutions.

Community understanding, combined with action, will improve the well-being of today's children and secure a safe, healthy and happy future for tomorrow's children.

The Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership, a project of Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy (OICA), uses key strategies to achieve its goal. First, KIDS COUNT "counts kids," providing accurate and up-to-date data on the status of Oklahoma's children and youth. Second, KIDS COUNT cultivates leadership on behalf of children and youth, giving

#### Oklahoma

	Indicator	idicator. Base Data	- Worsened	Improved	*	Recent Data	Recent Number
Number of	Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1983-87	.6.3%		• •	6.9% of live births 1992-96	3,191 average annual 1992-96
Children (1997):	Infant Mortality	10.4/1000 live births 1983-87			18.1%	8.5/1000 live births 1992-96	393 average annual 1992-96
6/6,507	Births to Young Teens	42.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			9.2%	38.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	2,774 average annual 1994-96
26.5% of the state	Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 8.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-82.3%			15.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	13,627 confirmed FY 1997
population	Child Deaths	45.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			25.7%	33.5/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	226 average annual 1992-96
	Child Poverty	15.7% of all children 1980	.61.6%		e	25.4% of all children 1993 revised	226,841 children living in poverty 1993 revised
	High School Dropouts	5.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			5.69	5.6% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	11,673 all grades 1996-97 School Year
	Juvenile Arrests	172.4/100,000 youth 10-17, 1980	-64.5%			283.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	1,171 arrests 1997

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

Overview & Findings



The goal of the

Oklahoma Institute for

Child Advocacy (OICA)

is to improve life for Oklahoma's

children. OICA believes that:

▶ All children deserve to be free from hunger and preventable disease and to receive regular health care.

> All children deserve an education that prepares them to meet the future and inspires them to achieve their potential.

D All children deserve to grow up free from abuse, violence, and the devastation of alcohol and other drugs.

▶ All children deserve a secure future and to grow up in an economically stable family.

voice to their needs at the local level. *Third*, KIDS COUNT communicates the needs of children and youth, using extensive public awareness activities.

The Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook uses benchmarks to profile the status of children and youth in our state. Benchmarks are quantifiable measures that, when taken together, help determine child, family and community well-being. The eight benchmarks in the 1999 KIDS COUNT Factbook are low birthweight infants, infant mortality, births to young teens, child abuse & neglect, child death, child poverty, high school dropouts and juvenile violent crime arrests. This book, the fifth annual Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook, shows the interrelatedness of these benchmarks and how they impact each other.

From an established baseline, OICA is tracking progress, or the lack of progress, for each benchmark over time. Progress requires action. Progress requires using all of this information — and more — to make good decisions about state and community budgets and programs that affect children and youth. Effective programs and policies work together with strong communities and families to improve the benchmarks. A benchmark is not just a collection of numbers; each individual number has a face, a family, and a future.

The 1999 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook reveals that five of the eight benchmarks tracked worrened when compared to data from a decade or more ago:

Low birthweight infants
Child abuse & neglect
Child poverty
High school dropouts
Juvenile violent crime arrests

Three benchmarks improved over the comparable decade-old data:

Infant mortality
Births to young teens
Child death

# Oklahoma's Economic Distress Clusters

For the third year, the 1999 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook divides Oklahoma's 77 counties into five clusters with similar conditions based on four economic factors:

Child Poverty Rates (1993 Census Estimates, revised).—the best measure of the presence of very poor children in a community

Per Capita Personal Income (1996)—the most current measure of income levels of people in a community


Percent of Children Receiving Public Assistance (FY 1997).—the most current measure of children required to survive on inadequate resources

Unemployment Rates (1997)—the best measure of people's ability to improve economic conditions through work

Taken together, these factors provide a comprehensive picture of a county's economic status in a manner which can be ranked, grouped into clusters, updated and tracked from year to year. Each county is ranked on each of the four factors. The four individual county rankings are combined into a *Deprivation Index* in which the lower the number, the wealthier the county. Each county was ranked again according to its *Deprivation Index* and grouped into one of five economic clusters: wealthiest, wealthier, middle, poorer or poorest. Each cluster is composed of approximately twenty percent (20%) of the state's population.

These five clusters continue to illustrate the diverse economic environments in which Oklahoma children live. When compared to the prior year investigated, fewer Oklahoma counties moved from one cluster to another. There was very little change among the very wealthy and the very poor. Oklahoma's wealthiest counties remain primarily concentrated in the northwestern corner of the state. The poorest counties remain primarily concentrated in the southeastern corner with substantial numbers found in the southwest.

Similar to past years, the profiles of the five clusters reveal clear patterns in Oklahoma's economic landscape. Children in Oklahoma's 27 poorest counties are twice as likely to be poor and twice as likely to be on welfare than children in the state's 21 wealthiest counties. Incomes are the lowest, unemployment rates are the worst, and economic distress is entrenched in these poorest counties.



10

23

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999



The cluster of Oklahoma's 27 poorest counties has the worst indicators in four of the eight benchmark areas investigated by Oklahoma KIDS COUNT. Taken together these counties have the highest rates of births to young teens, child abuse & neglect confirmations, child death and child poverty.

The cluster of Oklahoma's 21 wealthiest counties has the best indicators in seven of the eight benchmark areas investigated by Oklahoma KIDS COUNT.

Taken together these counties have the lowest rates of low birthweight infants, infant mortality, births to young teens, child death, high school dropouts, child poverty and juvenile violent crime arrests.

Clusters
ma Economic
Oklahoma
Profiles
Comparing F

	State of Uklahoma	NUMBEL 1 / Wedninesi	Mulliger 7.7 Wedilliner	ועטווומבן אין זאומחוב		Colon / Clarino
Totol Population (1997)	3,317,091	733,823	716,245	696,517	583,134	587,372
Child Population (1997)	878,305	196,725	187,796	183,500	156,118	154,166
Number of Poor Children (1993 Revised)	226,841	33,738	45,923	38,380	43,009	53,827
Percent of Children Living in Poverty (1993 Revised)	25.4%	17.1%	24.5%	27.4%	27.5%	34.9%
Number of Children Receiving Public Assistance (FY1997)	62,599	169'9	11,569	17,988	11,999	14,352
Percent of Children Receiving Public Assistance (FY1997)	7.1%	3.4%	6.2%	9.8%	7.7%	9.3%
Per Capito Income (1996)	\$19,574	\$18,773	\$24,022	\$22,065	\$16,624	\$15,158
Unemployment Rate (1997)	4.1	2.8	3.7	3.6	4.7	7.0
Percent of Low Birthweight Babies (1992-1996)	%6.9	%0.9	86.9	7.7%	7.0%	6.7%
Rate of Infant Mortality (1992-1996)	8.5 per 1,000 births	6.5 per 1,000 births	9.2 per 1,000 births	9.4 per 1,000 births	8.6 per 1,000 births	8.3 per 1,000 births
Rate of Births to Teen Girls ages 15 through 17 (1992-1996)	38.3 per 1,000 teen girls	25.9 per 1,000 teen girk	39.3 per 1,000 teen girls	43.7 per 1,000 teen girls	38.5 per 1,000 teen girk	46.0 per 1,000 teen girls
Rate of Child Abuse/Neglect Confirmations (FY1997)	15.5 per 1,000 children	12.9 per 1,000 children	12.1 per 1,000 children	14.8 per 1,000 children	18.8 per 1,000 children	20.5 per 1,000 children
Death Rate omong Children ages 1 through 14 (1992-1996)	33.5 per 100,000 children	26.7 per 100,000 children	30.3 per 100,000 children	36.3 per 100,000 children	37.0 per 100,000 children	38.7 per 100,000 children
High School Dropout Rate (School year 1996-1997)	2.6%	4.8%	2.9%	7.6%	4.8%	5.2%
Violent Crime Arrests of Children ages 10 through 17 (1997)	283.7 per 100,000 children	124.9 per 100,000 children	504.3 per 100,000 children	417.7 per 100,000 children	202.7 per 100,000 children	170.6 per 100,000 children

# Low Birthweight Infants

Each year nearly thirty-two hundred Oklahoma babies (3,191 annual average) are born too small (weighing less than 5½ pounds).

A disquieting number of these low-weight infants (526 annual average) are born very, very tiny (weighing less than 3 pounds, 5 ounces).

During this past year the proportion of Oklahoma babies born too small slowly and steadily continued to worsen (increasing from 6.8% to 6.9% of all births), with comparable rates also worsening during the year in most (46 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.

This trend has continued for years. A higher proportion of Oklahoma babies (6.9% of all births) are born too small today as compared to the population born in the mid-1980s (6.5% of all births), with such rates also worsening in the majority (46 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same period.

The rates of low birthweight births in the majority of Oklahoma counties (41 of 77) were worse than the average county rate (6.5% of all county births). The highest and worst low birthweight rate (9.5% of all county births) continues to be found in Choctaw County; the lowest and best (3.1% of all county births) is in Harper County during this most recent period.


The low birthweight rate for Black infants (12.4% of all Black births) nearly doubles the rate for White infants (6.4% of all White births). The best low birthweight rate and trend is recorded for American Indian infants (5.6% of all American Indian births).

While early prenatal care is known to improve these rates, less than two-thirds (62.0%) of Oklahoma's babies were born to mothers who received the recommended level of prenatal care.

Ranking in the top half of all the states, Oklahoma's rate of low birthweight infants is good relative to other parts of the country. Oklahoma's national rank improved from 23rd to 21st during the most recent year (1995) measured.

### Impact on Oklahoma

- ► Low birthweight kills Oklahoma babies. Almost 60 percent of the infant deaths each year result directly from low birthweight. In Oklahoma, seven out of 10 infants who die in the first month of life are low-weight babies.
- Low birthweight hurts Oklahoma babies and limits their futures. Of those who live, one in four will experience serious health and developmental problems dyslexia, hyperactivity, blindness, deafness, chronic respiratory problems, mental retardation, mental illness, cerebral palsy, or others.




#### Babies Weighing Under 5½ Pounds

Average Annual Percent of All Babies, By Race, Oklahoma 1983-87 & 1992-96

1983-87 1992-96



- The lower the birthweight, the greater the harm. Twenty percent of very tiny (less than 3 pounds, 5 (less than 3 pounds, 5 ounces) babies later enroll ounces) babies experience cerebral palsy or other forms of brain injury. Fifty percent of very tiny in special education. Δ
- small if their mothers are poor, are adolescents, smoke during pregnancy, drink alcohol or take drugs during Risk factors. Infants are at risk of being born too pregnancy, or fail to receive adequate prenatal care. Δ



# Low Birthweight Infants

Less Than 51/2 Pounds; Oklahoma: 1992-1996, State Rate: Five-Year Average Annual Percent of Infants Born Weighing 6.9%, Average of County Rates: 6.5%

less than 5½ pounds at birth were born to mothers who live Forty-three percent (1,371 per year) of the infants weighing (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together account for just under forty percent (39.8%) of Oklahoma's births. in one of the states two large metropolitan counties

Below Average

10 Worst Counties

On the average at least one Oklahoma infant dies every day.

their first year of life decreased (8.7 to 8.5 deaths worsened in nearly half (37 of 77) of Oklahoma's per 1,000 live births) during this past year, rates Although Oklahoma's rate of infants who die in counties during the same period.

for years. Today, proportionately fewer Oklahoma babies (8.5 per 1,000 live births) die in their first 1,000 live births), with such rates also improving year of life than did in the mid-1980s (10.4 per The decline in infant death rates has continued in the large majority (55 of 77) of Oklahoma counties during the same period.


worst infant death rate (18.0 per 1,000 live births) During this most recent period, the highest and is found in Haskell County; the lowest and best Oklahoma counties (42 of 77) were better than the average county rate (8.3 per 1,000 live births). (0.0 per 1,000 live births) is in Major County. The rates of infant death in a majority of

almost twice as high as the rate for White infants (8.1 per 1,000 White births). The best death rate is recorded for American Indian infants (6.4 per The death rate for African American infants (15.3 per 1,000 African American births) is 1,000 American Indian births).

relative to other parts of the country. Oklahoma's national rank remained at 36th during the most Ranking in the bottom half of all the states, Oklahoma's rate of infant death is only fair recent year (1995) measured.

#### mpact on Oklahoma

- the lives of defenseless Oklahoma infants. Causes Unforgiving circumstances prematurely terminate birth defects to poverty and inadequate living of death range from birth complications and conditions to abuse and inadequate care to accidents and infections.
- a community's overall quality of life. The rate reflects the effectiveness of economic, social and ▼ The infant mortality rate is an indicator of health care interventions.




Average Annual Rate Per Infant Deaths

1983-87 1992-96

> 1000 Births, By Race, Oklahoma 1983-87 & 1992-96

- reasonable accuracy from the proportion of babies Low birthweight and infant mortality are related. The infant mortality rate can be predicted with born very small.
- Improving the outcomes. Lowering infant mortality adequate nutrition, reducing teen births, providing changing behavior during pregnancy to reduce appropriate health care, reducing poverty and rates requires providing education, ensuring smoking, drinking and drug use. Δ



Infant Mortality

Live Births, Average of County Rates: 8.3 per 1000 Live Births Live Births; Oklahoma: 1992-96, State Rate: 8.5 per 1000 Five-Year Average Annual Rate of Deaths per 1000

Oklahoma's births and are populated with just under forty metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which occurred where mothers lived in one of the state's two large Nearly half (175 per year or 44.5%) of the infant deaths together account for just under forty percent (39.8%) of percent (38.1%) of Oklahoma's infants.

Below Average 4

10 Worst Counties

# Births To Young Teems

In 1996, nearly eight thousand (7,842) babies were born to Oklahoma women under the age of twenty. Close to five thousand (4,939) of those babies were three thousand (2,903) Oklahoma school age girls forty-five of those school age girls were between born to teen mothers ages 18 and 19. Nearly (age 17 and under) had babies. One hundred eleven and fourteen years of age.

continued to steadily decline (from 41.0 to 38.3 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) during improved in a majority of Oklahoma counties The rate of births to Oklahoma young teens the past year. Birth rates for that age group (51 of 77) during the year.


Oklahoma birth rate to young teens of the early 1980s (from 42.2 to 38.2 births per 1,000 girls most (58 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during county level where such rates also improved in ages 15 through 17) has been evident at the The progress made in decreasing the high the same period of time.

of Oklahoma counties (41 of 77) were worse than the average county rate (36.1 births per 1,000 girls The rates of births to young teens in a majority period, the highest and worst rate (66.5 births ages 15 through 17). During this most recent per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) is found births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) is in Tillman County; the lowest and best (5.4 in Grant County.

However, while non-White teenage girls comprise of Oklahoma's young teen mothers are White. third (32.6%) of the teenage girls giving birth The large majority (67.4%, excluding Other) girl population, they account for almost oneonly about one-fifth (21.6%) of the teenage in Oklahoma. On the average, every three hours an Oklahoma child (age 17 or younger) becomes a mother. Oklahoma's teen childbearing rate was substantially childbearing ranking relative to other states is poor, above other states in 1980, but by 1996, the gap with two-thirds (33 states) having a teen (age 15 had narrowed. Even so, Oklahoma's young teen luring the most recent year (1996) measured. hrough 17) birth rate lower than Oklahoma

### Impact on Oklahoma

- A child's age at their first experience with sexual intercourse is becoming increasingly younger. Disquieting trends. More young people are having sex in their teens than ever before.
- reaching adulthood, to drop out of school, to have mother is more likely to be poor, to be a victim of physical violence, to have another child before at some point in her life, to be less skilled, and to unsteady employment, to be on public assistance who delay childbearing until age twenty or later. experience marital instability compared to peers Teen mothers face bleak futures. Each young Δ
- Sexual abuse plays a major role. There is a direct link between child sexual abuse, early sexual activity and teen pregnancy. Most teen mothers indicate Δ



Births to Mothers Ages 15-17

By Race, Oklahoma, 1994-96

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999 Ei

3 10

91

and occurred between the ages of nine and twelve. their first sexual experience was non-consensual

- developmental and health problems, to have lower The children born to teen mothers are the youngest victims. These children are more likely to repeat cognitive functioning, to do poorly in school, and the cycle of teen childbearing, to have lifelong to exhibit problem behavior. Δ
- the consequences of teen childbearing, less than Teen childbearing is costly to Oklahoma taxpayers. During the most recent year for which information by teens. For every dollar Oklahoma spends on Stamps and Medicaid) to support families begun one-half of one cent is invested in the prevention is available (FY 95) Oklahoma spent \$647,633,777 on public expenditures (AFDC, WIC, Food of teen pregnancy.
- Risk factors. Poor literacy skills, poor progress in school, academic failure, drug use, and the loss of self-esteem are good predictors of early childbearing. Children who don't read well are at risk for early pregnancy. Δ
- risk of teen pregnancy and birth. School success, and opportunities to develop decision-making and involvement in activities during non-school hours Improving the outcomes. Being connected with community, school and family decreases the Δ

aspirations for the future and goals for education teen mothers. Having healthy family communication problem-solving skills join to prevent premature women make good choices and avoid becoming and positive peer and adult role models decrease pregnancy and birth. Having positive options, and training beyond high school help young he likelihood that children will have children.



### Births to Young Teens

(Consideration Consideration C

**320**.11 

900 SOL

3800

888

(Barray)

(CO)

8

33LF)

Regar CMs

September 1

Three-Year Number & Average Annual Rate of Births to Mothers Ages 15 through 17; Oklahoma: 1994-96, State Rate: 38.3 per 1000 Births, Average of County Rates: 36.1 per 1000 Births

Above Average

600

(Cod 1/200)

200

Growth

98

Staplens

Maderate

800

(Choolery

33.31 33.31 

almotronical 1.33 Sebatinas

abatmas

(Fleely

8 Control

088

Oct market

Below Avera	10 Worst Co

es
E T
3
Vors
0
_
Ŀ

# Child Abuse & Neglect

During Fiscal Year 1997 (FY 97) more than fifty Services (DHS) as possible abuse and/or neglect thousand (51,001) situations were called to the attention of Oklahoma Department of Human of one or more children.

high numbers of those allegations. Close to fourteen thousand (13,627) of the more than forty-eight This year DHS investigated and confirmed recordthousand (48,399) incidents investigated were found to be actual abuse and/or neglect.

In Oklahoma, approximately every thirty-eight minutes a child is a victim of confirmed abuse and/or neglect.

confirmations per 1,000 children in the community), child abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma rose with comparable rates worsening in the large to an all-time high (moving from 13.4 to 15.5 majority (51 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties. During this past year the rate of confirmed


Today, Oklahoma's rate of confirmed child abuse mid-1980s (8.5 confirmations per 1,000 children). children) is close to double what it was in the and/or neglect (15.5 confirmations per 1,000 (65 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the Such rates also worsened in the vast majority same period.

confirmations per 1,000 children in the county). The rates of confirmed child abuse and/or neglect During this most recent period, the highest and 1,000) is again found in Garvin County; the lowest in the majority of Oklahoma counties (43 of 77) victims of child abuse and/or neglect (40.8 per were better than the average county rate (17.2 worst proportion of children confirmed to be and best (2.0 per 1,000) is in Harmon County. A total of forty-two Oklahoma children died from child abuse and/or neglect during FY 1997. Among boys of all races. One was a newborn; most were school age children ranging from preschoolers to children old enough to be in high school. One the dead were twenty-three girls and nineteen should have been graduating instead of dying. infants and toddlers. The dead also included

who are White. However, while non-White children (31.8%, excluding Hispanics) of the confirmed child recorded as a nationality rather than a race, comprise abuse and/or neglect incidents. Hispanic children, comprise only about one-fifth (21.7%) of the child abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma involve children The large majority (63.7%) of confirmations of child population. A slightly lower proportion of (4.5%) involved children counted as Hispanic. population, they account for nearly one-third confirmed abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma ust over five percent (5.5%) of Oklahoma's

### Impact on Oklahoma

- Child abuse and neglect kills Oklahoma children. related deaths is increasing. Deaths from neglect The number of Oklahoma abuse and neglect of Oklahoma's child abuse and neglect deaths. Child abuse and neglect deaths of Oklahoma Infants and toddlers account for about half are more common than deaths from abuse. teenagers are on the rise.
- Child abuse and neglect hurts Oklahoma children Victims of child abuse and neglect are more likely and limits their futures. Half will suffer delay in impairments. Attachment disorders are common. their development or serious physical or emotional ▲



& Neglect Confirmations By Race of Child,

Oklahoma, FY 1997

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999


<u>ි</u>

30

be arrested as juveniles. There is a direct relationship prostitution. Girls who are abused and neglected in between being a victim of sexual abuse and later teen childhood are seventy-seven percent more likely to pregnancy. A large majority of teen mothers report than others to be arrested for delinquent behavior, that they had been sexually abused as children. adult criminality, and crimes of violence and Feen mothers, in turn, face bleak futures.

children are abused by their own parent or parents. child victims of abuse are most commonly beaten or sexually abused. Mental injuries are on the rise. while their caretaker abuses drugs. In Oklahoma, Defenseless children deserve better. Most abused In Oklahoma, children are commonly neglected

Risk factors. Low birthweight infants, infants born illnesses or developmental delay are at higher risk of premature, and children with disabilities, chronic be victims of child abuse and neglect than are the offspring of mothers who are either twenty or twentyare at higher risk of abuse and neglect. Children of adolescent mothers are more than twice as likely to abuse and neglect. Adoptive, foster and step-children nigher risk of abuse and neglect and are at an 80 one years of age. Children of single parents are at percent greater risk of suffering serious injury or narm from that abuse or neglect. Δ



Cleaner

9

(Amorron

0220

9

# Confirmed Cases of Child Abuse & Neglect

children; Oklahoma: Fiscal Year 1997, State Rate: 15.5 per 1000 Number of Confirmations After Investigation; Rate per 1,000 Children, Average of County Rates: 17.2 per 1000 Children

(Oklahoma or Tuka County) which together are populated More than one-fourth (3,941 per year or 28.9%) of the confirmed incidents of child abuse andlor neglect occurred with just over one-third (36.4%) of Oklahoma's children. in one of the state's two large metropolitan counties

10 Best Counties

Above Average

10 Worst Counties

Below Average

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

# Child & Teen Death

Oklahoma children and youth from the ages of every week. Almost fifty (49.0) of every 100,000 About nine Oklahoma children and youth die 1 through 19, or just under five hundred (461 average) children, die each year.

1 through 14; the remainder are teenagers (ages Just under half (49.0% or 226) of these annual child deaths are children from the ages of 15 through 19). The rate of death among Oklahoma children from number of Oklahoma counties (38 of 77) in which the ages of 1 through 14 worsened slightly (from 33.2 to 33.5 per 100,000) this past year. The child death rates stayed the same or improved during the year was just under the number of counties in which child death rates worsened (39 of 77). A proportionately lower rate (33.5 per 100,000) 100,000), with such rates also improving in the currently than did in the early 1980s (45.1 per large majority (54 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties of children from the ages of 1 through 14 die during the same period.

through 14 in the majority of Oklahoma counties The rates of death for children from the ages of 1 rate (35.7 per 100,000 children age 1 through 14 in the county). During this most recent period, (43 of 77) were better than the average county


the highest and worst rate of child death (85.8 per 100,000 children age 1 through 14 in the county) (0.0 per 100,000) is in three Oklahoma counties is found in Tillman County; the lowest and best Harmon, Dewey and Greer).

ikely to die of accidents (48.2%) than to die of Overall, Oklahoma children and teens are more diseases (31.6%) or violence (20.2%).

than his or her White peers (23.4% of all White 19 is common with more than one-fourth (27.8%) African American teen is about two and one-half all African American teen deaths) in Oklahoma Violence among teens from the ages of 15 through imes more likely to die of violence (58.4% of of all teen deaths resulting from violence. An teen deaths). Oklahoma's young people kill themselves at a rate almost twice the national average. Thirty-eight adolescents, Oklahoma suicides include children suicide each year. While most are older White Oklahomans under age 20 typically commit under the age of ten. Even with the improvement in death rates since the 1980s, Oklahoma's ranking relative to other states for the most recent year on record (1995) remains among the worst (45th in child death; 36th in teen death) in the nation.

### Impact on Oklahoma

the leading causes of death for children and teens Disquieting trends. Over the last several decades, have changed from natural causes, such as illness and birth defects, to injury and violence. Increases becoming a disease of the young. Today, one-half than among the general population. AIDS is fast in suicide are much greater among adolescents of all new HIV infections in the United States occur in people under the age of twenty-five. Children increasingly imitate violence they observe on television. Δ



Children & Teens Deaths Among


☐ Accident Violence Disease

> Average Annual Percent, By Cause, By Race, Oklahoma 1992-1996

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

ack of transportation to obtain them and/or a lack preventive services. Risk of accidents and the resulting deaths increases for low-income families as they are attributed to preventable factors, including unhealthy behaviors such as sedentary lifestyle, poor nutritional children are at greater risk of death due to the lack less likely to use safety devices due to lack of money, minority children are less likely to receive lifesaving appropriate and necessary medical care. Poor and at home is associated with increased suicide risk habits, substance use and abuse, unsafe sexual Risk factors. The majority of teen deaths can be of health insurance and the difficulty obtaining practices and risky vehicle use. Having a gun among older adolescents. Poor and minority of control over their housing conditions. Δ

and changing behavior among children and youth effective education, ensuring adequate health care > Improving the outcomes. Being connected with of violence and death. Reducing poverty, providing community, school and family decreases the risk to reduce drinking, drug use and other riskassociated behaviors prevent premature death.



#### Child Deaths

Ages 1 through 14; Oklahoma: 1992-96, State Rate: 33.5 per 100,000 Children, Average of County Rates: 35.7 per 100,000 Children Five-Year Average Annual Death Rate per 100,000;

Slightly more than one-third (83 per year or 36.7%) of children ages I through 14 who died lived in one of the state's two large metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together are populated with just over one-third (35.0%) of children ages 1 through 14.

Below Average Above Average

10 Worst Counties

Ei

#### Child Poverty

During the most recent year on record (1993, revised), more than a quarter of a million (226,841) Oklahoma children lived in poverty. Oklahoma children are poorer (25.4%) than the general population (18.3%).

One out of every four (25.4%) Oklahoma children lives in poverty. Oklahoma's youngest are Oklahoma's poorest (32.8%) with more than eighty-four thousand (84,255) infants, toddlers and preschoolers under the age of five living in poverty. Oklahoma led all other states in worsening poverty for young children over the last two decades.

During the most recent three years on record, the proportion of Oklahoma children living in poverty worsened from 21.7% (1990) to 25.4% (1993, revised), with comparable rates also worsening in the vast majority (60 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.

Oklahoma child poverty has skyrocketed (from 15.7% to 25.4%) since 1980. Every day since 1980, almost twenty (average 19.7) children joined those who were already poor. Poverty for children worsened in almost every Oklahoma county (75 of 77) during the same period.

The rates of poverty for children were better than the average county rate (26.5%) in about half of Oklahoma's counties (38 of 77) and worse in about half of Oklahoma's counties (39 of 77). During this most recent period, the highest and worst rate of child poverty (45.9%) is found in Harmon County; the lowest and best (11.0%) is in Beaver County.


During Fiscal Year 1997, the average number of children living in families depending on public assistance, Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), for basic needs each month dropped from just over seventy-eight thousand (78,021 or 9.0% of all children) to less than sixty-three thousand (62,599 or 7.1% of all children).

Oklahoma child poverty is racist. African American children (44.5% poor), American Indian children (34.8% poor) and children of Hispanic origin (35.8% poor) are more than twice as likely to be poor than White children (16.6% poor). About half (49.3%, excluding Hispanics) of Oklahoma child recipients of TANF are non-White. Hispanic children, recorded as a nationality rather than a race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of Oklahoma's child population. A slightly lower proportion of Oklahoma child recipients of TANF (4.4%) are counted as Hispanic.

Among countries with data available, the United States is the only wealthy industrialized country to have double-digic child poverty rates. Children in Oklahoma are more likely to be poor than children in most other parts of the United States. Oklahoma's child poverty ranking relative to other states has steadily worsened over the past decade and is among the worst (39th) in the nation for the most recent year compared (1995).

### Impact on Oklahoma

- ▶ Poverty kills Oklahoma children. Poor children are three times more likely to die during their childhood than non-poor children.
- ► Children are limited by their family's low income status. Being poor means living on an income which renders a family unable to purchase enough food for an adequate diet. One out of every three adolescents who live in poverty has no health insurance.
- ▶ Poverty hurts Oklahoma children. Poor children are more likely than non-poor children to eat an inadequate diet, to stay cold in the winter, and



Child Recipients of Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)

May not total 100% due to rounding

By Race, Oklahoma, FY 1997


A State Profile

22

of inadequate nutrition, poor health care, exposure The younger the child, the greater the harm. The Poverty for infants and toddlers poses serious threats crucial to emotional and intellectual development. first years of a child's life are a formative period, to brain development. Poverty increases the risks to environmental toxins and substance abuse, maternal depression, trauma and abuse, and nadequate child care.

more likely to have reduced access to transportation, opportunity, poorer earning ability, higher rates children are more likely than non-poor children Poor children face bleak futures. Poor children are social consequences including less employment of substance abuse, and higher unemployment. to score low on standardized tests, drop out of school and face resulting severe economic and communication and quality education. Poor Δ

requires employment which provides parents with adequate wages to support their family. Access to medical care, child care, housing and transportation are essential for people to move successfully from adequate nutrition, appropriate health care, and changes in behavior to reduce drinking, drug use, participation and planning. Lowering poverty welfare to work. Child poverty rates will decrease with community support for quality education, Improving the outcomes requires community abuse, and premature sexual activity.



Child Poverty

Percent of Children Living in Poverty; Oklahoma: 1993, Revised Estimates, State Rate: 25.4%, Average of County Rates: 26.5%

metropolitan countres (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which Slightly more than one-third (81,084 children or 35.7%) together are populated with just over one-third (36.4%) of poor children live in one of the state's two large of Oklahoma's children.

10 Best Counties

3200

Below Average

10 Worst Counties

# High School Dropouts

year 1996-97), almost twelve thousand (11,673) young Oklahomans quit school without graduating. Nearly ten thousand (9,513) of those dropping During the most recent year on record (school out were ages 18 or younger.

About two of every ten students in Oklahoma who start high school as freshmen do not earn a high school diploma. This year students dropped out sooner. Most left school in the ninth grade.

school without graduating worsened (from 5.3% during this past year, the rate of children leaving Even though the number of children (under age 19) dropping out of high school (grades 9 through 12) improved slightly for the state (449 fewer) to 5.6%). The dropout rates worsened in more than half (45 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same period.

(5.6%) is slightly worse, but remains close, to that This year Oklahoma's high school dropout rate (5.5%). Such rates also worsened in a majority of the comparison school year of 1994 - 1995 (45 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same period.


children leaving school without graduating (9.0%) county rate (4.4%) in about half of Oklahoma's most recent period, the highest and worst rate of The dropout rates were better than the average counties (38 of 77) and worse in about half of is found in Pawnee County; the lowest and best Oklahoma's counties (39 of 77). During this (1.3%) is in Cimarron County.

failing to finish high school increased only slightly proportion of boys decreased accordingly (55.3% (from 44.7% to 45.1% of all dropouts) as the During this past year, the proportion of girls to 54.9% of all dropouts).

non-White children comprise only about one-fifth to finish high school are White. However, while for nearly one-third (30.4%, excluding Hispanics) of the high school dropouts. Hispanic children, (21.7%) of the child population, they account The large majority (62.3%) of children failing Oklahoma's child population. A slightly higher proportion of Oklahoma dropouts (7.3%) are recorded as a nationality rather than a race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of counted as Hispanic.

#### Impact on Oklahoma

- well-being. Dropouts have higher rates of substance A lack of education impacts a child's health and Smoking is far more common among women abuse than those who complete high school. who have lower levels of education.
- school experience is at risk. Children from families dropping out include teen pregnancy, adolescent Risk factors. Factors which place students at risk of fatherhood, poor school performance, substance read well by the end of third grade, their entire started the family as teens themselves, are more whose parents never completed high school, or abuse and behavior problems. If children can't likely to drop out than their peers. Δ




School Without Graduating Students Quitting High

All Ages, By Race, Oklahoma School Year 1996-1997



**5** 

consequences including poverty, little employment of a lifetime of financial dependence. Unemployment dropouts than for graduates. The lack of education opportunity, poor earning ability and the possibility rates for workers over age 19 are twice as high for High school dropouts face bleak futures. Youth is intertwined with crime. In 1996, a majority of not completing high school face severe economic Oklahoma inmates were high school dropouts. Δ



graduating live in one of the state's two large metropolitan account for just under one-third (31.5%) of Oklahoma's A number approaching half (4,233 youth or 44.5%) of the children under age 19 who quit high school without counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together high school attendance.

10 Best Counties Above Average

9

Reference

1,200 88

B

Indian

Ordente

œ Choctery

9 Steplens 530

10 Worst Counties Below Average y.

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999 52



# Juvenile Violent Crime Arrests

In 1997, juveniles accounted for about onefifth (20.3%) of all persons arrested for all Oklahoma crimes.

youths from the ages of 10 through 17 were arrested In 1997, nearly twelve hundred (1,171) Oklahoma for committing violent crimes. Twenty-four were arrested for murder, four for manslaughter, 63 for forcible rape, 332 for robbery and 748 for aggravated assault.

The overwhelming majority of youth arrested for violent crimes in Oklahoma are male (982 youth or 83.9%). During this past year the proportion of Oklahoma youths from the ages of 10 through 17 arrested for violent crimes continued to improve from 1995's improving or remaining the same during the most recent year in the large majority (56 of 77) record high (from 393.2 arrests per 100,000 youths in 1995 to 341.5 arrests per 100,000 youths in 1996 to 283.7 arrests per 100,000 youths in 1997), with comparable rates also of Oklahoma's counties.

worsening in a majority (45 of 77) of Oklahoma's arrest rate (283.7 arrests per 100,000) remains significantly higher than that of 1980 (172.4 Oklahoma's current juvenile violent crime arrests per 100,000), with such rates also counties during the same period.


The juvenile violent crime arrest rates for 1997 were Major, McIntosh, Nowata, Okfuskee, Roger Mills per 100,000) in most of Oklahoma's counties (50 through 17 (771.5 arrests per 100,000) arrested Grant, Harmon, Harper, Haskell, Jefferson, Love, better than the average county rate (153.7 arrests of 77). During this most recent period, the highest for violent crimes is found in Kay County. The Alfalfa, Atoka, Beaver, Choctaw, Delaware, Ellis, lowest and best rates (0.0 arrests per 100,000) and worst rate of youths from the ages of 10 are in nineteen Oklahoma counties (Adair, and Woods).

crime arrests of children under age 18. Hispanic children, recorded as a nationality rather than a While non-White children comprise only about proportion of the juvenile violent crime arrests in Oklahoma (6.0%) were arrests of Hispanic youth. one-fifth (21.7%) of the child population, they Oklahoma's child population. A slightly higher race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of account for nearly half (46.6%) of the violent

100,000) of arresting young people for violent corresponding national rate of 507 arrests for Based on the latest figures available (1995). crimes continues to remain well below the Oklahoma's current rate (283.7 arrests per every 100,000 youths ages 10 through 17.

### Impact on Oklahoma

of a weapon is on the rise in Oklahoma. Juvenile outpacing juvenile arrests for non-violent crimes. Police in Oklahoma are increasingly likely to refer arrested for carrying or being in the possession drug possession arrests have tripled in the past decade. Juvenile arrests for violent crimes are Disquieting trends. The number of juveniles invenile violators to criminal or adult court.



Under Age 18, By Race Juvenile Violen® **Crime Arrests** 

Hispanic youth may be of any race and comprise 6.0% of the 1997 juvenile violent crime arrests

Oklahoma, 1997




A State Profile

56

in violence and crime include teenage boys born to school, youth who expect to die young, youth who in urban areas. In 1996, a majority of Oklahoma Risk factors. Children at higher risk for involvement adolescent mothers, young people doing poorly in are neither in school nor working and those living child abuse and neglect are more likely than others Girls who are abused and neglected in childhood criminality, crimes of violence and prostitution. are seventy-seven percent more likely to be arrested inmates were high school dropouts. Victims of non-poor child to be a current victim and a later as juveniles. A poor child is more likely than a to be arrested for delinquent behavior, adult perpetrator of violent crime.

Juvenile crime prevention begins in the home. Adolescents living in homes where there is easy access to guns are more likely to be involved in resulted in youth violence becoming more lethal. Children are numbed to the real effects of violence violent behaviors. The rise in handgun use has Children imitate violence watched on television by viewing over 10,000 murders, rapes and aggravated assaults each year on television. in their own homes. A

rise in juvenile crime on the failure of families, warning signs. Being connected with community, schools, and communities to recognize early school and family decreases the risk of violence, ▶ Improving the outcomes. Experts blame the death and crime.



8203

# Juvenile Violent Crime Arrests

0000

of the 030

02020

Chapt Cillib

9

**188** 

1992.) Geoffiern

0000

903 The figure

0000

Demay

Oklahoma: 1997, State Rate: 283.7 per 100,000 Youths, Average of County Rates: 153.7 per 100,000 Youths Rate per 100,000 Youths Ages 10 through 17;

ages of 10 through 17 arrested for committing violent crimes Well over half (693 youth or 59.2%) of the youths from the populated with just under one-third (32.7%) of all youth counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together are were arrested in one of the state's two large metropolitan iges 10 through 17.

19\* Best Counties

2000

Reference

98

**Mediciti** 

9 Ghostory

130


leffbre

Above Average 

Below Average

10 Worst Counties


\* No juvenile violent crimes for youths ages 10-17



ERIC\*

County Benchmark Key A Comprehensive Guide to Understanding Benchmark Data

The county is ranked



Number of Children (1997): 5,945 Children are 29.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Adair County

County Seat: Stilwell

Indition of the state of the st	් වියේම ලිය්ම	Improxed &	Renk
Low Birthweight	5.7% of live births 1983-87	5.8% of live births 1992-96	16
Infant Mortality	10.5/1000 live births 1983-87	3.7% 10.1/1000 live births 1992-96	19
Births to Young Teens	56.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	64.9/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	76
Child Abuse & Neglect	11.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 .180.0%	31.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	73
Child Deaths	53.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978.83	41.7% 31.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	34
Child Poverty	30.3% of all children 1980	31.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	55
High School Dropouts	6.8% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	8.4% 6.2% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	29
Juvenile Arrests	130.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	7991 71-01 Hough 00.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1
Change of more than 110%.	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ty change ### = zero base - cannos calculate change County Rank (1 = bess	(77 = worst)

Alfalfa County

County Seat: Cherokee

Low Birthweight         4.2% of live births 1983-87         37.6x         14.2% of live births 1983-87         37.5x         15.0 (1000 live births 1992-96)         38           Infant Mortality         4.6/1000 live births 1983-87         37.9x         37.9x         33.0x         33.0x         33.0x         33.0x         33.0x         34.0x         33.0x         33.0x<	Inclication	ලාපම මතාල	- Worsened	ം ഉള്ളത്തി		: Cents
4.6/1000 live births 1983-87       -71.9%       71.9%       7.9/1000 live births 1992-96         14.4/1000 girks 15-17 1981-83       -4.0%       15.0/1000 girks 15-17 1994-96       15.0/1000 girks 15-17 1994-96         20.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985       -2.4% of girades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       15.0 confirmed/1000 children 1-14 1978-83       15.0 confirmed/1000 children 1-14 1992-96         14.8% of girades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       -2.24% of girades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       100.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1987	Low Birthweight	4.2% of live births 1983-87	.97.6%		8.3 % of live births 1992-96	74
14.4/1000 girk 15-17 1981-83       4.0%       15.0/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96         20.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985       26.4%       15.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997         74.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83       15.6%       63.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96         14.8% of all children 1980       -23.8%       18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)         2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       100.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	Infant Mortality		71.9%		7.9/1000 live births 1992-96	38
20.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985       26.4%       15.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997         74.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83       15.6%       63.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96         14.8% of all children 1980       -23.8%       18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)         2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       23.4%       1.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year         107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       100.100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Births to Young Teens		4.0%		15.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	3
74.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83       15.63       63.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96         14.8% of all children 1980       -23.8%       18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)         2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       23.4%       1.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year         107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       100.100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Child Abuse & Neglect	20.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985		26		 
14.8% of all children 1980       -23.8%       18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)         2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       23.4%       1.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year         107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       100.100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Child Deaths	74.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		51		72
2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 22.4% 1.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Child Poverty	14.8% of all children 1980	-23.8%	-	18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 13
107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	High School Dropouts	2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		73	.4% 1.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	6
	Juvenile Arrests	107.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		01 [		tie for 1

🗆 state change 🗅 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change 🖯 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar


63

County Benchmarks

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Children are 20.9% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 1,267 62



### Atoka County

### County Seat: Atoka

ි ලින්න ලක්ත	7.1% of live births 1
Melketőr.	Low Birthweight

Number of Children (1997): 3,418

Children are 25.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

				•	
Low Birthweight	7.1% of live births 1983-87	.14.7%		8.1% of live births 1992.96	77
Infant Mortality	4.6/1000 live births 1983-87	.136.0%		10.9/1000 live births 1992-96	69
Births to Young Teens	59.0/1000 girk 15-17 1981-83		36.6%	37.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	42
Child Abuse & Neglect	5.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-3D.4%	21.4 00	21.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	19
Child Deaths	49.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		20.7% 39.3/	39.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	20
Child Poverty	32.6% of all children 1980	-6.3%	34.7	34.7% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 64
High School Dropouts	2.2% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year 10.4%	ar .10.4%	2.4% of grac	2.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	4
Juvenile Arrests	60.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		¥0'001	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Beaver County

### County Seat: Beaver

Low Birthweight         5.8% of live births 1983-87         -28.5           Infant Mortality         11.2/1000 live births 1983-87         -15.1           Births to Young Teens         18.6/1000 girts 15-17 1981-83         -15.1           Child Abuse & Neglect         2.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985         -320.0           Child Deaths         60.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83         -320.0           Child Poverty         18.0% of all children 1980         -320.0				
11.2/1000 live births 1983-87  18.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83  18.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83  60.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83  18.0% of all children 1980	-28.5%		7.5% of live births 1992-96	19
18.6/1000 girk 15-17 1981-83 2.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 60.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83 18.0% of all children 1980		72.3%	3.1/1000 live births 1992-96	2
Neglect 2.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 60.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83 18.0% of all children 1980	-15.1%		21.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	<b>&amp;</b>
	-320.0%		11.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	16
		73.7%	15.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	7
		38.9%	11.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	-
High School Dropouts 1.3% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year 39.3%	-39.3%		.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	80
Juvenile Arrests 0.0/100,000 youth 10:17 1980		###	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗀 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 1,672

Children are 28.0% of the county population

# Beckham County

### County Seat: Sayre

Montence (* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Meligated and the second of th		umproved & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	٠ ٥		NCINIS
Low Birthweight	6.0% of live births 1983-87	.9.4%			6.6% of live births 1992-96	40
Infant Mortality	7.2/1000 live births 1983-87	-50.0%			10.8/1000 live births 1992-96	89
Births to Young Teens	79.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			63.4%	29.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	16
Child Abuse & Neglect	5.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	446.3%	-		29.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	72
Child Deaths	50.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			31.9%	34.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	43
Child Poverty	14.6% of all children 1980	.99.2%			29.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	49
High School Dropouts	6.3% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year			21.8%	5.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	48
Juvenile Arrests	91.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-32.8%			122.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	40
Change of more than 110% — positive	— positive or negative — are graphed with brok	en bar 🛚 state change 🗖 cou	inty change ### =	= zero base - can	or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🗖 county change 🛙 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	7 = worst


#### Blaine County

### County Seat: Watonga

			_			;
Low Birthweight	6.3% of live births 1983-8/			5.3%	6.0% of live births 1992-96	23
Infant Mortality	13.7/1000 live births 1983-87			3.2%	13.3/1000 live births 1992-96	11
Births to Young Teens	84.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	-		86:9%	33.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	30
Child Abuse & Neglect	19.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	41.7%			27.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	89
Child Deaths	44.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			40.1%	26.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	25
Child Poverty	.3. 19.4% of all children 1980	-36.2%			26.4% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 37
High School Dropouts	5.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			20.2%	20.2% 4.1% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	33
Juvenile Arrests	116.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			36.3%	74.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	28

Children are 27.3% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer



# ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Bryan County

County Seat: Durant

Indianor	වෙයම මණුල	- Worsened		Umproved o	ं तिलंडना जिल्ला क्लिट	Rents
Low Birthweight	7.8% of live births 1983-87			12.9%	6.8% of live births 1992-96	49
Infant Mortality	10.4/1000 live births 1983-87			43.0%	5.9/1000 live births 1992-96	81
Births to Young Teens	34.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			%0.0	34.1/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	32
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 23.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			29.8%	16.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	39
Child Deaths	39.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-1.8%			40.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	53
Child Poverty	22.6% of all children 1980	-41.3%			32.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	59
High School Dropouts	4.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -23.9%	-23.9%			5.5% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	59
Juvenile Arrests	52.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-84.0%			96.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	æ
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	m bar 🛮 state change 🖰 coi	unty change #	## = zero base - cann	ot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (	77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 8,505

Children are 24.9% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

Caddo County

County Seat: Anadarko

		- Cocassance	-	and mercane him		YIII Y
Low Birthweight	5.7% of live births 1983-87	.14.9%	4		6.5% of live births 1992-96	39
Infant Mortality	8.0/1000 live births 1983-87	-72.8%			9.8/1000 live births 1992-96	23
Births to Young Teens	65.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			%6.91	52.2/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	73
Child Abuse & Neglect	2.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-1422.3%			37.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	9/
hild Deaths	57.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-		35.3%	37.2/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	47
Child Poverty	26.2% of all children 1980	-33.6%			35.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	19
High School Dropouts	3.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	.18.6%			3.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	24
Juvenile Arrests	65.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	%11.9%			73.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	17

Children are 27.2% of the county population Number of Children (1997): 8,427

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest



Number of Children (1997): 25,665

Children are 30.3% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

# Canadian County

## County Seat: El Reno

			1			-
Low Birthweight	5.2% of live births 1983-87	-3.7%	5-1		5.4% of live births 1992-96	12
Infant Mortality	7.1/1000 live births 1983-87			25.5%	5.3/1000 live births 1992-96	=
Births to Young Teens	30.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			21.4%	24.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	13
Child Abuse & Neglect	2.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-380.7%			12.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	23
Child Deaths	36.3/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			47.3%	19.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	13
Child Poverty	7.4% of all children 1980	.70.2%			12.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	1
High School Dropouts	5.1% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			72.9%	3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	90 ——
Juvenile Arrests	83.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	.55.8%			130.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	43
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change ### = 2200 base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	m bar 🛮 state change 🗖 co	nunty change	### = zero base - cann	tot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (	77 = worst

### Carter County

## County Seat: Ardmore

		•			
Low Birthweight	6.9% of live births 1983-87	7.3%		7.4% of live births 1992-96	99
Infant Mortality	10.4/1000 live births 1983-87		20.6%	8.3/1000 live births 1992-96	42
Births to Young Teens	49.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		10.7%	44.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	09
hild Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 7.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-14.5% [7]		17.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	48
Child Deaths	45.7/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-	8.1%	42.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	23
Child Poverty	19.5% of all children 1980	-48.0%		28.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	48
High School Dropouts	6.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	14	43.2% 3.9%	43.2% 3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	29
Juvenile Arrests	140.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	%6.9%		274.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	- 62

Children are 27.0% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 11,902 Economic Cluster #4: Poorer


2

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

# Cherokee County

ERIC

County Seat: Tahlequah



Children are 26.4% of Number of Children (1997): 10,127 the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest




#### County Seat: Hugo


### = zero base - cannos calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

astate change a county change


Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Low Birthweight	7.9% of live births 1983-87	.20.7%	5]		9.5% of live births 1992-96	11
Infant Mortality	8.6/1000 live births 1983-87			16.5%	7.2/1000 live births 1992-96	99
Births to Young Teens	58.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			20.2%	46.5/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	99
Child Abuse & Neglect	Hild Abuse & Neglect 2.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-429.2%			13.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	28
Child Deaths	42.9/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	.93.3%			83.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	76
Child Poverty	28.6% of all children 1980	-53.1X	 		43.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	9/
High School Dropouts	5.3% of grodes 9.12 1994-1995 School Year	ür		27.3% 3	27.3% 3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	25
Juvenile Arrests	39.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1









#### Number of Children (1997): 870

Children are 28.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

## Cimarron County

County Seat: Boise City

Low Birthweight	6.1% of live births 1983-87	-43.3%			8.7% of live births 1992-96	9/
Infant Mortality	7.2/1000 live births 1983-87			24.1%	5.5/1000 live births 1992-96	14
Births to Young Teens	32.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	. The state of the		10.2%	29.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	22
hild Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-13.0%			4.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	. 2
Child Deaths	47.9/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	Section and the section of the secti		36.0%	30.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	33
Child Poverty	14.9% of all children 1980	31.8%			19.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 16
High School Dropouts	1.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			11.2% 1.3%	1.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	_
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			#	231.5/100,000 vouth 10-17 1997	57

# Gleveland County Co

County Seat: Norman

Low Birthweight	5.7% of live births 1983-87	.7.6%	 			6.1% of live births 1992-96	78
Infant Mortality	8.8/1000 live births 1983-87	mar - ogge <sub>1</sub> rog		7	24.3%	6.7/1000 live births 1992-96	17
Births to Young Teens	21.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		 		0.0%	21.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	6
Child Abuse & Neglect	6.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-71.4%				11.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	17
Child Deaths	31.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83				15.3%	26.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	æ
Child Poverty	7.6% of all children 1980	107.0%				15.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	9
High School Dropouts	6.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	%9·9-			7.09	7.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	72
Juvenile Arrests	172.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	36.3%	109.7/100.000 vouth 10.17 1997	35

Number of Children (1997): 52,584

Children are 26.7% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

74



#### Coal County

#### County Seat: Coalgate


Inclication	වගෙම මගේග	0	Worsened	[mproxed]	<b>\$</b>	Recent Deto	Remk
Low Birthweight	7.7% of live births 1983-87				14.7%	6.6% of live births 1992-96	41
Infant Mortality	27.2/1000 live births 1983-87	•			62.9%	10.1/1000 live births 1992-96	09
Births to Young Teens	43.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				28.0%	31.6/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	25
Child Abuse & Neglect 15.9	15.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.14.8%				18.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	82
Child Deaths	29.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-16.3%				33.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	40
Child Poverty	28.3% of oll children 1980	.20.3%				34.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	63
High School Dropouts	3.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year				55.2%	55.2% 1.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	က
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980				###	117.4/100,000 youth 10.17 1997	88

Children are 26.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Number of Children (1997): 1,590

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — county Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Number of Children (1997): 32,169

Children are 28.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer



County Seat: Lawton
County
ache

ිල්ල ලෙල කරන කරන ඉතර		0	- Worsened		[mproxed] &	Resem Defo Rents	Remk
Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1983-87	.18.3%				7.7% of live births 1992-96	19
Infant Mortality	10.4/1000 live births 1983-87			Г	9.3%	9.4/1000 live births 1992-96	52
Births to Young Teens	47.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				5.6%	44.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	62
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 11.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	İ			8.0%	10.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	13
Child Deaths	39.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83				16.9%	32.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	36
Child Poverty	19.3% of all children 1980	-26.0%				24.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	32
High School Dropouts	7.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		-		27.3%	27.3% 5.4% of grades 9.12 1996-1997 School Year	27
Juvenile Arrests	179.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-82.8%		, ,		327.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	69
				7	###	11 . 11 1 1	(2 - 1110111)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔝 state change 🗆 county change ... ### = zero base - cannot calculate change ... County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Number of Children (1997): 1,682 Children are 25.1% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

#### Cotton County

#### County Seat: Walters

(Indicator)	. Coce Doto;	: = Worsened	limpsoxed	ं Wonseined Improxed a et a et e et e	Rank
Low Birthweight	4.5% of live births 1983-87	*1.7.		4.8% of live births 1992-96	7
Infant Mortality	2.3/1000 live births 1983-87	-283.1%		8.8/1000 live births 1992-96	45
Births to Young Teens	33.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	-11.4%		37.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	14
Child Abuse & Neglect	13.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985		55.9%	5.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	4
Child Deaths	24.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-157.8% [7/		62.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	12
Child Poverty	19.3% of all children 1980	-78.3%		24.7% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 34
High School Dropouts	4.8% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		4.2% 4.65	4.6% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	14
Juvenile Arrests	318.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-13.5%		361.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	8
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛘 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	m bar 🛚 state change 🗖 cou	nty change ### = zero base - cannot c	alculate change County Rank ( $l = best$ ) (7.	77 = worst

#### Graig County

#### County Seat: Vinita


						_
Low Birthweight	4.7% of live births 1983-87	-38.8%	<b>ا</b> ار-		6.5% of live births 1992-96	38
Infant Mortality	12.1/1000 live births 1983-87			2.0%	11.9/1000 live births 1992-96	2
Births to Young Teens	36.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			%E'6	32.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	78
hild Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 11.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			47.0%	6.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	~
Child Deaths	38.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-25.2%			48.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	62
Child Poverty	13.9% of all children 1980	-60.1%			22.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	25
High School Dropouts	3.3% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year			14.8%	14.8% 2.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	91
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			###	118.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	39

Children are 22.9% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 3,313

00 **2**  Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999



### Creek County

#### County Seat: Sapulpa

Low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1983-87		3.5%	6.2% of live births 1992-96	59
Infant Mortality	8.8/1000 live births 1983-87		22.0%	6.9/1000 live births 1992-96	28
Births to Young Teens	46.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		 21.9%	36.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	37
Child Abuse & Neglect	5.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.148.7%	14.2	14.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	æ
Child Deaths	40.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		32.2% 27.	27.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	28
Child Poverty	13.5% of all children 1980	-62.0%	2	21.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	23
High School Dropouts	5.7% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		14.7% 4.9% of g	4.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	46
Juvenile Arrests	786. 46.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	138.6%		111.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	37

Children are 27.7% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 18,338

Economic Cluster #3: Middle

Custer County

#### County Seat: Arapaho

Low Birthweight	6.0% of live births 1983-87	4.2%		6.3% of live births 1992-96	3
Infant Mortality	14.2/1000 live births 1983-87		47.5%	7.5/1000 live births 1992-96	35
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 64.1/1000 girk 15-17 1981-83		45.9%	34.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	35
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 10.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-80.9%		19.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	53
Child Deaths	43.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		B3.2%	7.4/106,000 children 1-14 1992-96	4
Child Poverty	17.8% of all children 1980	-29.1%		23.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	28
High School Dropouts	2.6% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year -97.2%	¥2.78-	 3	5.2% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	22
Juvenile Arrests	141.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		10.9%	126.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	4

Children are 26.9% of the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier

Number of Children (1997): 6,930

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Number of Children (1997): 7,965

Children are 23.5% of the county population Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

Delaware County C

County Seat: Jay

		STORY TO THE SEASON OF THE SEA	7		Acoustic Control of the Control of t	THE PARTY OF THE P	3
Low Birthweight	5.8% of live births 1983-87	-37.3%		-	8.0	8.0% of live births 1992-96	02
Infant Mortality	7.9/1000 live births 1983-87			4.3%		7.6/1000 live births 1992-96	37
Births to Young Teens	42.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			%9'6		38.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	47
Child Abuse & Neglect	4.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-0.8%			4.6 confirmed	4.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	8
Child Deaths	38.2/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			39.0%		23.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	81
Child Poverty	29.0% of all children 1980	-37%		-	30.1% of all	30.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	53
High School Dropouts	8.8% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			34.7%	ļ	5.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	62
Juvenile Arrests	59.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			¥0.001		0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Dewey County


County Seat: Taloga

Low Birthweight         5.3% of live births 1983-87         7.3x         7.3x         15.2/1000 live births 1992-96         15           Infant Mortality         6.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83         -127.0x         -127.0x         -127.0x         15.2/1000 live births 1992-96         73           Births to Young Teens         46.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83         -127.0x         -122.x         9.3 confirmed/1000 children PY 1997         9           Child Abuse & Neglect         10.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83         -10.0x         0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1972-96         -10.0x	Inellection	ලාල මහල	- : Worsened ; Improved	veltij)	y Dex		👾 😭 🗚 Rêcent Dafo	Rank
6.7/1000 live births 1983-87 .177.0%   15.2/1000 live births 1992-96   15.2/1000 live births 1992-96   10.5 confirmed/1000 dhildren FY 1985   10.5 confirmed/1000 dhildren FY 1985   110.5/100,000 dhildren 1980   10.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year   10.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1980   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997   148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 199	Low Birthweight	5.3% of live births 1983-87	7.6%				5.7% of live births 1992-96	15
46.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83       50.2x       23.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96         10.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985       12.2x       9.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997         110.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83       100.0x       0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96         16.5% of all children 1980       -10.9x       0.0/100,000 children 1993 (revised)         0.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year       ## 1.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year         0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980       ## 148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	Infant Mortality		12.0%				15.2/1000 live births 1992-96	73
10.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985  110.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83  16.5% of all children 1980  10.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	Births to Young Teens	46.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			-	50.2%	23.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	01
110.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83  16.5% of all children 1980  -10.5% of all children 1980  -10.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year  0.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year  0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980  ## 148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	Child Abuse & Neglect	10.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985				12.2%	9.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	6
16.5% of all children 1980 .10.9% [ 18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	Child Deaths	110.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83				100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	lie for 1
0.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year ## 1.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980 ### 148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	Child Poverty	16.5% of all children 1980	,10.9%				18.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 13
0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	High School Dropouts	0.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			,		7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	7
	Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980				###	148.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	49

Number of Children (1997): 1,297 Children are 25.7% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

လ



#### Ellis County

#### County Seat: Arnett

Genda

=

7.5

88

मिटीहर्वाक	ලින්න මන්න	- Worsened	limproxed	ф	Recent Deto
Low Birthweight	5.6% of live births 1983-87			81.8	5.3% of live births 1992-96
Infant Mortality	6.6/1000 live births 1983-87	119.6%			14.5/1000 live births 1992-96
Births to Young Teens	44.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			3.3%	43.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-163.4%			15.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997
Child Deaths	156.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			84.1%	24.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96
Child Poverty	9.2% of all children 1980	-94.3%			17.8% of all children 1993 (revised)
High School Dropouts	High School Dropouts 1.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 7.0%	%0.7.		1.5	1.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			***	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997

Children are 26.0% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 1,099

#### Garfield County

#### County Seat: Enid

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 1 state change 🗖 county change \*## = zero base - cannot calculate change — Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

tie for 1

6

12

34

Indicator	ටහෙම මණය		Worsened	limproved	Ф	Recent Doto	Gends
Low Birthweight	5.9% of live births 1983-87	-24.2%	4]			7.3% of live births 1992-96	59
Infant Mortality	10.1/1000 live births 1983-87				11.9%	8.9/1000 live births 1992-96	49
Births to Young Teens	40.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				5.7%	38.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	44
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 13.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-14.6%	!			15.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	35
Child Deaths	57.9/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83				34.2%	38.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	49
Child Poverty	9.2% of all children 1980	-141.5% [7]				22.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	24
High School Dropouts	5.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year				89.6	9.6% 4.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	47
Juvenile Arrests	93.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-37.8%				128.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	42
Change of more than 110%	— positive or negative — are graphed with bro	ken bar 🗆 stat	e change 🛚 count	y change ### = zero	base - cann	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛘 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 14,880

Children are 26.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

#### No low

Number of Children (1997): 6,786 Children are 25.1% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

#### Garvin County

### County Seat: Pauls Valley

Indicator	Dollo			oved . T	🧢 🔭 Recenti Data	Raink
Low Birthweight	7.8% of live births 1983-87	¥.1%	<u> </u>		8.1% of live births 1992-96	11
Infant Mortality	9.0/1000 live births 1983-87			40.8%	5.3/1000 live births 1992-96	13
Births to Young Teens	40.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			0.2%	40.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	52
Child Abuse & Neglect	25.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-62.5%			40.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	11
Child Deaths	73.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-1.3%			73.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	73
Child Poverty	15.1% of all children 1980	79.6X	1		27.2% of all children 1993 (revised)	40
High School Dropouts	4.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			7.3% 3.9	7.3% 3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	76
Juvenile Arrests	110.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-86.5%			205.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	55
Change of more than 110% -	Chance of more than 110% - notitive or measive - are enabled with hinten har a count of these counts of the country of the cou	en har   ctate change	County change	###	raterities channes Course, Dank (1 - han) (2	1

#### Grady County

#### County Seat: Chickasha


Indicator	Base Dafa	🐔 🌮. Worsened:	F Improved:		Recent Data	Rank
Low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1983-87	-11.5 <b>%</b>			7.1% of live births 1992-96	25
Infant Mortality	11.6/1000 live births 1983-87			39.4%	7.0/1000 live births 1992-96	29
Births to Young Teens	39.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			12.2%	34.5/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	33
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 12.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			7.4%	11.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	<b>8</b> 2
Child Deaths	65.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			47.0%	34.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	42
Child Poverty	15.6% of all children 1980	×1.69-			26.4% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 37
High School Dropouts	6.1% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-		20.5% 4.9	4.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	45
Juvenile Arrests	144.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-67.6%			241.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	09
7001.						!

Number of Children (1997): 12,755

Children are 28.1% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)





#### Grant County

#### County Seat: Medford

ग्रिट्टियांच्या	් මුල්ල	Worsenad   Improved   Se	Dexording		The seal bold	Ncink:
Low Birthweight	5.9% of live births 1983-87	-0.1%			5.9% of live births 1992-96	12
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1983-87			#	7.4/1000 live births 1992-96	32
Births to Young Teens	34.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			84.3%	5.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	-
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.5.2%			10.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	12
Child Deaths	46.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-25.9%			58.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	69
Child Poverty	13.9% of all children 1980	14.2X			15.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 7
High School Dropouts	1.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -10.0%	10.0%		1.5%	1.5% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	5
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			##	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Children are 24.9% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 1,347

Greer County

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

#### County Seat: Mangum

□ state change □ county change ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

99	311.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997			16.6%	266.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Juvenile Arrests
42	4.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year			51.4%	3.1% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year -51.4%	High School Dropouts
28	31.9% of all children 1993 (revised)			3.3%	30.9% of all children 1980	Child Poverty
tie for 1	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	100.0%			132.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	Child Deaths
29	13.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997			.136.9%	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	Child Abuse & Neglect
33	33.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	42.7%			Births to Young Teens 59.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	Births to Young Teens
8	3.2/1000 live births 1992-96	X191			15.7/1000 live births 1983-87	Infant Mortality
76	6.1% of live births 1992-96			-1.2%	6.0% of live births 1983-87	Low Birthweight
	K Recent Dala 🛠	Improxed x xx x in	Worsened	0.	ි. ලංකු ම්කල	The Beatlers

Children are 19.9% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 1,271

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔻 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

#### Harmon County

#### County Seat: Hollis

Incleation	විපෙම මණි	- Worsened	Improved	* • •	Improved o Resembling	Contra
Low Birthweight	8.5% of live births 1983-87	-		8.7%	7.8% of live births 1992-96	89
Infant Mortality	9.7/1000 live births 1983-87	XI.II.			17.2/1000 live births 1992-96	76
Births to Young Teens	64.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			31.8%	43.9/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	89
Child Abuse & Neglect	2.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			23.0%	2.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	_
Child Deaths	20.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-		100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	lie for 1
Child Poverty	36.9% of all children 1980	-24.3%			45.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	11
High School Dropouts	1.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 258.5%	-258.5% \( \triangle \)		14	4.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	43
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			###	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positioe or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	en bar 🔲 state change 🖰 co.	unty change ### = 20	ro base - cannot	calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (7	77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 980

Children are 28.2% of the county population Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Harper County

County Seat: Buffalo

Inclector	ප්ලෙම මණය	- Worsened	്യ ഉംഗാർ	Recent Denci	Cornis
Low Birthweight	2.6% of live births 1983-87	-20.8%		3.1% of live births 1992-96	_
Infant Mortality	7.5/1000 live births 1983-87	.39.6%		10.5/1000 live births 1992-96	99
Births to Young Teens	29.9/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		21.5%	23.4/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	=
Child Abuse & Neglect	0.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-1228.4% [		12.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	70
Child Deaths	20.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	176.7%		57.6/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	89
Child Poverty	9.8% of all children 1980	-36.6%		13.4% of all children 1993 (revised)	m
High School Dropouts	0.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -285.7%	-285.7%		1.5% of grades 9.12 1996-1997 School Year	4
Juvenile Arrests	191.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		%0.001	0.0/100,000 duty 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Number of Children (1997): 920

Children are 25.4% of


the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

S 3

# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

#### County Seat: Stigler Haskell County



🗆 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997 100.0% 266.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1980 **Juvenile Arrests** 

tie for 1

Hughes County

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

County Seat: Holdenville

(77 = worst)	C	unty chang	a state change	oben bar	and the hadden or outleast to section	70011 mry J
28	236.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997			.352.1%	52.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Juvenile Arrests
70	6.5% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year			-80.4%	3.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -80.4%	High School Dropouts
69	35.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	<u> </u>		-18.3%	30.3% of all children 1980	Child Poverty
10	67.8% 17.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96				53.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	Child Deaths
64	22.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997			.87.6%	12.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	Child Abuse & Neglect
64	9.0% 44.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96				49.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	Births to Young Teens
24	6.5/1000 live births 1992-96			.16.6%	5.6/1000 live births 1983-87	Infant Mortality
13	5.6% of live births 1992-96			-21%	5.5% of live births 1983-87	Low Birthweight
<u>शिल्मी</u> द्र	Improved & & Recent Defo	dog	്ല , സ്രദ്ധങ്ങളി	0	ලයන මගෙන	Inclication

county change state change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

ERIC

Number of Children (1997): 2,903

Children are 25.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Number of Children (1997): 3,143

Children are 24.0% of the county population Economic Cluster #5: Poorest



Er of Children

Number of Children (1997): 8,669 Children are 30.2% of the county population Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

# Jackson County County Seat: Altus

Indiction	Bose Doto	ූ –	ooding .	රූ රූ රූ	Worsened   Improved ( Prov. )   Recent Date	Rank
Low Birthweight	6.9% of live births 1983-87			B.5%	6.3% of live births 1992-96	33
Infant Mortality	6.4/1000 live births 1983-87	-2.1%			6.5/1000 live births 1992-96	25
Births to Young Teens	52.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			9.1%	47.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	89
Child Abuse & Neglect	7.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-174.5%			21.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	09
Child Deaths	28.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			5.8%	26.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	24
Child Poverty	22.5% of all children 1980	-17.1 <b>X</b>			26.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	36
High School Dropouts	3.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			40.2%	40.2% 2.1% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	2
Juvenile Arrests	215.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-96.4%		;	423.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	77
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar	ten bar 🛮 state change 🗖 cou	nty change #	## = zero base - ca	☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	7 = worst

Jefferson County

County Seat: Waurika

Low Birthweight         6.0% of live births 1983-87         14.7%         5.1% of live births 1992-96         9           Infant Mortality         8.8/1000 live births 1983-87         31.5%         6.0/1000 live births 1992-96         21           Births to Young Teens         46.1/1000 girls 15.17 1981-83         5.472.4%         7         48.6%         23.7/1000 girls 15.17 1994-96         12           Child Abuse & Neglect         0.5 confirmed/1000 children 1-14 1978-83         5.472.4%         7         7         7.79 confirmed/1000 children FY 1995         74           Child Poverty         21.0% of all children 1980         -36.4%         73.5%         80.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96         74           High School Dropouts         3.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 school Year         77.1%         6.0% of grades 9-12 1995-1997 school Year         6.0% of grades 9-12 1997 school Year         77.100 grades 9-12	Incligator	Uces being American Worksmed	_ 	Improxed 🖈 🖎		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ronk
8.8/1000 live births 1983-87  Fleens 46.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83  87.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83  21.0% of all children 1980  3.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 77.1%  97.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980  100.000 youth 10-17 1980	Low Birthweight	6.0% of live births 1983-87	-		14.7%	5.1% of live births 1992-96	6
Sents   46.1/1000 girk 15.17 1981-83   48.6%   23.7/1000 girk 15.17 1994-96     Confirmed/1000 children PY 1985   -5472.4%	Infant Mortality	8.8/1000 live births 1983-87			31.5%	6.0/1000 live births 1992-96	21
87.4/100,000 children 1980	Births to Young Teens	46.1/	-		48.6%	23.7/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	12
87.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83 21.0% of all children 1980 3.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 77.1%   6.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 97.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Child Abuse & Neglect	0.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985				27.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	69
21.0% of all children 1980 -36.4% 28.6% of all children 1993 (revised) 20.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -77.1% 6.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 97.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980 100.07 100.0	Child Deaths	87.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			7.5%	80.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	74
3.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -77.1% 6.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 97.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Child Poverty					28.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	47
97.4/100,000 youth 10.17 1980	High School Dropouts				6.[	)% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	59
	Juvenile Arrests	97.4/100,000 youth 10.17 1980			100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Number of Children (1997): 1,651 Children are 24.8% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

ERIC

\*Full Text Provided by ERIC

Number of Children (1997): 2,720

Children are 26.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

## Johnston County

County Seat: Tishomingo

findicator	Base Dara	lörsened   Improved +	Recent Darge Trank	Renk
Low Birthweight	7.6% of live births 1983-87	14.6%	6.5% of live births 1992-96	36
Infant Mortality	12.4/1000 live births 1983-87	33.4%	9.5/1000 live births 1992-96	55
Births to Young Teens	45.9/1000 girk 15-17 1981-83	12.9%	7X 40.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	15
Child Abuse & Neglect	1.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	_	21.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	62
Child Deaths	42.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	54.4%	19.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	14
Child Poverty	37.1% of all children 1980	XE'S	x 35.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	89
High School Dropouts	2.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -75.3%		4.2% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	37
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	###	72.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	26
70000		the shanes ### - news have	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	77 = worst

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change – tamber - cannot calculate change – County Rank (1 = best) (77



Number of Children (1997): 12,283

Children are 26.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier

# County Seat: Newkirk

Kay County

Low Rirthwoight					
	6.5% of live births 1983-87		12.3%	5.7% of live births 1992-96	7
Infant Mortality	12.1/1000 live births 1983-87		16.7%	10.1/1000 live births 1992-96	29
Births to Young Teens 3	34.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	.25.5%		42.9/1000 girls 15.17 1994-96	28
Child Abuse & Neglect 3	3.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	444.5% [		21.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	88
Child Deaths	39.3/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-	57.3%	16.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	6
Child Poverty 9	9.6% of all children 1980	-112.2%	į	20.4% of all children 1993 (revised)	82
High School Dropouts 8	8.1% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		31.0% 5	5.6% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	09
Juvenile Arrests	283.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	172.2%		771.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	11





Number of Children (1997): 3,874 Children are 28.7% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

# Kingfisher Gounty County Seat: Kingfisher

Inellector	ලිපල ලිංග්ල	- Wor	Worsened	Improved	Ф	Recent Dete	Remk
Low Birthweight	4.7% of live births 1983-87	-0.9%	Nove 1			4.7% of live births 1992-96	9
Infant Mortality	6.5/1000 live births 1983-87				25.1%	4.9/1000 live births 1992-96	01
Births to Young Teens	54.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				49.4%	27.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	91
Child Abuse & Neglect	7.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.29.5%	-			10.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	=
Child Deaths	47.3/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		-		44.1%	26.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	21
Child Poverty	11.6% of all children 1980	-25.0%				14.5% of all children 1993 (revised)	5
High School Dropouts	4.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year				32.0% 3	3.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	19
Juvenile Arrests	53.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-2.6%				54.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	21
Change of more than 110% — positive		n bar 🛚 state ch	ange 🗆 count)	change ### = zero	base - canno	or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 🗆 state change 🗆 county change 🛚 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	7 = worst

Kiowa County

#### County Seat: Hobart

Incligation	මාල නෙල	benesaew	limproved	ф	Recent Pete	Gents
Low Birthweight	8.0% of live births 1983.87	-7.1%			8.6% of live births 1992.96	75
Infant Mortality	8.6/1000 live births 1983-87			<b>%</b> 6'09	3.4/1000 live births 1992-96	4
Births to Young Teens	61.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			37.0%	38.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	46
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 19.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-8.0%		. ;	20.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	25
Child Deaths	30.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			9.8 <b>%</b>	27.6/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	26
Child Poverty	27.7% of all children 1980	-26.2%			34.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	99
High School Dropouts	4.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 40.3%	40.3%	-	9	6.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	11
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			##	144.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	48
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	en bar 🛮 state change 🗖 cos	unty change ### = zen	o base - cann	ot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (.	(77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 2,874

Children are 26.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

**9**8

### County Seat: Wilburton Latimer County

ERIC

गिर्वीख्तिक	වයෙම මැටෙ	- Worsened	bevording	Φ	Recent Dete	Remls
Low Birthweight	6.3% of live births 1983-87	-		7.3%	5.8% of live births 1992-96	8
Infant Mortality	6.3/1000 live births 1983-87	-168.2% [		!	16.9/1000 live births 1992.96	75
Births to Young Teens	38.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	.21.9%			47.2/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	19
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	160.9%	-		13.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	26
Child Deaths	27.3/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-108.3%			56.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	43
Child Poverty	27.1% of all children 1980	.14.0%			30.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	25
High School Dropouts	1.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 48.6%	48.6%		2	2.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	Ξ

🗆 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change 🖯 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980

Juvenile Arrests

Children are 26.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Number of Children (1997): 2,738

368.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997

#

Number of Children (1997): 12,638

Children are 27.2% of the county population


Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

#### Le Flore County

#### County Seat: Poteau

Indicator	වියල මග්ග	- Worsened	lmproved	Ф	Recent Dete	Genls
Low Birthweight	7.1% of live births 1983-87			17.6%	5.8% of live births 1992-96	19
Infant Mortality	10.8/1000 live births 1983-87			44.4%	6.0/1000 live births 1992-96	20
Births to Young Teens	37.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	%6.5-			39.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	49
Child Abuse & Neglect	15.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-124.0%			35.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	74
Child Deaths	48.7/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			30.7%	33.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	44
Child Poverty	24.8% of all children 1980	-33.7%			33.2% of all children 1993 (revised)	19
High School Dropouts	3.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 16.9%	.16.9%		4	4.5% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	40
Juvenile Arrests	84.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	%!:II-			93.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	32
		1				į

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 💍 state change 🗋 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Number of Children (1997): 8,674

Children are 27.9% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

Lincoln County Co.

County Seat: Chandler

indicaror.		10 (a)	. Worsened	e la	oved -		Recent Data	Kank
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1983-87				15.5%	*	5.2% of live births 1992-96	2
Infant Mortality	9.4/1000 live births 1983-87		-		%I:9		8.8/1000 live births 1992-96	99
Births to Young Teens	46.0/1000 girls 15.17 1981-83				36.7%		29.1/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	13
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 9.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	*6.69-				16.0 confir	16.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	88
Child Deaths	28.2/100,000 thildren 1-14 1978-83	-18.0%				33.3/100	33.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	3%
Child Poverty	13.8% of all children 1980	.73.7%				23.9%	23.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	29
High School Dropouts	3.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-14.5%				4.2% of grades	4.2% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	36
Juvenile Arrests	80.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	.72.1%				138.5	138.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	45
Change of more than 110%-	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar	iken bar	state change 🗆 cou	ntv change	### = zero base -	- cannot calculate cha	State change   county change ### = con bace - cannot calculate change (norm Roub (1 - hee) (77	77once

Logan County

County Seat: Guthrie

Indicator	Base Data	- Worsened	ed Impr	oved *+	Recent Data	Rank
Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1983-87	-26.0%	<u> </u>		8.2% of live births 1992-96	 EZ
Infant Mortality	8.2/1000 live births 1983-87			7.9%	7.6/1000 live births 1992-96	3%
Births to Young Teens	39.9/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			24.7%	30.1/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	24
Child Abuse & Neglect	15.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			2.0%	15.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	36
Child Deaths	38.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-17.4%			45.6/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	42
Child Poverty	15.6% of all children 1980	%/85·			24.7% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 34
High School Dropouts	3.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-0.6%			4.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	33
Juvenile Arrests	136.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-10.0%			149.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	20
Change of more than 110% — positive or	- positive or negative - are graphed with broken bar	1	county change	### = zero base - cann	□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	77 = worst

Children are 26.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier

Number of Children (1997): 8,154 102

County Benchmarks

20

è

51

# 196.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980

2.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year

High School Dropouts

**Juvenile Arrests** 

County Seat: Purcell

🗆 state change 🗅 county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

tie for 1

0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997

100.0%

2

2.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year

<u>@</u>

35.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96

37.8%

23

22.9% of all children 1993 (revised)

2

20.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997

264.8% []

5.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985

Child Abuse & Neglect

Number of Children (1997): 2,249

57.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83

Child Deaths

Children are 26.2% of the county population

32.9%

17.2% of all children 1980

Child Poverty

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

-64.0%

22.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83

**Births to Young Teens** 

17.8/1000 live births 1983-87

Infant Mortality

7.5% of live births 1983-87

Low Birthweight

33

37.2/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96

~

4.0/1000 live births 1992-96

77.3%

Remk

Worsened

Ó

ලාලෙ පෙල

millenor

Love Coumin

ERIC

County Seat: Marietta

4.6% of live births 1992-96

38.0%





Number of Children (1997): 7,090

Children are 27.5% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Inclicator : 100	e Odra	* Workened   Improved	<b>XOZÎM</b>		Regin Dolo Renk	Gents
Low Birthweight	7.0% of live births 1983-87			27.1%	5.1% of live births 1992-96	<b>&amp;</b>
Infant Mortality	12.1/1000 live births 1983-87			51.3%	5.9/1000 live births 1992-96	17
Births to Young Teens	34.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			40.9%	20.5/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	9
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	43.8%			16.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	42
Child Deaths	24.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			7.2%	22.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	67
Child Poverty	9.8% of all children 1980	74.5%			17.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	2
High School Dropouts	2.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year .34.3%	3%		3.9%	3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	17
Juvenile Arrests	254.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			44.6%	140.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	46
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county	change ###	' = zero base - cannot ca	lculate change     County Rank (I = best) (7	77 = worst



# McCurtain County

County Seat: Idabel

Inclication	වයෙම මග්ල	0	Worsened Im	Improxed o	Recent Defe	Remark
Low Birthweight	5.5% of live births 1983-87	-72.9%		-	6.8% of live births 1992-96	47
Infant Mortality	8.7/1000 live births 1983-87	-3.6%			9.0/1000 live births 1992-96	51
Births to Young Teens	54.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			18.5%	44.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	19
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-94.7%	the configuration and		17.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	47
Child Deaths	49.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			X/61	39.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	53
Child Poverty	28.5% of all children 1980	46.7%		_	41.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	75
High School Dropouts	5.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			7.4%	4.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	4
Juvenile Arrests	123.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-62.5%			201.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	22
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ken bar	State change County chang	e ### = zero base - canno	t calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (	77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 10,013

Children are 29.1% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

#### Melmiosh County

County Seat: Eufaula

Inclication	වූවෙම මවේල	- Worsened	(Improved	¢	Recent Dete	रिटामीर
Low Birthweight	7.9% of live births 1983-87			12.4%	6.9% of live births 1992.96	- 51
Infant Mortality	13.0/1000 live births 1983-87			17.5%	10.7/1000 live births 1992-96	99
Births to Young Teens	44.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			21.2%	34.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	34
Child Abuse & Neglect	12.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985				23.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	99
Child Deaths	45.3/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			58.1%	19.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	20
Child Poverty	29.5% of all children 1980	-22.3%			36.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	70
High School Dropouts	3.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-18.8%	-	.33	3.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 Schoof Year	78
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			###	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Number of Children (1997): 4,225

Children are 22.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

106

52

Major County

County Seat: Fairview

Indication	Base Dato	Worsengell (Improved)		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ार <b>ा</b> ग्रे
Low Birthweight	4.9% of live births 1983-87		34.0%	3.2% of live births 1992-96	2
Infant Mortality	4.6/1000 live births 1983-87		100.0%	0.0/1000 live births 1992-96	-
Births to Young Teens	37.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		55.2%	16.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	4
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 32.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	. [	49.9%	16.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	40
Child Deaths	98.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		50.2%	49.0/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	19
Child Poverty	10.0% of all children 1980			16.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	6
High School Dropouts	1.9% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year		25.8% ].	25.8% 1.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	2
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		#	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Children are 26.8% of the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 2,085

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Marshall County

County Seat: Madill

Low Birthweight	6.3% of live births 1983-87	-21.9%	-		7.7% of live births 1992-96	99
Infant Mortality	8.1/1000 live births 1983-87	-33.1%			10.8/1000 live births 1992-96	19
Births to Young Teens	50.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			23.3%	38.9/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	48
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-301.0%		<u>.</u> . :	17.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	45
Child Deaths	76.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		· 	34.4%	50.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	74
Child Poverty	27.2% of all children 1980	X1.1.			27.5% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 42
High School Drapouts	6.8% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			20.5%	5.4% of grades 9.12 1996-1997 School Year	85
luvenile Arrests	0 0/100 000 vorth 10.17 1980			#	293.9/100,000 vouth 10-17 1997	59

Number of Children (1997): 2,705

Children are 22.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar



#### Mayes County

#### County Seat: Pryor

	ीट्टिंड क्रिक्टि	= Worsened (mproved)	) Compaci	<u> VeOlases र र </u> ्रास्त्री क्षित्र हिल्लान Data 🔍 Rank	Rank
Low Birthweight	5.1% of live births 1983-87	40.1%		7.1% of live births 1992-96	 
Infant Mortality	8.6/1000 live births 1983-87	-21.3%		10.4/1000 live births 1992-96	64
Births to Young Teens	42.4/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	14.1%		48.4/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	69
Child Abuse & Neglect	14.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.97.7%	_	28.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	17
Child Deaths	49.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			8.3% 44.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	51
Child Poverty	17.1% of all children 1980	.60.0%		27.4% of all children 1993 (revised)	41
High School Dropouts	7.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			28.4% 5.2% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	53
Juvenile Arrests	66.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			37.4% 41.7/100,000 youth 10.17 1997	20
Change of more than 110% — positive	— positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar		inty change	□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	(77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 9,854

Children are 26.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

Murray County

County Seat: Sulphur

8	1441 /1-01 mind your /0-17				WA.6-	and the most and and	
-	201 2/100 000 days		1:		2. 28.2.	303.6/100.000 vouth 10-17 1980	Juvenile Arrests
11	2.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	X7.2		•		3.1% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year	High School Dropouts
8	29.3% of all children 1993 (revised)		1 1		-65.8%	17.7% of all children 1980	Child Poverty
89	59.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96				-105.8%	28.9/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	Child Deaths
49	18.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	13.4%	- [		;	20.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	Child Abuse & Neglect
38	36.6/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	25.5%				49.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	Births to Young Teens
63	10.4/1000 live births 1992-96	36.0%			i	16.2/1000 live births 1983-87	Infant Mortality
څ	6.3% of live births 1992-96				-3.5%	6.3% of live births 1983-87	Low Birthweight

Number of Children (1997): 3,106

Children are 25.1% of the county population Economic Cluster #5: Poorest


110

County Benchmarks

54

## Muskogee County

County Seat: Muskogee



Number of Children (1997): 18,636

Children are 26.9% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

County Seat: Perry

Noble County

ustate change ucounty change

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

			Service Control	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Low Birthweight	6.8% of live births 1983-87			13.6%	5.9% of live births 1992-96	21
Infant Mortality	12.2/1000 live births 1983-87			¥0.E9	4.5/1000 live births 1992-96	<b>&amp;</b>
Births to Young Teens	31.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				37.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	40
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 1.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 -931.1%				13.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	17
Child Deaths	46.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		<u> </u>	X0.7Z	33.9/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	35
Child Poverty	11.5% of all children 1980				21.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 20
High School Dropouts	5.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			37.7%	37.7% 3.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	21
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			##	278.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	63
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot cakulate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	tate change 🛚 count	y change ##.	# = zero base - can	not calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (,	'77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 3,004 Children are 26.7% of the county population Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier



Number of Children (1997): 2,433

Children are 24.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

# Nowafa County Seat: Nowata

indicator : Da	් ලිපෙම මත්රිම	- Wordened Improved was	CECOUSE.				Jul 75:00:27	YOU'S
Low Birthweight	5.2% of live births 1983-87	46.5%				7.6% of live	7.6% of live births 1992-96	65
Infant Mortality	5.7/1000 live births 1983-87	.9.1%				6.2/1000 live	6.2/1000 live births 1992-96	23
Births to Young Teens	37.9/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83				0.4%	37.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	15-17 1994-96	43
Child Abuse & Neglect	12.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-36.2%			·	17.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	ildren FY 1997	44
Child Deaths	47.0/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83				53.8%	21.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	1-14 1992-96	13
Child Poverty	13.1% of all children 1980	103.5%				26.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	1993 (revised)	39
High School Dropouts	5.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year				6.2%	5.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	197 School Year	49
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980				***	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	rth 10-17 1997	tie for 1
more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ken bar 🛚 state	change 🗆 cou	nty change	### = zero base - c	annot calculate change Count	y Rank (1 = best) (7	7 = worst

Okfuskee Gounty

County Seat: Okemah


		-	τ	_		
Low Birthweight	8.4% of live births 1983-87			10.2%	7.5% of live births 1992-96	2
Infant Mortality	13.8/1000 live births 1983-87			38.2%	8.5/1000 live births 1992-96	44
Births to Young Teens	50.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			21%	49.6/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	70
Child Abuse & Neglect	12.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-1.4%	·		12.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	25
Child Deaths	16.2/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-15.0%			18.6/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	4
Child Poverty	24.8% of all children 1980	-49.5%			37.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	7.7
High School Dropouts	3.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 92.0%	-92.0%			5.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	9
Juvenile Arrests	350.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	tie for 1

Children are 25.4% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Number of Children (1997): 2,862

## County Seat: Oklahoma City Oklahoma County





County Seat: Okmulgee

Interest - Torse Design	ට ගෙම ලබාග්	ं - (Wensemed	Wersened Improved &	<b>4</b>	Recent Defe	तिटामी
Low Birthweight	7.8% of live births 1983-87			3.8%	7.5% of live births 1992-96	63
Infant Mortality	9.1/1000 live births 1983-87			1.4%	9.0/1000 live births 1992-96	22
Births to Young Teens	53.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			6.4%	50.1/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	11
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	187.3% XE.781-			9.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	02
Child Deaths	39.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	%8.5-			41.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	57
Child Poverty	24.0% of all children 1980	44.5%			34.7% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 64
High School Dropouts	3.7% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year 45.1%	45.1%		5.3% 0	5.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	29
Juvenile Arrests	19.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	2134.7%			430.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	73

🗆 state change 🗀 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change 💍 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar




ERIC

Number of Children (1997): 165,162

Children are 26.2% of the county population

Economic Cluster #3: Middle



Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Children are 26.4% of

the county population

Number of Children (1997): 10,087

#### Osage County

#### County Seat: Pawhuska

Low Birthw Infant Mor Births to V

Number of Children (1997): 11,506

Children are 27.1% of the county population

ne county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Indicator	* Base Data, *	ned	mproved		Recent Data Rank	Raink
Low Birthweight	6.7% of live births 1983-87	_ =		4.0%	6.4% of live births 1992-96	35
Infant Mortality	6.9/1000 live births 1983-87			16.5%	5.8/1000 live births 1992-96	15
Births to Young Teens	35.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			22.9%	27.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	14
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	1			8.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	7
Child Deaths	30.4/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	_ [ ]		18.0%	24.9/100,000 thildren 1-14 1992-96	24
Child Poverty	11.3% of all children 1980		-		24.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	30
High School Dropouts	5.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			8.7% 5.1	5.1% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	15
Juvenile Arrests	57.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980				87.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	31
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with brokm bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change 🕺 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ge 🗆 county c	hange ### = ze	ro base - cannot	calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (7.	77 = worst

#### Ottawa County

#### County Seat: Miami

Indicator	Base Data	ر	) sened	ydjuj)	oved · +	Recent Data	Rank
Low Birthweight	6.9% of live births 1983-87		-		11.8%	6.1% of live births 1992-96	u
Infant Mortality	11.7/1000 live births 1983-87				10%	4.6/1000 live births 1992-96	6
Births to Young Teens	33.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	-53.9%				50.9/1000 girls 15.17 1994-96	72
Child Abuse & Neglect	8.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.51.8%				12.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	22
Child Deaths	51.9/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			· ·	16.3%	43.5/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	99
Child Poverty	20.2% of all children 1980	48.8%				30.0% of all children 1993 (revised)	25
High School Dropouts	5.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	9.2%		-		5.8% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	63
Juvenile Arrests	117.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980				%E'S	111.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	36
Change of more than 110%	— positive or negative — are graphed with brok	en bar 🗆 stat	e change 🛚 cour	nty change	### = zero base - ca	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	77 = worst

Number of Children (1997): 7,286 Children are 23.8% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest



# Pawnee County

ERIC

County Seat: Pawnee






Number of Children (1997): 4,316

Children are 26.6% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer



#### Payne County

#### County Seat: Stillwater

Low Birthweight	5.6% of live births 1983-87			16.2%	4.7% of live births 1992-96	5
Infant Mortality	7.9/1000 live births 1983-87 `			8.2%	7.3/1000 live births 1992-96	3
Births to Young Teens	28.3/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			2.2%	27.6/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	11
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 16.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-20.2%			19.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	52
Child Deaths	30.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			45.0%	16.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	01
Child Poverty	12.9% of all children 1980	-63.0%			21.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 20
High School Dropouts	2.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -74.4%	-74.4%	-	3.49	3.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	22
Juvenile Arrests	56.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-326.1% [		;	239.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	88

Children are 21.5% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 13,821

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗀 state change 🗖 county change ### = 2200 base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

#### Pittsburg County

#### County Seat: McAlester

Indicators see	টিজন Dবালে	The Wordened   Improved 6	[mproxed]	¢	. Recent Deite Qualk	Renlx
Low Birthweight	7.0% of live births 1983-87			4.8%	6.7% of live births 1992-96	44
Infant Mortality	14.0/1000 live births 1983-87			28.3%	10.0/1000 live births 1992-96	28
Births to Young Teens	50.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	-		28.6%	35.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	36
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 9.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985			3.7%	9.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	<b>~</b>
Child Deaths	34.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-29.0%			44.6/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	45
Child Poverty	19.3% of all children 1980	-46.9%			28.3% of oll children 1993 (revised)	46
High School Dropouts	5.5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			17.4% 4.	17.4% 4.5% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	39

Pontotoc County

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

182.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980

**Juvenile Arrests** 

Children are 23.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Number of Children (1997): 10,134

County Seat: Ada

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

53

77.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997

27.6%

Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1983-87	-1.9%		6.3% of live births 1992-96	34
Infant Mortality	9.2/1000 live births 1983-87		 4.0%	8.8/1000 live births 1992-96	47
Births to Young Teens	30.6/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	-3.6X		31.6/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	38
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 10.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-169.1%		28.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	2
Child Deaths	41.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		63.0%	15.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	٥
Child Poverty	18.7% of oil children 1980	-58.6%		29.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	51
High School Dropouts	3.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -26.9%	-26.9%	4.	4.1% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	34
Juvenile Arrests	129.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	%/.99-		215.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	25

Number of Children (1997): 8,528 Children are 24.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

123

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change 🕈 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

# ERIC \*Full Text Provided by ERIC

Number of Children (1997): 16,410

Children are 26.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

# PoMawatomie County County Seat: Shawnee

Inclicators			<b>–</b>	mployee	mind lileany	
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1983-87	%0°6-	_		6.8% of live births 1992-96	46
Infant Mortality	11.9/1000 live births 1983-87	-		14.4%	10.2/1000 live births 1992-96	62
Births to Young Teens	40.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			6.4%	38.1/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	45
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 7.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.700% (7)			27.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	29
Child Deaths	33.2/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-25.8%			41.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	99
Child Poverty	15.3% of all children 1980	-84.1%			28.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	45
High School Dropouts	5.7% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			7.3%	5.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	55
Juvenile Arrests	170.0/100,000 youth 10.17 1980			¥1.9	159.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	15
Change of more than 110% — positive	— positive or negative — are graphed with broken	ı bar 🛚 state change 🗖 cou	ınty change	### = zero base - ca	or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛮 🗆 state change 🛭 county change 📑 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	(77 = worst)

### County Seat: Antlers Pushmataha County


		_				
Low Birthweight	7.8% of live births 1983-87			40.8%	4.6% of live births 1992-96	3
Infant Mortality	13.3/1000 live births 1983-87			55.2%	6.0/1000 live births 1992-96	19
Births to Young Teens	58.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			28.8%	41.8/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	23
Child Abuse & Neglect	2.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-1335.0% [			36.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	75
Child Deaths	37.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			25.3%	27.7/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	70
Child Poverty	28.9% of all children 1980	.38.8%			40.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	74
High School Dropouts	3.7% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	56.4%		5.5	5.9% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	29
Juvenile Arrests	111.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		- [	37.8%	69.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	25

Children are 25.0% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 2,873

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



### County Seat: Cheyenne Roger Mills County

tie for 20 - Worsened Improved of the Refix tie for 1 8 74 7 55 2 7.3% of live births 1992-96 16.9/1000 live births 1992-96 52.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96 17.6/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96 21.1% of all children 1993 (revised) 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997 12.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997 3.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year 70.1% 26.7% # -649.3X -15.9% -108.1% Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 42.1% 1.6% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -106.3% 1.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 72.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83 58.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980 8.1/1000 five births 1983-87 6.3% of live births 1983-87 14.8% of all children 1980 Indicator Bose Date Child Abuse & Neglect Births to Young Teens High School Dropouts Low Birthweight Infant Mortality **Juvenile Arrests** Child Poverty Child Deaths

Number of Children (1997): 1,001

Children are 27.8% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier



Number of Children

(1997): 18,375

Children are 28.0% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

#### Rogers County

#### County Seat: Claremore

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Low Birthweight 5.4% of live births 1983-87 Infant Mortality 9.0/1000 live births 1983-87	%98- %12-			_
9.0/1000	21%		5.9% of live births 1992-96	20
	-2.1%	X7.02	4.4/1000 live births 1992-96	9
Births to Young Teens 29.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			29.6/1000 girls 15.17 1994.96	23
Child Abuse & Neglect 5.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	.72.5%		7.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	9
Child Deaths 24.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		13.7%	21.4/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	21
Child Poverty 8.3% of all children 1980	.72.9%		14.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	4
High School Dropouts 4.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	Year -3.1%		4.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	88
Juvenile Arrests 13.7/100,000 youth 10.17 1980	633.7% [		100.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	ਲ

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

#### Seminole County

### County Seat: Wewoka

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					
Low Birthweight	7.3% of live births 1983-87		7.3%	6.8% of live births 1992-96	48
Infant Mortality	11.9/1000 live births 1983-87		30.1%	8.3/1000 live births 1992-96	43
Births to Young Teens	64.7/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		12.3%	56.7/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	75
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 10.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-83.7%	18.4 co	18.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	15
Child Deaths	35.2/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-14.6%	40.3/	40.3/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	28
Child Poverty	23.2% of all children 1980	×209-	37.3	37.3% of all children 1993 (revised)	73
High School Dropouts	4.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -27.4%	.77.4X	6.3% of grad	6.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	89
Juvenile Arrests	186.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		0.3% 18	186.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	53

# Sequoyah Coun

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Sallisaw	
County Seat:	
N.	

🗆 state change 🗎 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1983-87		, dinas	2.3%	6.1% of live births 1992-96	25
Infant Mortality	8.9/1000 live births 1983-87			16.6%	7.4/1000 live births 1992-96	33
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 51.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			15.8%	42.9/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	55
Child Abuse & Neglect	1985	.342.4%			19.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	54
Child Deaths	43.1/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			33.9%	28.5/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	15
Child Poverty	24.4% of all children 1980	.30.3%			31.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	57
High School Dropouts	2.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -37.7%	<i>%</i> .		4.0%	4.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	32
Livenile Arrests	59.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			3.0%	57.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	77

Children are 27.9% of

the county population

Number of Children (1997): 10,272

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🗖 county change 🛚 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Number of Children (1997): 6,522

Children are 26.1% of the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

### Stephens County

#### County Seat: Duncan

Dece :	5.4% of live births 1983-87 34.4%	8.6/1000 live births 1983-87	22 1 /1000 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
odkator 💮 🖰 Bas	Low Birthweight 5.4% o	Infant Mortality 8.6/10	Rirths to Voluna Toons 27 1 / 1

2

7.3% of live births 1992-96

77 = worst)	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔝 state change 🗎 county change 🌣 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	county change	· 🗀 state change 🗅	broken bar	—positive or negative — are graphed with	Change of more than 110%
47	140.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997			46.9%	95.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	Juvenile Arrests
52	5.1% of grades 9.12 1996-1997 School Year			30r -2.3%	5.0% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year	High School Dropouts
31	24.1% of all children 1993 (revised)	_		N1.701-	11.6% of all children 1980	Child Poverty
30	43.9% 27.8/100,000 children 1.14 1992.96				49.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	Child Deaths
61	11.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997			-656.2%	Child Abuse & Neglect 1.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	Child Abuse & Neglect
23	43.0/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	-		-16.0%	37.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83	Births to Young Teens
48	8.9/1000 live births 1992-96		<b>Se</b>	-3.2%	8.6/1000 live births 1983-87	Infant Mortality

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier

Number of Children (1997): 11,308

Children are 25.9% of the county population

Texas County

#### County Seat: Guymon

Low Birthweight	7.0% of live births 1983-87		 16.6%	5.8% of five births 1992-96	11
Infant Mortality	16.8/1000 live births 1983-87		52.6%	8.0/1000 live births 1992-96	33
Births to Young Teens	32.8/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		 14.8X	27.9/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	<u>8</u>
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	348.0%		17.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	£4
Child Deaths	40.8/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	.25.4%		51.2/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	.9
Child Poverty	8.0% of all children 1980	-98.0%		15.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	tied for 7
High School Dropouts	5.0% of grades 9.12 1994-1995 School Year -19.3%	19.3%	0.9	6.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	99
Juvenile Arrests	87.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-164.6%		317.1/100.000 vouth 10-17 1997	29

Children are 28.6% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

Number of Children (1997): 5,165





## Tillman County

## County Seat: Frederick

Gentz

¥

9

11

R

Indicator	ලිපල ලිපල	- Wexened Improved	pexecuding	DECT CHECK	Recent Doto
Low Birthweight	7.1% of live births 1983-87			1.9% of live	7.0% of live births 1992-96
Infant Mortality	13.8/1000 live births 1983-87			57.9% S.8/1000 live	5.8/1000 live births 1992-96
Births to Young Teens	51.1/1000 girk 15.17 1981-83	-30.1%		66.5/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	15-17 1994-96
Child Abuse & Neglect	10.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	41.8%		14.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	hildren FY 1997
Child Deaths	47.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-80.7%		85.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	n 1-14 1992-96
Child Poverty	29.8% of all children 1980	ж6.6.		32.8% of all children 1993 (revised)	n 1993 (revised)
High School Dropouts	7.4% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year			44.2% 4.1% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Yeor	997 School Yeor
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			### 295.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	1997 Just 1997

Number of Children (1997): 2,749

Children are 28.5% of

the county population

Economic Cluster #5: Poorest

Tulsa County

Change of more than 110% --- positive or negative --- are graphed with broken bar

#### County Seat: Tulsa

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

65

35

92

8

Undleater	ලටල ලටල	- Worsened	് പ്രത്യായി	the Research Detro	(Remlx
Low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1983-87	-8.4%		6.9% of live births 1992-96	52
Infant Mortality	10.1/1000 live births 1983-87			7.5% 9.3/1000 live births 1992-96	53
Births to Young Teens	41.1/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			2.9% 39.9/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	20
Child Abuse & Neglect	5.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-108.7%		10.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	14
Child Deaths	. 43.2/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83			24.8% 32.5/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	33
Child Poverty	12.8% of all children 1980	X7.19-		24.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	33
High School Dropouts	6.0% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-6.0%		6.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	69
Juvenile Arrests	191.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1980	-203.9%		582.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	76
Change of more than 110% — positive		en bar 🛮 state change 🗖 cou	nty change ### = zero bu	or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🛘 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	(77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 139,788


Children are 26.1% of the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier



## Wagoner County

County Seat: Wagoner



Number of Children (1997): 15,983 Children are 29.5% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest Washington County County Seat: Bartlesville

### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

☐ state change ☐ county change

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

			oveov r	Kecent Dara - Kank	Kank
Low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1983-87 .6.4%			6.8% of live births 1992-96	22
Infant Mortality	13.0/1000 live births 1983-87		49.0%	6.6/1000 live births 1992-96	26
Births to Young Teens	29.0/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		0.1%	29.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	20
Child Abuse & Neglect	6.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985 .229.0%			22.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	63
Child Deaths	53.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		48.1%	27.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	46
Child Poverty	8.7% of all children 1980			17.5% of all children 1993 (revised)	=
High School Dropouts	4.2% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year		12.8%	12.8% 3.7% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	23
Juvenile Arrests	204.0/100,000 youth 10.17 1980			244.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	19
Change of more than 110% — positive	— positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	county change	### = zero base - cans	10st calculate change County Rank (I = best) (7	77 = worst)

Number of Children (1997): 12,089

Children are 25.5% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

#### 136

# Washita County

ERIC Provided by ERIC

County Seat: Cordell

	Section of the Portion of the Property of the Portion of the Porti	- Worsened	4 Dexording		and the second of the second o	तिक्तीर
•	5.6% of live births 1983-87	-18.3%			6.6% of live births 1992-96	43
Infant Mortality	13.2/1000 live births 1983-87			38.8%	8.1/1000 live births 1992-96	41
Births to Young Teens	57.9/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83			63.2%	21.3/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	7
Child Abuse & Neglect 6.9	6.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-82.2%			12.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	24
Child Deaths	25.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-29.6%			33.1/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	52
Child Poverty	10.6% of all children 1980	-160.3%		-	27.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	44
High School Dropouts	1,5% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -53.4%	-53.4%		2.3	2.3% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	12
Juvenile Arrests	177.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1980			25.5%	132.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	44

Children are 27.1% of the county population

Number of Children (1997): 3,164

Economic Cluster #4: Poorer

🗆 state change 🗅 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change | County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar



Number of Children (1997): 1,823

Children are 22.1% of the county population Economic Cluster #1: Wealthiest

#### County Seat: Alva

Woods County

Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1983-87	-1.0%		6.3% of live births 1992-96	32
Infant Mortality	13.9/1000 live births 1983-87		%8.79 %	4.5/1000 live births 1992-96	7
Births to Young Teens	29.5/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		\$5.9%	13.0/1000 girls 15-17 1994-96	2
Child Abuse & Neglect	26.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	%9·0-		26.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	99
Child Deaths	10.5/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83	-173.8%		28.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	29
Child Poverty	9.9% of all children 1980	110.7% F.O.		20.9% of all children 1993 (revised)	6
High School Dropouts	2.3% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year	-2.6%	2.4	2.4% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	13
Juvenile Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		##	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	fie for 1


Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

# Woodward County Seat: Woodward

Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1983-87	1.5%		6.6% of live births 1992-96	42
Infant Mortality	15.0/1000 live births 1983-87		50.5%	7.4/1000 live births 1992-96	25
Births to Young Teens	50.2/1000 girls 15-17 1981-83		34.1%	33.1/1000 girk 15-17 1994-96	52
Child Abuse & Neglect	13.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1985	-13.5 <b>X</b>		15.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997	37
Child Deaths	40.6/100,000 children 1-14 1978-83		75.9%	9.8/100,000 children 1-14 1992-96	2
Child Poverty	8.8% of all children 1980	12.1%		19.6% of all children 1993 (revised)	tie for 16
High School Dropouts	1.9% of grades 9-12 1994-1995 School Year -55.3%	-55.3%	ന്	3.0% of grades 9-12 1996-1997 School Year	<b>8</b>
Juvenile Arrests	152.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1980		49.3%	77.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997	93

Number of Children (1997): 5,328 Children are 28.5% of the county population

Economic Cluster #2: Wealthier



	la ages all ages 1997 percent of oll children	4.8% 18.1%		4.3% 20.7%					-	=:	5.4% 18.0%	-		5.7% 20.2%	F	]			4.7% 20.5%	i	16.5%	ř	5.8% 77.3%	-=		2.1% 18.0%	4.5% 18.0%	4.0% 19.8%		5.3% 20.9%		5.1% 21.4%		70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7			
	71-21 sago 7991 194mun	159.336	1,185	262	719	332	916	514	1,662	1,559	4,60/	1 957	837	176	9,445				619		1,142		245	-	1,330			252		192	:	$\exists$	1,420			119	
	10 Testeent of all children	28.9%	30.3%	30.1%	30.8%	32.1%	29.4%	28.9%	29.4%	29.8%	29.6%	20.0%	30.6%	29.4%	28.9%	32.5%	27.0%	30.3%	30.5%	29.5%	29.7%	24.0%	32.4%	29.9%	30.6%	30.7%	29.0%	30.8%	29.8%	3.4%	30.3%	37.3%	₹1.77 % 1.78	31.4%	29.2%	29.8%	
	io Inasiag 1991 2agu Ilo	7.6%	9.0%	6.3%	7.9%	6.0%	8.3%	7.%	7.3%	æ. è	7.0% 0.0%	7.7%		8.3%	7.7%	8.5%	7.6%	7.6%	7.0%	8.2%	7.8%	0.7%	8.4%	7.8%	7.7%	8.6%	7.2%	<b>%</b> 1.9	8.4%	%0%	7.7%	% % %	6.2% 7.5%	, e	7.7%	%9.8	
	F1-01 sago 1991 Hadriun	253,401	1,802	382	1,054	53%	1,542	837	2,501	2,510	7,047	2.956	1.283	756	12,171	516	8,701	209	1,012	5,412	2,023	747	356	4,449	2,075	3,917	330	33	292	682	£	910,	705		3.585	1,154	
	ło tnassag 1991 nastblirts No	27.3%	26.5%	25.7%	25.4%	26.5%	28.2%	27.9%	%0.92 26.0%	20.9%	W///	26.8%	25.7%	26.3%	27.3%	24.5%	27.9%	27.1%	25.1%	76.3%	28.4%	26.1%	25.8%	27.6%	27.4%	27.3%	27.2%	25.7%	27.1%	79.6%	26.3%	27.1%	%1.77 %8.52	27.0%	27.8%	28.9%	
	io Insoraq 1991 sago llo	7.2%	7.8%	5.4%	6.5%	7.4%	7.9%	7.6%	0.5%	7.3% 0.40	0.4% 7.9%	7.1%	7.1%	7.4%	7.3%	6.4%	7.9%	<b>%8.9</b>	%8.5	7.3%	%0.7	76.9	6.7%	7.3%	%6.9	7.7%	6.8%	2. %	7.7%	6.8%	6.7%	0.0% 0.0%	97.0 4 7%	71%	7.3%	8.3%	1
	6-2 годо 7 <b>69</b> f	239,474	1,578	326	298	443	1,475	8	7177	007/7	3 165	2718	1,076	229	14,336	390	8,977	455	832	4,818	9123	338	283	4,114	1,861	3,487	366	377	566	745		98/	476	734	3.409	1,120	
	lo Inassaq 1991 nasibliris Ilo	25.7%	23.2%	23.4%	22.8%	21.6%	24.9%	25.4%	25.0%	74.6% 24.6%	%5.76 %5.76	24.7%	23.9%	24.0%	25.9%	21.9%	28.5%	23.7%	23.8%	24.7%	%0.07 23.8%	%1%	19.6%	25.3%	22.4%	23.9%	25.9%	73.7%	24.6%	71.1%	%9.77 0 10	W7.17	%5.66 %5.66	22.0%	25.8%	23.8%	7 1000
and 1997 (estimate)	1997 percent of cape lib	%8.9	%6.9	4.9%	5.8%	%0.9	7.0%	7.0%	9.7.0 2.00 y	7.5%	wc.,	6.5%	<b>9.9</b> %	6.8%	%6.9	2.7%	8.0%	5.9%	5.5%	0.8%	۷0.7 د وج	27%	5.1%	<b>%9.9</b>	2.6%	%/:9	6.5%	4.7%	6.9%	7.4% 96.7	5.8%	5 0 0 5 0 0	5.6%	5.8%	6.8%	<b>%8.9</b>	
~	4-0 sago 1991 19dmun	226,094	1,380	767	278	38.	1,305	737	2,130	7407	7.911	2,501	1,003	509	13,632	348	9,157	398	262	126,4	1 806	787	215	3,762	1,520	3,050	349	== == == :	741 1841	*	653	C00	331	286	3,171	923	1.00
Number of Children Under Age 18 Oklahoma & Counties: 1980, 1990	to insored 5991	26.5%	29.6%	20.9%	25.6%	28.0%	28.2%	27.3%	24.7%	30.3%	27.0%	26.4%	27.5%	28.2%	26.7%	26.2%	28.2%	25.1%	22.9%	Q/7/7	73.5%	25.7%	26.0%	26.2%	25.1%	28.1%	24.9%	19.9%	%7.97	67.C7	25.5%	%0. <del>1</del> 7	24.8%	26.5%	26.2%	28.7%	
Children U & Counties:	71-0 zago 7eel 1admun	878,305	5,945	1,267	3,418	1,672	5,238	2,896	0,202	774,0	11,902	10,127	4,194	870	52,584	1,590	32,169	1,682	3,313	10,330	7 965	1.297	1,099	14,880	98/'9	12,755	1,347	1/7/1	286	074	2,703	077.8	1,651	2720	12,283	3,874	0.074
Number of Children Under Age 18 Oklahoma & Counties: 1980, 1990	√1-0 zago 0991 Tadmun	837,007	159'5	1,352	3,316	0/9/1	787,5	3,169	9.440	22 460	11.721	9,231	4,308	910	45,979	1,537	31,515	669′1	30.70	7.116	989	1,463	1,178	14,878	6,763	918/11	1,432	₹ 715'1 186'1	1,041	700'-	7,04U	8.478	1,732	2,679	12,753	3,784	3000
Ì	71-0 zago 0891 . nadmun	854,884	6,163	1/88	3,488	97077	5,255	3,80 201,80	03,00	18 662	12.441	990'6	5,204	1,056	37,910	1,737	34,206	2,006		607'01	6.647	1,575	1,547	17,302	7,566	018/11	509/1	776'	1 100	1,170	3,101	0 7/6	2,268	2,922	13,168	4,193	
Child Population	заиви Ајило)	State	Adair County	Alfalta County	Atoka County	Begver County	Seckham Loumly	Bryan County	Cuddo County	Conadian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctaw County	Cimarron County	Geveland County	Coal County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Garfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	brant County	Urer County	Harrion County	Harball County	Hushas County	Inckson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Violen County

71

144

# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

эшои Ајипо	71-0 zago 0891 19dmun	1990 oges 1941nun	7997 ages 7991 iadmun	1997 percent of Oll ages	1997 ages 0-4 radmun	1997 percent of	lo trassaq 1991 nashlids llo	1997 ages 5-9	1997 percent of	lo tnesseq TGG1 nesiblids lla	1-997 ages 10-1 1-94mun	o Insonaq 1991 o Linesana o Lines	o tnesseq 7991 nesthirts llo	1997 ages 15-1	1997 percent o	1997 percent o naiblida llo
odimor County	9.750	y62 6	9 738	~ %9 %	641	%2.9	23.4%	739	7.2%	27.0%	998	8.4%	31.6%	492	4.8%	18.0
Latinital County	12.250	11 924	12 638	27.2%	3,044	6.5%	24.1%	3,205	%6.9	25.4%	3,861	8.3%	30.6%	2,528	5.4%	20.0
lincoln County	7.896	8 219	8 674	77.6%	2.010	6.5%	73.7%	2,333	7.5%	26.9%	2,621	8.4%	30.2%	1,710	5.5%	19.7%
I onon County	7.837	7.890	8.154	26.6%	1,923	6.3%	23.6%	2,220	7.3%	27.2%	2,384	7.8%	29.2%	1,627	5.3%	20.0%
Logoil Colinty	- 2142	7047	2 749	26.7%	711/	%0.9	23.0%	579	%/.9	25.7%	. 989	8.0%	30.4%	469	5.5%	20.9%
McClain County	7, 7	<b>706.9</b>	7 090	77.5%	1 580	6.1%	22.3%	1,962	7.6%	27.7%	2,105	8.2%	29.7%	1,443	2.6%	20.49
McCurtain County	110,61	0 807	10.013	20 1%	2.427	7.0%	_24.2%	2.612	7.6%	26.1%	3,029	%8.8	30.3%	1,945	2.6%	19.49
Melatoch County	3 030	3 918	4 275	22.5%	946	2.0%	22.4%	1,122	<b>%</b> 0.9	26.6%	1,284	<b>6.8%</b>	30.4%	873	4.6%	20.73
Meior Combe	2,737	9176	2,085	26.8%	167	6.3%	23.5%	570	7.3%	77.3%	999	8.6%	31.9%	358	4.6%	17.29
Marchall County	8176	2.450	207.6	20.07	<b>8</b>	5.4%	24 0%	969	5.8%	25.7%	776	6.4%	28.7%	SS	4.9%	21.69
Mouse County	0.574	0.07	0 854	26.6%	7 393	%5 <b>9</b>	24.3%	799.7	7.7%	27.1%	2,940	7.9%	29.8%	1,854	2.0%	18.8
Muyes County	3 464	3067	3106	25.1%	069	5.6%	22.2%	980	7.0%	27.7%	951	7.7%	30.6%	605	4.9%	19.5
Muchana County	10 476	18,691	18 63%	26 9%	4421	6.4%	23.7%	5.198	7.5%	27.9%	5,583	8.0%	30.0%	3,434	4.9%	18.49
Noble County	3 210	7 985	38	26.7%	2.82	6.5%	24.3%	835	7.4%	27.8%	17.6	8.2%	30.7%	517	4.6%	17.29
Nourte County	3.160	065.6	2,433	24.6%	574	5.8%	23.6%	634	6.4%	26.1%	753	7.6%	30.9%	472	4.8%	19.49
Okfiekoo Counto	3.259	3 074	7 867	25.4%	649	%8.5	72.7%	773	6.9%	27.0%	837	7.4%	29.2%	603	5.4%	21.15
Oklahama County	156.215	156,690	(31.78)	7,4 %	46 047	7.3%	27.9%	46,000	7.3%	27.9%	44,875	7.1%	77.7%	28,245	4.5%	1.7
Okaulnee County	10.761	9 766	10.087	26.4%	2.491	6.5%	24.7%	2,717	7.1%	26.9%	2,932	7.7%	29.1%	1,947	5.1%	19.3
Ocase County	11 351	11 549	11 506	77.1%	2,706	6.4%	23.5%	3,073	7.2%	26.7%	3,542	8.3%	30.8%	2,185	5.1%	19.0
Ottown County	900 6	7 332	7.286	23.8%	1,793	5.9%	24.6%	1,889	6.2%	25.9%	2,196	7.2%	30.1%	1,408	4.6%	19.39
Private County	4.315	4 198	4316	26.6%	1,042	6.4%	24.1%	1,104	%8.9	25.6%	1,323	8.2%	30.7%	847	5.2%	19.6
Povne County	12.676	12.997	13.821	21.5%	3,654	5.7%	26.4%	3,891	6.1%	28.2%	3,915	%1.9	28.3%	2,361	3.7%	17.19
Pittshurn County	10.947	10.064	10.134	73.5%	7,317	5.4%	72.9%	2,635	%1.9	26.0%	3,137	7.3%	31.0%	2,045	4.7%	20.2
Pontotoc County	8,368	8,531	8,528	24.5%	2,056	5.9%	24.1%	2,291	<b>%9.9</b>	26.9%	2,574	7.4%	30.2%	1,607	4.6%	88
Pottawatomie County	15777	15,852	16,410	26.5%	3,854	6.2%	23.5%	4,410	7.1%	26.9%	4,915	7.9%	30.0%	3,231	5.2%	19.7
Pushmotaha County	3,419	2,804	2,873	25.0%	674	5.9%	23.5%	092	<b>%9.9</b>	26.5%	855	7.4%	29.8%	284	5.1%	20.3
Roger Mills County	1.347	1.153	1,00	27.8%	228	6.3%	22.8%	270	7.5%	27.0%	305	<b>8</b> .5%	30.5%	198	2.5%	19.8
Rogers County	14,905	15,672	18,375	28.0%	4,470	<b>6</b> .8%	24.3%	4,933	7.5%	26.8%	5,457	8.3%	29.7%	3,515	5.4%	<u>-</u>
Seminale County	7,815	6765	6,522	26.1%	1,474	5.9%	22.6%	1,822	7.3%	27.9%	696′1	7.9%	30.2%	1,257	2.0%	19.3
Seguovah County	9,973	9,628	10,272	27.9%	2,449	<b>%9</b> .9	73.8%	2,601	7.1%	25.3%	3,168	89.8	30.8%	2,054	2.6%	20.0
Stephens County	11,809	10,993	11,308	25.9%	2,649	6.1%	23.4%	2,983	<b>%8.9</b>	26.4%	3,537	8. %	31.3%	2,139	4.9%	-8
Texas County	5,370	4,622	5,165	28.6%	1,236	<b>6.8%</b>	73.9%	1,406	7.8%	27.2%	1,518	8.4%	29.4%	1,005	2.6%	19.5
Tillman (ounty	3.703	2.895	2,749	28.5%	647	6.7%	23.5%	750	7.8%	27.3%	815	8.4% %	29.6%	237	2.6%	5.
Tulsa County	129,514	131,494	139,788	26.1%	39,404	7.4%	28.2%	38,595	7.2%	27.6%	38,302	7.1%	27.4%	23,487	4.4%	<b>9.</b>
Wooner County	14.372	14.229	15.983	29.5%	3,654	6.7%	72.9%	4,288	7.9%	26.8%	4,852	6.0%	30.4%	3,189	2.9%	20.0
Washington County	12,910	12,425	12,089	25.5%	3,011	6.4%	24.9%	3,344	7.1%	27.7%	3,586	7.6%	29.7%	2,148	4.5%	17.8%
Worklite County	3.879	3 089	3.164	27.1%	745	6.4%	73.5%	905	7.7%	28.6%	806	7.8%	28.7%	909	5.2%	19.2
Woods County	2.380	1,963	1,823	22.1%	446	5.4%	24.5%	485	5.9%	26.6%	₹	%9.9	29.7%	321	4.3%	19.3
,	-			700,000	0,0	,,,,,	707,00	107.1	7.00	00.00	1.706	84 a	30.1%	28	2	18.4%

County Deprivation Index: Rankings of Various Economic Factors Child Poverty, Per Capita Personal Income, Percent of Children on Welfare, Unemployment Rate Oklahoma and Counties: 1980 and 1996 (except recent Child Poverty data is Revised 1993 Census Estimates: recent Unemployment data is 1997)

	percent change over time economic cluster name and number	The state of the s	25.2% hours 5		16.2% Pared 5	52.7% 00-0	30.4% Peers 4	-14.9% hour 4	19.2% hors 4	-19.8% Reared 5	58.3% waters	11.4% hours 4	13.0% Perest 5	6.5% Peared 5	46.1% weathers 1	19.6% Weeks	9.8% heres 5	1.7% Nars 4	-2.4% hara 4	34.8% # 1	-0.8% Mates 3	9.3% 14-4 2	32.8% roum 4	38.1% #====1	100.0% Water 1	.29.8% W	-59.0% Poors 4	-21.8% Poorer 4	37.7% Weathing ]	5.5% Pared 5	-5.7% Parest 5	53.5% (*****)	-6.7% Pages 5	-7.6% Pagest 5	19.9% Parm 4	8.6% Pears 4	-10.7% home 5	80.7%	15.0% *********	-72.7% hand 5
	ronk		28	1	†	+	<u>                                     </u>	i	-	1- 69 rej 42	3	ts far 40	27	11	9		98	+	1 to 45	1	8	- 82	48	13	18	91	42 -58	35	1 to 4 3)	53	69 at 42	5 to 4	19	- 77	Se for 45	25	<u>  -</u>	26 -80	9	7.7. 09
a 15 199	noitovi1qab		112	L	4	<u> </u>		22	1	260	1	1991	214	767	83	1	182	<u> </u>	173	98	138		176	99	8	7		121	æ	188	260	33	226	268	173	148	279	103	ੜ	[ <b>23</b>
nt Unemployment aata is 199/	noitovitgab 08e1 xabni		290 lie for 76	;	9	55	i i	134 38		217 56	72 16	149 40	246 to to to to to 63	279 73	152 42	9 15	285 75	196 49	169 46	132 mts 32	129 the lay 33	129 lie for 33	262 69	97 56 20	40 16124	9 mi m 9	ļ	124 32	53 7	199 50	246 in tur 63	71 15	240 62	249 65	216 to 153	162 44	252 in tu 66	57 tater 9	40 mtz 4	185 48
Unempi	rank	7	25		. 88	9	26	17	28	s	- 5	25	4	<u> </u>	2		73	49	88	12		32	9	23	23	20	52	39	=	6	×	14	76	99	-	30	19	ន		4)
s: recent	1997 unemployment stat	41	5.9	1.3	4.2	25	33	E .	3.5	5.2	24	5.6	4.7	12.8	8.1	1.7	1.6	1.1.5	0.9	2.9	7	3.9	4,4	3.4	3.7	3.2	5.2	4.3	2.9	1.7	5.3	3.0	10.2	7.3	4.5	3.8	7.3	70	2.9	4.8
Estimate	rank		<i>L</i> 9 9		· •	9		60	23			33	8	EZ.	33	28	2	88	1	4		92	53	13		æ	21	33	m	4	11	88	69	99	22	38		26	6	72
Census	1980 unemployment		8.5	2	7.9	24	97	26	6.2	4,7	. 83.	4.2	7.6	10.2	6.1	3.7	120	6.3	3.8	47	4.6	3.1	6.5	28	2.8	3.4	3.2	4,4	20	1.2	3.7	4.4	8.9	8.2	5.4	4.3	. <del></del>	3.7	97	3.4
C(( 1))	children rank	. %	% &	7	% 65	:	:	ļ	34		92	% ×	% 62	74	~	8 19	*		39		<i>x 2</i>	35		2		X 25		31	<b>9</b>	ļ.	92	4	46			88	1	81 ,		53
t to INCENT	atnaiqiba i AMAT\JAAA averege monihliy percent of oll children	62,599 7.1%	398 6.7%	28 2.2%	328 9.6%	26 1.6%	394 7.5%	303 10.5%	504 5.9%	%0.E1 760,	843 3.3%	,000 8.4%	915 9.0%	534 12.7%	11 1.3%	X5.E 3.5%	107 6.7%	3,312 10,3%	¥E'9 901	87 2.6%	853 4.7%	412 5.9%	600 7.5%	33 2.5%	1.6%	660 4.4%	%6'8 209	675 5.3%	24 1.8%	1-4	128 13.1%	-	197 6.8%	299 9.5%	559 6.4%	XI 9 101	; — i			232 8.1%
city were	1996 (FY 1997) Child	9	7.5	14	7.3	6	8	33	4	88	6	æ	23	74	81	12	r	47 3	4	п	æ	33	2	15	9	71	33	38	2	62	69		99	s	SS	33	23	11	2	5
1) the 1 01	lo to tnesseq nestific	10.6%	21.0%	4.8%	19.4%	1.3%	8.4X	8.8%	10.5%	15.5%	3.2%	13.4%	14.7%	20.6%	4.9%	3.8%	18.1%	11.2%	10.1%	7.1%	8.7%	8.5%	16.7%	4.8%	3.1%	5.1%	7.6%	7.0%	3.4%	16.5%	17.6%	0.8%	16.7%	12.6%	13.5%	6.3%	16.6%	4.9%	2.8%	12.9%
111111	blidə (1891 Y7) 089 f etinəiqisət 2014	266'06	1,292	98	119	28	439	334	852	1,450	299	1,663	1,334	1,073	52	1,458	314	3,836	202	9/2	1,587	295	1,106	76	<del>2</del>	168	578	<b>E</b>		152	216	6	213	474	1,281	-143	£	646	116	423
danne	conk		62	53	7,6	91	Z Z	8	52	89	1	9	37	20	83	8	11	25		88	46	$\boldsymbol{z}$	32	*	*	2	15	4	6	₩	æ	12	72	69	95	83	75	4	=	19
1//0	rank 1996 per capita insome	\$19,574	75 \$14,603	24 '\$15,202	77 \$12,157	4   \$17,846	38 \$17,510	40   \$16,698	60 \$15,335	55   \$14,366	7 \$19,441	15 519,586		65 514,123	47 \$14,555	9   \$19,325	73 \$11,599	45 \$17,090	54 \$15,923	34 516,222	26 \$15,641	31 S17,474	70 \$16,680	32 '518,142	12 \$16,600	6 520,417	958'215 61	25   \$15,652	14 \$19,280	965'515' 65	74 \$15,334	21 S18,747	57 \$14,011	51 514,344	58 \$15,193	52 S16,611	72 \$12,803	5   \$21,470	8 \$19,006	8 514,607
	Det capita Smooni	89,308	55,168	\$8,462	54,963	811,078	57,863	57,647	56,459	56,933	\$10,215	\$9,258	55,926	56,184	S7,126	062'65	\$5,425	S7,731	996'95	\$8,042	58,401	88,159	55,749	58,144	665'65	\$10,521	58,781	58,426	59,403	54,470	55,404	28,585	20,702	56,312	26,700	27,077	25,690	\$10,795		611'ZS
m mine Communication	rank	-	55	ът. В	!		• 6	16 to 37	26	19	2	84	-	76	b (±1 de	9	33	32		25	23	88		u 4 13		24 S		1	1 to 1 to 1		= =			69	98	6			Ì	S → 99
	percent of children Ytsovoq ni gnivil	25.4	31.1	18.3	34.7	11.0	29.0	26.4	32.0	35.0	12.6	28.9	36.2	43.8	19.6	15.8	34.0	24.3	24.7	223	21.8	23.0		18.3	17.8	22.1	11.1	26.4		31.9	45.9	13.4	33.3	35.8	26.3	28.6	35.1	20.4	14.5	34.9
	to 19dmun E001 nostblirts 100g	226,841	1,896	245	1,218	261	1,560	826	2,749	3,058	3,182	3,559	3,659	1,947	179	8,137	220	8,309	436	749	4,018	1,685	2,318	259	202	3,364	1,895	3,319	230	412	453	88	1116	91,1	2,358	S	616	2,648	269	1,063
	living in poverly rank	J	.3 73	.8 29	6 75	0 42	97	4	6 53	2 61	- 4	5		19 9	9 31	6 2	3 65	3 46		13.9 27		4	69 (	5 37	88	1 1		; ;				;				5	1		;	25
	to sadraun 08ef noon frinken noonstan to transoog ytsovog ni gnivil	131,870 15.7	1,856 30.3	246 14.8	1,122 32.6	368 18.0		730 19.4						; d		2,846 7.6	495 28.3	6,271   19.3		<u>:</u> -:		1,180		264 16.5				_!		) 	:							<u>.</u>	į	902 27.7
		13		-	_			i .	_	7	_	-	-	-		~		•		:	7		_	1			-		_	: <del>: =</del>	1	-	-	-1	2,			<del>-</del>		=
	сопиј иоше	State	Adair County	Affalfa County	Atoka County	Beaver County	Beckham County	Blaine County	Bryan County	Caddo County	Canadian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctow County	Gimerron County	Geveland County	Coal County	Commarche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	CusterCounty	Deloware County	Devrey County	Ellis County	Garfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Harmon County	Harper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Kiowa County

ESCONOMIC Distress

County Deprivation Index: Rankings of Various Economic Factors Child Poverty, Per Capita Personal Income, Percent of Children on Welfare, Unemployment Rate Oklahoma and Counties: 1980 and 1996 (except recent Child Poverty data is Revised 1993 Census Estimates: recent Unemployment data is 1997)

L.OMOMic	Distress		Child P. Oklahor,	overty, ma and	Per Cap 'Counti	Child Poverty, Per Capita Personal . Oklahoma and Counties: 1980 and	~ ~ .	ncome, Percent of Children on Welfare, 1996 (except recent Child Poverty data	'Childre 'nt Chil	n on We I Povert	4	Unemployment Kate is Revised 1993 Cen	nent Kat 1993 Ce	e nsus Esti	mates: r	Unemployment Kate is Revised 1993 Census Estimates: recent Unemployment data is 1997)	пріоутел	t data is	(1997)			
	1980 number of poor children percent of children living in poverty	rank	1993 nadmun 5991 nashlirtə 1009	nastilits to tnassaq Ytsavoq ni gnivil	וסחג	1980 per capita income		Lonk	blidə (1891 Y3) 0891 2015-cinsiqisəs 2014	asiblidsen	stnaigisas (NAL/JUIA	average monthly percent of all children	ronk	tnamyolqmanu 089 l sio1	тапк 1997 ине <b>тр</b> іоутеп;	rale Ynot	noitovirgab 0891 xabni	rank:	noitavingab 3001 xəbni	tank	percent change over lime economic cluster	- nadmun ban əmbn 🗸
Infiner County	746 77.1	8	998	30.9	25	25,707	71 \$15,408	15	432	15.7%	60 288	%5 <sup>0</sup> 1	п	124	11	9.8 75	0/2	η	251	59	7.0% Paces 5	2
Le Flore County	1	1	4,216	33.2	19	86,299	63 \$15,182	1	1, 899,1	16.1%	61 1,143	%0.6	83	8.9	89	7.4 68	252	in for 66		-	1.2% Poorest 5	Z Z
Lincoln County	1,067 13.8	25	2,073	23.9	52	018,72	37 : \$15,520	49	838	<b>6.8%</b>	24 421	4.9%	38	4.8	45	5.1 48	131	35	154 sets 36		-17.6% hour	4
Logan County	1,181	33.	2,062	24.7	No for 34	58,475	13,571	20	573	7.3%	29 352	4.3%	24	3.7	22	3.1 18	112	in lar 25	96		-	r 2
Love County	361 17.2	39	480	22.9	п	57,840	39 (\$14,54)	<b>64</b>	155	7.2%	28 150	6.7%	42	5.3	s	4.1	35	£3	166 seter	8	-	4
McClain County	8.6 819	3 10	1,211	17.1	10	\$8,329	28 \$17,039			12.0%			21	3.5	24	:	2	22	2	-	¥ ;	
McCurtain County	3,378 28.5	99 5	4,299	41.8	75	\$5,869	69 S15,415	S	2,504	95.6%			11	9.0	8		282	74	· 🕴	+		. E
McIntosh County	1,140 29.5	5 70	1,533		70	29,162	66 \$14,403	i	730	7.6%		;	89	7.8	22	1	232	5	?		È	ر ا
Major County	246 10.0	13	363	16.8	6	57,612	41 \$17,264	L	\$	1.0%			6	n	12	4	89	2	62	+		
Marshall County			131		ta for 42	87,100	49 \$16,144	i	ì	3.1%	Î	į	33	5.7	22	ļ	173	4	159	7	8.1% Ports	4
Mayes County	1,609 17.1	8	2,693	7.4	4	23,527	42 \$16,056			22.9%	_	-4	37	8.9	9	_	216	16 for 53	.   2   :			- L
Murray County	286 17.7	7 40	916	29.3	S	\$7,156	46 \$15,005			11.0%			4	6.0	ع ا		163	45	212	**	- F	Poeresi 5
Muskogee County	4,505 23.8	55	660'9	31.3	*	\$7,935	36 \$16,760		3,293	16.9%	66 1,634		88	7.4	62		219	27	202	-	7.8% Poorest	7
Noble County	358 11.5	5 16	653	21.1	in to 20	58,941	17 \$16,530	35	247	7.7%	34 98		15	3.0	<b>8</b> 2		₩	2	32	寸	*	in 2
Nowata County	413 13.1	1 22	999	26.6	39	58,351	27 S14,432	Ц	1-1	7.6%	Ц	H	æ	4.6	7		121	E .	98.	1		Pocrest 5
Okfuskee County	767 24.8	23	1,104	37.1	7.7	56,246	64 \$13,295	-	438	13.5%	54 237		23	5.4	52	į	229	ta for 57	255	-	Ļ	Poorest 5
Oklahoma County	20,708 13.5	74	46,325	77.5	1 to 42	511,153	3 \$22,739	3		13.7%	=	_	89	4.4	37	4	130	<b>8</b>	!	Ť	=	
Okmulgee County	2,566 24.0	95 0	3,558	34.7	th for 64	87,266	44 \$14,489	59		17 6%			26	7.2	19		729	15 mi ai	ŕ	_	ř	Peores 5
Osage County	1,275	3 15	2,898	24.0	8	58,584	22 \$15,652	45	944	8.3%		_{-	32	3.0	. 91	_	æ	6	65	+	•	12
Ottowa County	1,785 20.2		2,273	30.0	25	125'15	43 \$17,744			13.0%			49	9.2	L	ļ	216	na for 53	. E	- 1	ř	Poresi 5
Pownee County	1-4	38	166	22.5	3,8	58,842	18 516,694	Ц	322	7.5%			23	43	33		<b>6</b>	ne for 28	F .	25	===	Poors 4
Payne County	1,622 12.9	9 21	2,949	1.12	In to 20	960'25			635	2.0%	ļ	ĺ	11	3.8	62	L	_	87 vaj es	45		* <u> </u>	
Pittsburg County	2,055 19.3	3 45	3,007	28.3	46	56,840	7 . 7		1,370	12.5%			35	E	75		522	: : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	E27	+	0.9% 1.00	Posted
Pontotoc County	1,530 18.7		2,613	59.6	51	58,135			829	10.3%	ļ	ļ	25	4.2	32	ļ	<u> </u>	4	8/1	寸	Ļ	Poorer 4
Pottawatomie County	2,397 15.3	Ц		28.1	45	\$8,747	20 \$16,456			10.9%	4	=	22	5.2	4	_	£   5	e	<b>=</b> [8	2 2	24.8%	Poort 4
Pushmataha County		į		1.04	74	55,013	í	ļ	ļ.	15.6%	٦	77.6	8 2	60	4	90 15	777	i I	114		-	( Table )
Roger Milk County	·	_	إ_	21.1	10 for 20	116,68	/80/CIS EX	43	27	0.0% A 0%	71	4	20	5	~ ~	_	8	_		1		-
Rogers County	8.3	4 ·	DCC,2	14.3	4 5	02,750	C47'01C 01	-	EU/ 1	18.0%	-	ļ-,	1 1	: 65	5 6		208	- 15	781	76	-	Poorest 5
Seminare County	-		-={	318	25	86,161	-1	_	1,690	16.9%	4	4	19	8.6	72.	0,7 8,7	263	20.	235	19	10.6% has	Poored 5
Stanbark County		L	2811	24.1	3	59.624	11 \$17.127	-	674	5.7%	22 53	554 4.9%	29	2.9	15	4.1 35	19	15	. 611	- 62	-77.6% Weather	free 2
Texas County			-	15.9	144	965'65	13 \$19,204	1	961	3.6%	į	77 1.5%	2	2.5	1	23 4	34		23	_	32.4% Wealthies	_ <u></u>
Tillman County	1,037 29.8	.8	226	32.8	09	\$6,308	62   \$14,047	l II	718	19.4%	12 13	126 4.6%	28	5.6	83	5.2 5.3	258		210	S	18.6% Po	Poorest 5
Tulsa County		į	34,759	24.6	33	\$11,963	2 \$25,951	-	13,235	10.2%	42 9,450	<b>%8.9</b> 0.	45	4.3	34	3.4 22	86	12	101	74	-3.1% Weet	this 2
Wagoner County	1,645 11.6	11 9	2,949	18.6	15	\$8,311	29 516,122	2 40	1,282	8.9%	40 55	592 3.7%	20	2.0	\$		132	as for 32	16	+	31.1% Week	
Washington County	1,112 8.7	7 5	2,207	17.5	=	813,178	1 \$24,343	3 2	404	3.2%	æ		14	3.0	11		ا ا	- Marie	55	-	*	T I
Washita County	402 10.6	9 14	988	27.6	#	610'15	51 515,072	Ц	152	4.0%		H	47	3.0	6	3.2 19	<u></u>	2	168	Ť	={	Parer 4
Woods County	237 9.9	.9 12	402	20.9	16	\$8,211	30 \$17,637	81	130	2.0%	20			17	-	ļ	23	į	57		¥ :	i
Woodward County	559 8	8.8 6	1/0/1 9	1 1	19.6 Instar 16	29,690	10 \$16,883	3 7	.83	1.3%	4 2	221 4.1%	12	17	=	4.2 37	33	to for ]	102	22	-229.0% week	Weeklier Z

Five-Year Number & Average Annual Percent of Infants born Weighing Less than 5 112 Pounds

o	
Oklahoma & Counties: 1983-1987 & 1992-1996	
ദ്യമ്പിട	

1983-1987 number

ευπη γίπυο

Beckham County

Blaine County

Beaver County

Atoka County

Alfalfa County

Adair County

		_	ĺ	L	]				]			Ļ	-	_								Ш			l		Ĺ		_	]	L		L	j	L				_
	1992-1996 percent	5.8	5.8	5.2	8.2	4.6	5.1	9.9	6.9	3.2	1.1	1.7	6.5	7.0	5.9	7.6	7.5	7.9	7.5	6.4	6.1	0.9	4.7	6.7	6.3	9.0	7.3	5.9	8.9	6.1	7.3	5.8	7.0	6.9	6.2	8.9	9.9	6.3	9.9
	1992-1996 number	38	185	95	141		78	180	1	12	23	178	44	347	33	49	53	3,964	184	134	120	23	₽	991	143	7/1	- F	738	114	155	180	99	48	2,891	700	185	41	28	8
	Inassaq 7891-8891	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.5	7.5	7.0	5.5	7.9	4.9	6.3	5.1	6.3	7.7	8.9	5.2	8.4	7.2	7.8	6.7	6.9	5.9	5.6	0.7	6.7	7.0	- E9	5.4	7.3	6.2	5.4	7.0	7.1	6.4	6.7	6.4	5.6	6.2	6.5
	1983-1987 number	40	210	131	150	88	120	150	88	32	39	124	24	409	19	37	23	4,081	239	195	147	73	246	6	2 2	907	3 2	727	153	160	168	108		2,788		243	59	8	121
	эшай Арило	Latimer County	Le Flore County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Love County	McClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Major County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Nowata County	Okfuskee County	Oklahoma County	Okmulgee County	Osage County	Ottawa County	Pawnee (ounty	Payne County	Pittsburg County	Pontoroc County	Puchmetabe County	Roger Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tilman County	Tulsa County	Wagoner County	Washington County	Washita County	Woods County	Woodward County
30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	эшіі 1эхо авиаф	-6.3%	-1.5%	.97.6%	-14.7%	-28.5%	-9.4%	5.3%	12.9%	-14.9%	-3.7%	-7.3%	.19.8%	-20.7%	43.3%	%9· <i>L</i> -	14.7%	-18.3%	.7.7%	-38.8%	3.5%	-4.2%	-37.3%	7.0%	3.1.6 24.96	41%	_11.5%	-0.1%	-1.2%	8.7%	-20.8%	-1.1%	-2.1%	8.5%	14.7%	14.6%	12.3%	-0.9%	·/.I%
1707	1992-1996 rank		91	74	72	19	8	23	49	39	12	ક	45	11	76	28	4	19	7	88	29	5	0/	2   2	- 63	7		22	26	89		62	13	8	6	%	14	9	?
								^			Į		i		- 1			ال ا	1		Ļ			زر		_!		ĺ	لــا		IJ								
	1992-1996 percent	6.9	2.8	 		7.5	9.9	6.0	6.8	6.5	5.4	7.4	6.7	9.5	8.7	6.1	9.9	17	<del>6.8</del>	5.9	6.2	5.0	0.5	: ::	7.3		7.1	5.9	6.1	7.8	3.1	7.5	5.6	6.3	5.1	6.5	27	7	9.0
	194mun 9661-5661			21 8.3		24 7.5		45 6.0	;	_  		724	i	93 9.5		_	:	اً.	L		270 6.2	1	Ĺ	1	-	137 8.1	F		19 6.1			54 7.5				:		7	9.9

Comanche County

Cotton County

**Graig County** 

Delaware County

**Dewey County** 

Ellis County

Custer County

Creek County

**Garfield County** 

Garvin County

Grady County

Harman County

Greer County

**Grant County** 

Harper County Haskell County

**Geveland County Gmarron County** 

Coal County

Cherokee County

Chacter County

Conadian County

Carter County

Caddo County

Bryan County

34.0%

3

40.1% -3.5%

3.3%

E

-9.5%

69 63

3.8%

46.5% 10.2%

2

1.8%

13

-15.9%

8 8 8

-8.6% 7.3% 2.3%

22

-34.4%

16.6%

7.6

-8.4%

7.4%

2 2 8 2

-6.4%

-18.3%

43

-9.0% 40.8%

4.8% -1.9%

4 8 46

1.7%

-22.9% 12.4%

15.5%

Опанде очег ітте

1665-1666 tauk

38.0%

27.1%



lefferson County

lackson County

Hughes County

Johnston County

Kingfisher County

Kay County

Kiowa County

Data Tables

153

M Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999 5.2

o "nfant Mortality	·	ve-Year Nu klahoma O	Five-Year Number & Average Annual Rate of Death Oklahoma & Counties: 1983-1987 & 1992-1996	rage Annu. 983-1987	ul Rate of L & 1992-19	Five-Year Number & Average Annual Rate of Deaths per 1000 Live Births; Oklahoma & Counties: 1983-1987 & 1992-1996
оппу пате	1983-1989 radmun 1861-5891	0001\ <sub>9101</sub>	1992-1996 number	0001\ata1 8661-5661	1992-1996 rank	amit 19vo agnod)
(b.6.	9 735	10.4	1 965	8.5		18.1%
Adnir County	17	10.5		10.1	19	3.7%
Alfolfa County		4.6	1	7.9	38	.71.9%
Atoka County	4	4.6	<b>~</b>	10.9	69	-136.0%
Reaver County	5	11.2		3.1		72.3%
Beckham County	14	7.2	13	10.8	89	-50.0%
Blaine County	16	13.7	2	13.3	71	3.2%
Bryan County	24	10.4	13	5.9	<b>=</b>	43.0%
Caddo County		8.0	7	9.8	27	-22.8%
Conadian County	42	1.7	28	5.3	=	25.5%
(arter (ounty	37	10.4	25	8.3	45	20.6%
Cherokee County	16	6.5	1	5.3	12	18.4%
Choctow County	01	8.6	1	7.7	8	16.5%
Cimarron County		7.2	-	5.5	14	24.1%
Cleveland County	901	8.8	74	6.7	11	24.3%
Coal County	=	17.2	4	10.1	9	62.9%
Comanche County	132	10.4	102	9.4	35	9.3%
Cotton County		2.3	7	8.8	45	-283.1%
Craia County	E	121	2	11.9	٤	2.0%
Creek County	43	8.8 8.8	8	6.9	88	22.0%
Custer County	37	14.2	13	7.5	35	47.5%
Delaware County	14	7.9	22	7.6	33	4.3%
Dewey County	6	67	4	15.2	2	-127.0%
Ellis County	2	9.9	۳ 	14.5	72	-119.6%
Garfield County	52	101	33	8.9	49	11.9%
Garvin County	19	9.0	6	5.3	<u>~</u>	40.8%
Grady County	39	11.6	20	7.0	29	39.4%
Grant County	0	0.0	2	7.4	83	###
Greer County	9	15.7		3.2	~	79.7%
Harmon County	8	6.7	4	17.2	9/	%1.17.
Harper County		7.5	2	10.5	65	-39.6%
Hoskell County	6	12.2	23	18.0	#	-47.6%
Hughes County	2	5.6	2	53	74	.16.6%
Jackson County	12	6.4	<u></u>	6.5	25	-2.1%
Jefferson County	4	88	2	9.0	21	31.5%
Johnston County	<b>&amp;</b>	12.4	•	9.5	\$5	23.4%
Kay County	48	12.1	35	101	28	%/-91
Kingfisher County	6	6.5	4	4.9	2	25.1%
Kiowo County	8	9.6	2	3.4	4	60.7%

orni 19ve gnad)	-168.2%	44.4%	6.1%	7.9%	77.3%	51.3%	-3.6%	17.5%	100.0%	-33.1%	.21.3%	36.0%	35.3%	80.69	-9.1%	38.2%	20.8%	1.4%	16.5%	61.0%	-2.9%	8.2%	28.3%	4.0%	14.4%	55.2%	-108.1%	20.7%	% %	<b>%9.91</b>	-3.2%	52.6%	27.%	7.5%	-7.0%	49.0%	38.8%	67.8%	20.5%	
1992-1996 rank	75	20	46	36	5	17	-5	99		19	2	63	40	œ	73	44	25	8	15	6	- 52	<u>ج</u>	82	47	62	61	74	•	\$	æ	48	£	9	ಜ	n	26	4	7	8	
0001\alm 9661-5661	16.9	6.0	8.8	7.6	4.0	5.9	9.0	10.7	0.0	10.8	10.4	10.4	8.0	45	6.2	8.5		9.0	5.8	4.6	9.7	7.3	10.0	8:8	10.2	9.0	16.9	4.4	8	7.4	8.9	8.0	5.8	9.3	6.2	9.9	8.1	4.5	7.4	
1992-1996 number	1	61	16	22	7	6	74	=	0	<b>&amp;</b>	76	7	40		4	9	486	77	12	6	6	28	25	20	41	4	3	<b></b>	14	61	Z	6	4	389	20	<b>8</b> 2	5	2	6	~
0001/alb1 7891-6891	6.3	8.01	9.4	8.2	8.71	12.1	8.7	13.0	4.6	E.8	9.6	16.2	12.4	12.2	- 5.7	13.8	19.9	6.	6.9	11.7	8.9	7.9	14.0	9.2	11.9	13.3	2	0.6	11.9	6.8	9.6	8.91	13.8	10.1	5.8	13.0	13.2	13.9	15.0	
1983-1987 radmun 7861-E861	4	33	20	19	L		_	14			21		02		7	13	089	<b>8</b>	20	25		35	88	23	55	=	3	37	25	23	77	26		439	19	49	14	6	38	
		-		· •			1			-	1								-		***************************************			i i			1				10.00.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0			1				_		
	Apul		ış.	· •	A	,	County	ounty .		ounty	) A		County		1 P	County	County	County	The state of the s	mity	Ajuno	Alu	County	County	Pottawatomie County	Pushmatoha County	ls County	unty	County	County	County	ally.	Auno	È	County	Washington County	County	ounty	Woodward County	
солију пате	I atimer County	Le Flore County	Tincoln County	Lonen County	ove County	MrClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Maior County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muckonee County	Nohle County	Nowoto County	Obfuchoo County	Ottobone County	Okmulgee County	Ocado County	Ottown County	Pawnee County	Poyne County	Pittsburg County	Pantotoc County	Pottawato	Pushmato	Roger Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillman County	Tulsa County	Wodoner County	Washingt	Washita County	Woods County	Woodwar	umouyum

### = zero base - cannot calculate change unknown

# Births to Young Teel

	ıəquı	0001/at	nber	0001/9	ık	) ƏL
	In 8891-1891	pi 8861-1861	PN 9661-Þ661	<sup>101</sup> 9661 4661	. 1994-1996 rai	change over tin
	10,239	42.2	8 373	38.3		0 0%
	105	56.3	66	64.9	76	-15.4%
		14.4	9	15.0	67	4 0%
	62	59.0	36	37.4	42	36.6%
	00	18.6	10	21.4		-15.1%
	114	79.2	37	29.0	16	63.4%
	83	84.3	25	33.8	30	29.9%
	83	34.1	11	34.1	32	%0.0
	199	65.1	110	52.2	73	19.9%
_	158	30.6	146	24.0	13	21.4%
	175	49.3	140	44.0	09	10.7%
	114	39.0	Ш	45.0	59	-15.5%
	- 87	58.3	51	46.5	99	20 2%
	20	32.6	7	29.3	72	10.2%
	236		274	7117	6	%0.0
	23	43.8	15	31.6	25	28.0%
	474	47.1	324	44.4	62	2.6%
	11	33.5	38	37.3	41	-11.4%
	43	36.0	- 79	32.7	28	9.3%
	236	46.7	179	36.4	37	21.9%
	15	64.1	53	34.7	35	45.9%
	8	42.8	82	38.7	47	%9.6
	21	46.7		23.3	2	50.2%
	11	44.8	13	43.3	85	3.3%
	180	40.3	136	38.0	44	5.7%
	23	40.5	74	40.4	25	0.2%
	137	39.3	108	34.5	33	_12.2%
_	15	34.5	2	5.4	_	84.3%
	78	29.0	12	33.8	31	42.7%
	23	64.3	2	43.9	29	31.8%
	2	29.9	9	23.4	=	21.5%
	49	43.0	35	42.5	22	1.3%
	21	49.2	41	44.8	64	%0 <b>6</b>
	136	52.5	82	47.8	89	9.1%
	32	46.1	12	73.7	12	48 6%
	8	45.9	28	40.0	51	12.9%
	121	34.2	125	42.9	- 35	.25 5%
	9	54.2	25	27.4	91	49.4%
	59	0.19	100	7 06		

	71 0%	.5.9%	36.7%	24.7%	-64.0%	40.9%	18.5%	21.2%	55.2%	23.3%	-14.1%	25.5%	×9.6-	-18.5%	0.4%	2.1%	-1.8%	6.4%	22.9%	-53.9%	27.6%	2.2%	28.6%	-3.6%	6.4%	28.8%	70.1%	-2.1%	12.3%	15.8%	.16.0%	14.8%	-30.1%	2.9%	12.1%	0.1%	63.2%	55.9%	34.1%
1994-1996 tank	19	49	71	24	39	9	19	34	4	89	69	æ	74	40	43	70	63	71	14	7.5	77	17	36	26	45	53	2	23	75	55	57	18	77	22	15	02	7	2	
0001\ <sub>3</sub> to1 9691 4691	47.2	39.8	29.1	30.1	37.2	20.5	44.4	34.7	16.7	38.9	48.4	36.6	52.5	37.3	37.8	49.6	44.7	20.1	27.0	50.9	32.1	97.7	35.8	31.6	38.1	41.8	17.6	29.6	56.7	42.9	43.0	27.9	66.5	39.9	77.7	29.0	21.3	13.0	33.1
1994-1996 number	31	131	69	09	24	40	123	42	6	93	116	33	259	11	74	40	1,758	130	82	95	36	68	180	11	171	32	2	136	%	119	123	36	44	1,281	115	84	18	9	42
000 [\alpa 889 [-189]	38.7	37.6	46.0	39.9	11.7	34.7	54.5	44.0	37.2	50.7	42.4	49.2	47.9	31.5	37.9	9.09	43.9	53.5	35.1	33.1	44.3	28.3	20.1	30.6	40.7	28.8	28.8	29.0	64.7	51.0	37.1	32.8	51.1	41.1	30.9	29.0	57.9	29.5	50.2
. 1981-1983 number	33	133	106	86	2	<i>L</i> 9	182	23	25	39	115	51	270	8	æ	S	1,919	191	113	<b></b>	52	33	164	28	161	23	72	121	147	157	122	49	\$5	1,480	122	107		19	8
сопцід исше	Lotimer County	Le Flore County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Love County	McClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Major County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Nowata County	Okfuskee County	Oktahoma County	Okmulgee County	Osage County	Ottawa County	Pawnee County	Payne County	Pittsburg County	Pontotoc County	Pottawatomie County	Pushmataha County	Koger Mills County	Kogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillman County	Tulsa County	Wagoner County	Washington County	Woshita County	Woods County	Woodward County

Data Tables

Number of Confirmations After Investigation; Rate per 1,000 Children Oklahoma & Counties: Fiscal Years 1985 & 1997

	Year
, m	Fiscal
	inties:
of conference and a state of	¢.Cor
	Oklahoma
	S)
•	
	Confirmation

Number of Confirmations A. Oklahoma & Counties: Fisca	этіі 1эко эбивіт	-82.3%	-180.0%	26.4%	-327.4%	-320.0%	-446.3%	-41.7%	29.8%	1422.3%	-380.7%	-144.5%	-195.0%	-429.2%	-13.0%	-71.4%	-14.8%	8.0%	25.9%	47.0%	-148.7%	-80.08	-0.8%	12.2%	-163.4%	-14.6%	-62.5%	7.4%	-5.2%	-136.9%	23.0%	1228.4%	1639.5%	-87.6%	-174.5%	5472.4%	1114.9%	-444.5%	.29.5%	-8.0%
Number Oklahom	1887 tank		73	33				89		76		8	55		2	1	8	13	4	2		23	m			35	H	8	12	29		20		64		69		28	=	2%
fions	0001\ <sub>3101</sub> (99(		31.3	15.0	21.4	11.4	29.0	9.12	16.2	37.6	12.4	17.8	20.6	13.4	4.6	11.6	18.2	10.4	5.9	0.9	14.2	19.5	4.6	9.3	15.5	15.5	40.8	1.8	10.4	13.4	5.0	12.0	97.1	72.6	21.1	27.9	21.7	21.0	<u></u>	50.9
Confirmations	1997 number	13,627	186	61	73	61	152	 &	138	317	318	212	500	25	4	809	29	335	10		197	135	37	12	11	731	111	150	14	]	2	_	2	71	183	46	23	758	33	99
	000 l\alpi 289 l	8.5	11.2	20.4	5.0	1.7	5.3	19.5	23.1	7.5	2.6	7.3	7.0	25	4.1	13	15.9	11.3	13.5	11.4	5.7	10.8	4.6	10.5	5.9	13.5	25.1	17.1	9.6	5.6	2.6	6:0	0:	12.0	7.7	0.5	æ:	3.9	7.8	19.3
& Neglect	19dmun 289f	7.199	99	32	11		78	89	187		53	88	25	12	4	283	56	372	25	41	5	74	33	][9]	<b>~</b>	218	981	130	15		m		က	42	69		5	SS	3	[19]
eric	ашои Липо)	State	Adair County	Alfalfo County	Atoko County	Beover County	Beckhom County	Blaine County	Bryan County	Caddo County	Conadion County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctaw County	Cimarron County	Cleveland County	Cool County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Croig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Gorfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Hormon County	Horper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Koy County	Kingfisher County	Kiowo County

7	~
3	~&
3	0
\$	8
,	1978-1982 6-1
30	ø
2	6
₹	7
2	tries:
333	Con
ź	Ġ
ז ומר זרמו ז ומווומרו מוומ זומנומצר זווווממו	Oklahoma & Counties:
2	la
	Õ
	S
_	arus
•	Ħ

Child Deaths		ear Number ma & Cou	Five-Year Number and Average Annual Rate per 10 Oklahoma & Counties: 1978-1982 & 1992-1996	ye Annual l 1982 & 19	late per 10. 192-1996	Five-Year Number and Average Annual Rate per 100,000, Children A Oklahoma & Counties: 1978-1982 & 1992-1996	4
сопија исше	1978-1982 number (ages 1-14)	1978-1982 average annual rate	1992-1996 number (ages 1-14)	1992-1996 average annual rate	1992-1996 rank	amil 19vo agnod)	
State	1,563	45.1	1128	33.5		25.7%	
Adair County	13	53.6	7	31.3	34	41.7%	
Alfalfa County	5	74.8	3	63.1	72	15.6%	
Atoka County	7	49.6	5	39.3	S	20.7%	
Beaver County	5	90.09		15.8	_	73.7%	
Beckham County	=	9.05	1	34.4	43	31.9%	
Blaine County		44.8	3	26.9	25	40.1%	
Bryan County	13	39.6	13	40.4	53	-1.8%	
Caddo County	21	57.5	12	37.2	47	35.3%	
Canadian County	28	36.3	19	1.61	2	47.3%	
Corter County	73	45.7	61	42.1	23	8.1%	
Cherokee County	<b>~</b>	22.6	91	41.8	54	-84.5%	
Choctow County	6	42.9	13	83.0	9/	-93.3%	
Gmarran County	2	47.9		30.7	83	36.0%	
Cleveland County	49	31.6	2	26.7	73	15.3%	
Coal County	2	29.0	2	33.8	8	-16.3%	
Comanche County	54	39.5	41	32.9	36	%6.91	
Cotton County	2	24.4	4	62.8	7	-157.8%	
Craig County	9	38.6	9	48.3	79	-25.2%	
Creek County	8	40.5	16	27.4	28	32.2%	
Custer County	11	43.8	7	7.4	4	83.2%	
Delaware County	10	38.2	7	23.3	18	39.0%	
Dewey County	1	110.5	0	0.0	tie for 1	100.0%	
Ellis County	2	156.5	-	24.9	61	84.1%	
Garfield County	41	67.5		 	49	34.2%	
Garvin County	72	73.0	61	73.9	73	-1.3%	
Grady County	31	65.0		34.4	42	47.0%	
Grant County	က	46.0	m	28.0	69	-25.9%	
Greer County		132.5	_	0.0	tie for 1	100.0%	
Harmon County	_	20.1	0	0.0	tie for 1	100.0%	
Harper County		20.8	7	57.6	89	-176.7%	
Haskell County	-	9.0	6	87.8	75	-934.5%	
Hughes County	8	53.1		17.1	10	88.79	
Jackson County	=	28.5	6	26.8	24	5.8%	
Jefferson County		87.4	2	80.8	74	7.5%	
Johnston County	~	42.5	2	19.4	14	54.4%	
Kay County	71	39.3	8	16.8	6	57.3%	
Kingfisher County	œ	47.3	4	26.4	21	44.1%	
Kiowa County	4	30.6	6	27.6	26	88.6	

159

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

	(C441 01 0041)	<b>∑</b> ₽	<b>≫</b> e	26	æ	26	<b>≽</b> € <sup>[</sup>	<b>≽</b> €	<b>&gt;</b> e	<b>&gt;</b> e	>e <sup> </sup>	<b>~</b>	*	<b>સ્ત્ર</b>	<b>&gt;</b> e	<b>&gt;</b> e	<b>≽</b> e∫	<b>%</b>	<b>≫</b>	<b>3</b> %	<b>%</b>	<b>&gt;</b> e	<b>%</b>	96	<b>≥</b> €	<b>≥</b> €	<b>≥</b> 2	*	<b>3</b> 8	æ.	<b>2</b> 2	%	%	*	<u>%</u>	36	3%	%	%	%
	(1980 to 1993) Chunge over time 1993 tonk	.61.6%	55 -2.6%	<del>  ' </del>	_		_	+	_	+	-	48 -48.0%	_	76 -53.1%		90.701- 9			_	25 -60.1%	_	28 -29.1%	_	13 -10.9%	=		-	_ <u></u>	<u>.</u>	<del>-</del>		+	62 -66.7%	-18.3%	36   -17.1%	47 -36.4%		18 -112.2%	5 -25.0%	66 -26.2%
in Poverty 1993 Revised		4		3 tie for 13	7 tie for 64	0		.4 tie for 37	0.	0.	<b>,</b>	6.		œ.	.6 fie for 16	15.8	0.		.7 tie for 34	22.3	21.8	23.0	1	18.3 tie for 13	17.8		- 7		15.9 tie for 7	31.9	45.9	3.4	33.3	35.8	26.3	28.6	35.1	20.4	14.5	34.9
Number and Percent of Children Living in Poverty Oklahoma & Counties: 1980, 1990 & 1993 Revis	(basiva)	1 25.4	91.1	5 18.3	1	Ц		6 26.4		Ц		9 28.9						9 24.3	436 24.7	749 22		Ц		닠	[	4	ļ	ᆜ	Ì	412 31		 88		1,160		510 28		2,648 20		1 063
dren Livi 0, 1990 (	1990 percent 1993 number 1993 servest	7   226,841	1	2 245			_					.4 3,559	.7 3,659			8 8,137	_	21.8 8,309	28.5 40	70.7	17.4 4,018	21.6 1,685		16.7	_	18.0 3,364	_	23.3 3,319		_				37.6	24.4 2,358	26.9 5		17.0 2,6		36.8
ıt of Chii ıties: 198	1990 пипърет	3 21.7	0 31.2	3 21.2	(v)					Ц		16 26.4	7.75 01	3 42.2		10.8			479 28	959				246 16	ļ			-		4		143	932 3:	1,198		457 2		2,133		1.089
nd Percer 1 & Coun		7 179 283	Ť	1	1,181		<u>-`</u>		_			.5 3,086	_	6 1,793	14.9	7.6 4,884		19.3 6,733	19.3	13.9 6.	13.5 2,974	715,1 8.71	29.0 1,458	16.5		9.2 2,580	_	7		30.9	_	9.8	20.0	30.3	22.5 2,059	21.0		9.6 2,1	11.6	7.7
lumber a )klahoma	1980 nadmun 0891	0	6 30.3	6 14.8	2 32.6	Ц		0 19.4				7 19.5	2 24.6	2 28.6		Ц	495 28		380 19	534 13				264						467 30	,	115				470 2		1,240		900
		131 870	1,856	246	1,122	368	746	730	1,818	2,384	1,368	7,377	2,122	1,472	159	2,846	<u>₹</u>	6,271	**	2	2,427	1,180	1,881	2	_	1,530	1,081	1,810		4	_		• _	1,133	2,119	1	1,086	1,2	_	
Poverty				-			_				Α.		٨		Α.	Ą		Æ					4								_					4	4		ılı,	
hild P	constit some	imte	dair County	<b>Ufalfo County</b>	Stoko County	Seover County	<b>Beckhom County</b>	Slaine County	<b>3ryan County</b>	Coddo County	Conadian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctaw County	Cimarron County	Cleveland County	Coal County	Comonche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	<b>Gorfield County</b>	Garvin County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Harmon County	Horper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Kinwa County

change over time (1980 to 1993)	.14.0%	-33.7%	-73.7%	-58.7%	-32.9%	-74.5%	46.7%	-22.3%	%6'.29-	-1.1%	<b>%</b> 0.09-	-65.8%	-31.6%	-83.9%	-103.5%	-49.5%	-103.1%	-44.5%	-112.8%	-48.8%	-42.7%	-63.0%	-46.9%	-58.6%	-84.1%	-38.8%	42.1%	-72.9%	%2.09-	-30.3%	-107.1%	-98.0%	-6.6%	.91.7%	<b>%9.09</b> -	-101.5%	-160.3%	-110.7%	]-122.1%
Ann 1 546 l	54	19	52	tie for 34	17	2	75	92	6	tie for 42	4	S	25	tie for 20	33	72	tie for 42	tie for 64	8	52	76	tie for 20	46	51	45	74	tie for 20	7	73	27	33	tie for 7	9	33	. 15	=	44	61	ie for 16
1993 percent (basiva)	30.9	33.2	23.9	24.7	22.9	17.1	41.8	36.1	16.8	27.5	27.4	29.3	31.3	21.1	76.6	37.1	27.5	34.7	24.0	30.0	22.5	21.1	28.3	29.6	78.1	40.1	21.1	14.3	37.3	31.8	24.1	15.9	32.8	24.6	18.6	17.5	27.6	20.9	9.61
nadmun 6991 (basiva)	998	4,216	2,073	2,062	490	1,211	4,299	1,533	363	737	2,693	916	660′9	653	999	1,104	46,325	3,558	2,898	2,273	166	2,949	3,007	2,613	4,687	1,184	234	2,550	2,546	3,267	2,811	765	922	34,759	2,949	2,207	988	402	1,00,1
1990 percent	30.7	28.7	21.6	23.6	21.4	15.8	38.8	35.0	161	26.4	24.3	30.1	29.3	21.6	29.7	36.7	21.4	32.2	70.6	27.8	21.5	19.2	25.2	27.6	23.0	39.0	20.0	12.6	32.2	31.3	22.1	15.6	27.4	18.2	14.8	14.7	26.9	16.3	8.8 1.8 2.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3
1990 number	820	3,390	1,755	1,839	43	973	3,749	1,344	416	635	2,182	918	5,421	643	731	1,100	33,030	3,127	2,386	2,034	968	2,475	2,468	2,331	3,582	1,061	127	1,960	2,163	3,018	2,414	725	191	23,488	2,097	1,839	813	322	1,003
1980 percent	77.1	24.8	13.8	15.6	17.2	9.8	28.5	29.5	10.0	27.2	17.1	17.7	23.8	11.5	13.1	24.8	13.5	24.0	11.3	20.2	15.8	12.9	19.3	18.7	15.3	28.9	14.8	8.3	23.2	24.4	11.6	8.0	29.8	12.8	11.6	8.7	9.01	6.6	8.8
nadmun 0891	746	3,025	1,067	1,181	361	819	3,378	1,140	246	829	609.1	286	4,505	358	413	191	20,708	2,566	1,275	1,785	675	1,622	2,055	1,530	2,392	995	204	1,223	1,793	2,410	1,349	426	1,037	16,299	1,645	1,112	402	737	559
			i		1								-		The street of th										4	^													-
	Latimer County	Le Flore County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Muh	McClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Mujor County	Morshall County	Mayes County	Murroy County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Newato County	Okfuskee County	Oklahomo County	Okmulgee County	Osage County	Ottawo County	Pownee County	Poyne County	Pittsburg County	Pontotoc County	Pottawatomie County	Pushmataho County	Roger Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyoh County	Stephens County	lexas County	Tillman County	Tulso County	Wagoner County	Woshington County	Woshita County	Woods County	Woodward County
county name	Latime	Le Flor	Lincoln	Locan	Love County	McCai	McCurt	McInto	Mujor	Morsh	Mayes	Murro	Musko	Noble	Nawat	Okfus	Oklah	Okmu	Osage	Ottow	Powne	Poyne	Piffsb	Pontol	Pottav	Pushn	Roger	Roger	Semin	Sequo	Steph	Texas	TIME	Tulso	Wago	Washi	Woshi	Wood	Wood

Number: Total Number of Dropouts; All Ages & Under Age 19 Rates: All Dropouts Under Age 19 Compared to Enrollment in Grades 9-12 Oklahoma and Counties: School Years 1994/95 & 1996/97

ERIGH School Bropouts

		a	5	2	<u> </u>	¥	¥	¥	¥	¥	¥	¥	3	N	2	<b> </b>	ğ	ð	0	ŧ	ē	P	æ	ᅙ	ā	P.	\$	2		֓֞֞֝֟֞֝֟֝֟֞֝֟֟֞֝֟֟֞֝֟֟֟ ֓	<u>s</u>	<u>K</u>		뎔	<b>8</b>	Was	\$	₩	MOC.
amit 19vo agnod)	-1.7%	8.4%	23.4%	-10.4%	-39.3%	21.8%	20.2%	-23.9%	.18.6%	22.9%	43.2%	-40.8%	27.3%	11.2%	<b>%9.9-</b>	55.2%	27.3%	4.2%	14.8%	14.7%	-97.2%	34.7%	###	-7.0%	89.6	7.3%	20.5%	-10.0%	-51.4%	-620.3%	.785.7%	-14.3%	-80.4%	40.2%	-77.1%	-75.3%	31.0%	32.0%	40.3%
1996/97 rank		29	_6	14	8	48	33	59	24	93	_52	75	25	-	77	e	- 57	41	91	46	54	62	7	9	47	76	45	^ 5	76	1		3	2	2	99	37	99	6	7
ator luoqosb 76/3991	89.5	6.2%	1.8%	2.4%	1.8%	2.0%	4.1%	5.5%	3.8%	3.9%	3.9%	<b>%9</b> ′′ <i>L</i>	3.9%	1.3%	7.0%	1.4%	5.4%	4.6%	2.8%	4.9%	5.2%	5.7%	1.7%	1.7%	4.9%	3.9%	4.9%	8.5. 	%/. %/.	4.0%	%?.	<u></u>	6.5%	2.1%	%0.9	4.2%	2.6%	3.0%	%8.9 %8.9
to radmun 79/3991 91 ago rabnu etuoqorb	9,513	75		91		2	76	86	72	200	100	118	34	-	715	2	325	<b>8</b> 2	74	183	74	6	9	2	145	63		م آ ا	= =	= [	4	34 4	22	33	61	24	148	ے ا	42
19dmun 76/3991 29ga Ila 2tuoqosh <b>3</b> o	11,673	8	9	<b>£</b>		74	<b>8</b>	114	8	237	116	138	88	-	698	2	408	21	76	222	93	137	9	2	8	72	147	^	= =	= -	4 6	<b>3</b>	99	9	12	28	17/	34	49
efor fuogorb 29/4991	5.5%	<b>%8</b> .9	2.4%	2.2%	1.3%	6.3%	5.2%	4.4%	3.2%	5.1%	6.9%	5.4%	5.3%	1.5%	<b>%9</b> .9	3.2%	7.4%	4.8%	3.3%	2.7%	2.6%	8.8%	%0.0	79.1	2.4%	4.2%	96.18	91.6	36.1	ر د د د	0.4%	4.4%	3.6%	3.6%	3.4%	2.4%	æ. %	4.5%	4.9%
10 94/96 number of	996'6	82	9	7	2	_	8	88	. 65	241	182	137	ಜ	က	705	13	47.6	<b>8</b> 2	79	219	45	149	0	~     	120	2	3	^	7	- -	- 6	67	44	89	14	-2	727	49	34
194/per   1994/per   1995	10,796	93		2	\$	74	40	90	8	251	138	152	29	က	776	15	533	20	36	232	48	991	0	S		23	, kc	9	7	• [-	-	<b>₽</b> [	9	11	4	<b>=</b>	263	20	34
эшои Ајило)	Starte	Adair County	Alfalfo County	Atoko County	Beover County	Beckhom County	Blaine County	Bryan County	Caddo County	Conadion County	Carter County	Cherokee County	Choctow County	Gmarron County	Geveland County	Cool County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delawore County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Garfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	Greet County	Hormon County	County	norper county	II COUIIIY	rughes county	Jockson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Klowa County

10000								
amon Yinuo)	1994/95 saga ila stuoqosh io	90 194/1991 Per 1991	9101 Tuoqosb 24/4991	19dmun 76/3991 sago Ilo stuoqoab Yo	10 1946/991 91 aga 1abnu 2tuoqo1b	, alon luoqoib 79/3991	1886/97 rank	у на при оден и пре
Latimer County		12	1.5%	18	14	7.3%		48 6%
Le Flore County	122	115	3.9%	140	124	4.5%	8	-16.9%
Lincoln County	74	70	3.6%	83	73	4.2%	36	-14.5%
Logan County	29	95	3.9%	7.5	55	4.0%	31	-0.6%
Love County	16	12	2.3%	14	13	2.8%	15	.18.6%
McClain County	46	44	2.9%	8	99	3.9%	12	-34.3%
McCurtoin County	142	126	5.2%	126	104	4.8%	44	7.4%
McIntosh County	4	36	3.3%	53	36	3.9%	28	-18.8%
Major County	13	12	1.9%	2	8	1.4%	2	25.8%
Morsholl County	48	46	8.9	42	34	5.4%	88	20.5%
Mayes County	160	147	7.3%	128	901	5.7%	53	28.4%
Murray County	23	72	3.1%	22	20	2.9%	17	5.7%
Muskagee County	797	17.5	6.3%	328	287	7.3%	73	-15.1%
Noble County	37	37	5.4%	32	23	3.4%	21	37.7%
Nawoto County	35	33	5.4%	35	30	2.0%	49	6.2%
Okfuskee County	21	20	3.0%	48	39	5.7%	19	-92.0%
Oklohamo County	2,191	2,097	7.1%	2684	2145	7.9%	76	.12.0%
Okmulgee County	82	8	3.7%	126	Ξ	5.3%	55	-45.1%
Osage County	92		2.6%	69	19	2.1%	-51	8.7%
Ottawa County	102	95	5.3%	128	96	5.8%	63	-9.2%
Pownee County	8	52	7.6%	46	42	80.6	_11_	-17.7%
Payne County	99	27	2.0%	114	90	3.4%	72	-74.4%
Pittsburg County	156	136	5.5%	119	105	4.5%	39	17.4%
Pontotoc County	76	69	3.3%	82	82	4.1%	34	-26.9%
Pottowotomie County	731	208	5.7%	727	- -	5.3%	- 55	7.3%
Pushmatoha County	29	88	3.7%	46	4	2.9%	2	-56.4%
Koger Mills County			<b>%9</b> .	7	=	3.3%	20	-106.3%
Rogers County	144	137	4.2%	216	153	4.3%	89	-3.1%
Seminale County	126	<b>88</b>	4.9%	133	92	6.3%	89	-27.4%
Sequoyoh County	73	<b>88</b>	2.9%	96 	<b>æ</b>	4.0%	32	-37.7%
Stephens County	148	134	20%	149	129	5.1%	52	-2.3%
lexos County	æ <sup> </sup>	25	2.0%	9/	S	<b>%</b> 0.9	99	-19.3%
Tillmon County	45	9	7.4%	28	25	4.1%	35	44.2%
Tulsa County	1,848	1,655	%0.9 *	2088	1685	6.4%	69	%0. <b>9</b> -
Wogoner County	125	121	%6.9	146	122	7.6%	74	-9.5%
Washington County	132	121	4.2%	120	4	3.7%	23	12.8%
Woshita County		6	1.5%	.8	15	2.3%	17	-53.4%
Woods County	23	2	2.3%	12	=	2.4%	13	-2.6%
Woodward County	72	31	1.9%	43	33	3.0%	8	-55.3%
### = zero base, connot calculate change								

### = zero base, cannot calculate change

rough 17

	Number and Rate Per 100,000 Youths Age 10 thr
\rrests	Oklahoma and Counties: 1980 & 1997

30 Youths			_					_	,	,	-	,	r	_		7		i			_		_	_		Γ	7	_	ı	r1		r1	,	7	r
er 100,00 nties: 198 change over time	-64.5%	100.0%	100 0%	###	-32.8%	36.3%	-84.0%	-11.9%	-55.8%	-94.9%	#	100.0%	##	%5.05 ###	.87 8%	-13.5%	##	-138.6%	10.9%	100.0%	##	###	-37.8%	-86.5%	%0./o-	79 91	#	100.0%	100.0%	-352.1%	-96.4%	100.0%	##	-172.2%	-2.6%
Number and Rate Per 100,000 Youths of Oklahoma and Counties: 1980 & 1997 (1997)		tie for 1	fie for 1	tie for 1	8	28	33	11	43	62	73	tie for ]	72	S &	8	2	39	37	41	tie for 1	49	tie for 1	42	ន	2 t. j. j.	99	tie for 1	fie for 1	tie for 1	88	72	tie for 1	76	11	21
Number Oklahom 000,000 101-17	283.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	122.1	74.0	96.1	73.7	130.6	274.6	61.1	0.0	731.5	117.4	47.78	361.9	118.3	111.2	126.4	0.0	148.8	0:0	128.5	205.6	77187	3110	0.0	0.0	0.0	236.7	423.5	0:0	72.1	771.5	54.6
S steam to the state of orients	1/1/1	0		0	6		4	3	91	91	m	ο,	- 2	7	- 48	6	7	01	4	0		0	6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	2	-	7 0	0	0	4	91	0	-	44	- 6
On totals (10.00) (10.	172.4	130.1	60.7	0.0	91.9	116.3	52.2	62.9	83.8	140.9	0:0	39.9	0:0	0.0	170.3	318.8	0.0	46.6	141.9	59.4	83	0.0	93.2	1003	0.0	7,74	00	191.6	266.8	52.4	215.7	97.4	0.0	283.4	53.2
	9/9	4		. 0	2		2	6	7	œ	0	-	0 8	3 6	77	6	0	4	4	2	0	-		4		> 'c	7	-	4	_	6		0	11	- 9
Jemile Violems	State	Adoir County	Andria County	Beaver County	Beckhom County	Blaine County	Bryan County	Caddo County	Canodian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctow County	Gmerron County	Cleveland County	Commonthe County	Cotton County	Croig County	Creek County	Custer County	Deloware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Gorfield County	Garvin County	brody County	Gran County	Hormon County	Harper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Koy County	Kingfisher County

amit 19vo agnarla	###	-11.1%	72.1%	-10.0%	100.0%	44.6%	-62.5%	##	#	##	37.4%	-5.8%	-276.0%	##	#	100.0%	-15.0%	-2134.7%	.53.0%	5.3%	-279.2%	-326.1%	89.75	-66.7%	<b>6.1%</b>	37.8%	##	-633.7%	0.3%	3.0%	-46.9%	-264.6%	#	-203.9%	#	-19.7%	25.5%	###	49.3%
1997 rank	μ]	32	45	22	lie for 1	46	54	tie for 1	tie for 1	64	. 20_	89	75	63	tie for 1	tie for 1	74	73	31	<del>2</del> 8	52	65	53	\$5	15	22	tie for 1	34	23	72	47	19	65	76	74	19	44	tie for 1	30
000,001/ata; 7991 TI-O1 ziftuoy	368.2	93.9	138.5	149.6	0.0	140.9	201.0	0:0	0.0	293.9	41.7	321.3	510.1	278.0	0.0	0:0	455.4	430.4	87.3	111.0	184.3	239.0	77.7	215.3	159.6	69.5	0:0	100.3	186.0	57.4	140.9	317.1	295.9	582.6	62.2	244.2	132.1	0.0	77.3
1997 number Steam to	2	9	9	9	0	~	10	0	0	4	1	2	46	4	0	0	333	12	5	4	4	15	4	6	3	-	0	6	9	e	<b>&amp;</b>	<del>~</del>	4	360	5	14	1	0	7
000,001\sin 089 f \\ \tag{1-01 silvoy}	0.0	84.5	80.5	136.0	6.961	254.2	123.7	0:0	0:0	0.0	1.99	303.6	135.7	0.0	0.0	350.5	396.1	19.3	57.1	117.3	48.6	56.1	182.1	1.99.1	170.0	111.7	0.0	13.7	186.5	59.3	95.9	87.0	0:0	191.7	0.0	204.0	177.2	0.0	152.4
1980 number 2129110 lo	0	~	3	2	7	<b>&amp;</b>	7	0	0	0	က	2	12	0	0	9	111	_	3	~			0	2	13	2	0	-	7	m	}	2	0	112	0	12	3	0	4
omny nome	Lotimer County	LeFlore County	Lincoln County	Logon County	Love County	McCloin County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Mojor County	Morsholl County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskagee County	Noble County	Nowata County	Okfuskee County	Oklahoma County	0kmulgee County	Osage County	Ottawa County	Pownee County	Poyne County	Pittsburg County	Pontotoc County	Pottowatomie County	Pushmataho County	Roger Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillman County	Tulsa County	Wagoner County	Washington County	Washito County	Waods County	Woodward County

### = zero base - cannot calculate change





Data and information used in the 1999 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook came from a wide variety of sources in Oklahoma and around the nation. This section identifies the sources for the information found in this document and the method used for computations where applicable. Each county benchmark and the rate of change calculated for each county benchmark is rounded for presentation on the county pages. The rounding may cause the results to vary from the rates which might be calculated from the numbers appearing on each county page.

There is no agreement about the specific terminology or the number of categories which should be used to classify race and ethnicity. The categories listed in this report are the categories in the sources relied upon for information. At times different sources will use different categories to report racial characteristics. Some indicators were not available by race at all. This makes it difficult to look across the indicators by race. Persons within each race or ethnic group are encouraged to re-title or refer to the data in a manner which reflects their preferences.

Extreme caution should be used when reviewing, using and comparing data related to Hispanic populations. Some agencies count Hispanic children as a race and others consider Hispanic to be a nationality whose children can be any race (White, African American, Native American, and so on). Of those counting Hispanic children as a nationality, only a few maintain statistics on the proportion of children who are Hispanic.

As a result, when Hispanic is considered to be a race, data may appear as follows:

%0.02	10.0%	10.0%	2.0%	2.0%	3.0%	100.0%
White	Native American	American Indian	Hispanic	Asian		TOTAL

When Hispanic is considered to be a nationality, data may appear as follows:

			2.0%	95.0%	100.0%
			Hispanic	non-Hispanic 95.0%	TOTAL
72.0%	11.0%	11.0%	2.0%	4.0%	100.0%
White	Native American	American Indian	Asian	Other	TOTAL

Economic Distress is a generalized term depicting a poor economic climate in a community. Deprivation Index is a measure developed by ranking Child Poverty Rates, Percent of Children receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and/or Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), level of Per Capita Personal Income and Unemployment Rates. Each county is ranked on each factor. The four individual county rankings are combined into a Deprivation Index in which the lower the number, the wealthier the county. Economic Clusters (more commonly referred to as Quintile Divisions) result from each county being

ranked according to its Deprivation Index and grouped into one of five clusters composed of approximately twenty percent (20%) of the state's population.

Cluster 1 (Wealthiest) includes 21 counties with a combined population of 733,823 (22.1% of the state's population). "Wealthiest" counties each have a Deprivation Index between 23 and 91.

Cluster 2 (Wealthier) includes 8 counties with a combined population of 716,245 (21.6% of the state's population). "Wealthier" counties each have a Deprivation Index between 95 and 119.

Cluster 3 (Middle) includes 2 counties with a combined population of 696,517 (21.0% of the state's population). "Middle" counties each have a Deprivation Index between 130 and 140.

Cluster 4 (Poorer) includes 19 counties with a combined population of 583,134 (17.6% of the state's population). "Poorer" counties each have a Deprivation Index between 141 and 181.

Cluster 5 (Poorest) includes 27 counties with a combined population of 587,372 (17.7% of the state's population). "Poorest" counties each have a Deprivation Index between 183 and 297.

E

Hildren in Poverty counts the related children under age 18 compare percent of child poverty in 1980 to the percent of child poverty in 1993 as estimated by U.S. Bureau of who live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty adequate diet. A family is classified as poor if its income threshold (poverty income guidelines). Related children marriage or adoption and include relatives such as nieces in a household where they are related to the head of the household are not included in this analysis. The Poverty children calculated as a percent of all children. Trends and nephews. Children under age 18 who do not live falls below this minimum standard. Data counts poor Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates not count workers who have given up looking for work, counts the number of child recipients of AFDC during population searching for work. The rate is generated by number in the labor force. Because these official figures workers, do not count underpaid working poor and do are the children related to the "family head" by birth, Management and Budget, are based upon the amount the Census, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates recipients of TANF during FY 1997. Rates are displayed measures income available to each individual by dividing true level of unemployment in a community. Base data of that area. Base data is for 1980; current for 1996. they are commonly understood to underestimate the Income Guidelines, as defined by the U.S. Office of the total personal income in an area by the population of money that is required to purchase a nutritionally as the percent of the total child population. Base child population data for the state and counties is the 1980 Census population. Current child population data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau do not distinguish between part-time and full-time of the Census for 1997. Per Capita Personal Income dividing the total number of unemployed by the total FY 1981 and the average monthly number of child - 1993. Children Receiving AFDC and/or TANF Unemployment Rate measures the percent of the is for 1980; current for 1997.

by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Human Services. Income data provided Division, CD-ROM. Unemployment data provided by the Oklahoma Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Management and Poverty Estimates - 1993. AFDC/TANF data provided by the Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using data from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Economic and Statistics Administration, State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 3A and U.S. Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income Source: Poverty data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Summary Tape File 3A and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Department of Commerce, using data from the Oklahoma Employment Security Commission, Research Division.

and 1990 for the state and counties are counts from the 980 and 1990 Census. Current child population data Child Population is the total resident population under age stationed in the area. Child population data for 1980 18 including dependents of Armed Forces personnel for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1997.

provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A and 2B and U.S. Bureau of Tape File 1A and Summary Tape File 2B. Current population data of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; and U.S. Bureau of the the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997

(1,500 grams). The data is reported by place of mother's trimester with four through nine visits OR care beginning receives. Levels of care include: adequate (the recommended calculated as percentages of all live births. Births count and 1992 through 1996). Resulting rates create average races are included in numbers and calculations for White. Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was redefined to reflect the mother's race. State totals may vary from the births for which the county of residence was unknown. Level of Prenatal Care addresses the timing and amount or more visits), intermediate (care beginning in the first both the total resident live births and the level of care pounds (2,500 grams). Very Low Birthweight Infants total resident live births and the low-weight births and reported as White, Black and American Indian. Other in the second trimester with four or more visits), early (care beginning in the first trimester), late (care beginwith one through three visits), and no care. Rates are Low Birthweight Infants are live births weighing under 5.5 residence, not place of birth. Births count both the total of all the counties since state totals may include compare two five-year periods (1983 through 1987 are live births weighing under 3 pounds, five ounces annual percentages for each five-year period. Race is of medical care and monitoring an expectant mother little (care beginning in the first or second trimester level of care beginning in the first trimester with ten ning in the third trimester with one or more visits), Resulting rates create an average annual percentage. for the five-year period from 1992 through 1996.

Source: Data provided by MCH Planning and Evaluation, Maternal & Child Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.



Infant Mortality is death occurring to an infant under 1 year of age. The data is reported by place of mother's residence, not place of birth or death. Rates are calculated per 1,000 live births. The data counts the total resident live births and the deaths for two five-year periods (1983 through 1987 and 1992 through 1996). Resulting rates create average annual percentages for each five-year period. Race is reported as White, Black and American Indian. Other races are included in numbers and calculations for White. Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was redefined to reflect the mother's race. State totals may vary from the total of all the counties since state totals may include births for which the county of residence was unknown.

Source: Birth and mortality data provided by MCH Planning and Evaluation, Maternal & Child Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

otal resident live births to mothers in this age group for races are included in numbers and calculations for White. Births to Young Teens are live births which occur to mothers Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was redefined to reflect the mother's race. State totals may vary from the population for the state is estimated by the U.S. Bureau estimates of females between the ages of 15 through 17 births for which the county of residence was unknown. mother's residence, not place of birth. Births count the females between ages 15 through 17. Base female teen average annual rates for each three-year period. Race is Department of Health to arrive at 1994 through 1996 reported as White, Black and American Indian. Other through 1996). Rates are displayed as births per 1,000 two three-year periods (1981 through 1983 and 1994 for the state and each county. Resulting rates create otal of all the counties since state totals may include of the Census and adjusted by the Oklahoma State population data is from the 1980 Census. Current ages 15 through 17. The data is reported by place of

Source: Birth and population data provided by MCH Planning and Evaluation, Maternal & Child Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

care. 10 O. Supp. 1996 section 7102. Data includes reports the child's welfare. Sexual Exploitation includes a person responsible for the child's welfare allowing or encouraging of suspected abuse or neglect for which there were formal Child Abuse and Neglect means harm or threatened harm to a child abuse and neglect confirmations for two one-year child's health or welfare by a person responsible for the sexual exploitation or non-accidental physical or mental (under age 18). Base child population data for the state data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. periods (Fiscal Year 1985 and Fiscal Year 1997). Rates 1990 Census populations. Current child population njury. Sexual Abuse includes rape, incest and lewd or to provide adequate food, clothing, shelter or medical and counties is the midpoint between the 1980 and a child to engage in prostitution or pornography or engaging in child pornography. Neglect means failing abuse or neglect was confirmed. The data compares child's health or welfare. Abuse includes sexual abuse, indecent acts or proposals by a person responsible for investigations and, of those, the number for which are displayed as confirmations per 1,000 children Bureau of the Census for 1997.

Source: Child abuse and neglect data provided by the Division of Child Welfare, Oklahoma Department of Human Services (DHS): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997.

state totals may include deaths for which the county of number of teens from the ages of 15 through 19. State percent of deaths that are caused by diseases, accidents and violence. By definition, deaths by violence include American Indian. Other races are included in numbers of the Census for 1997 and adjusted by the State Data residence was unknown. Cause of Death measures the the death rates for two five-year periods (1978 through totals may vary from the total of all the counties since reported by place of residence, not place of death. The data counts deaths from all causes. The data compares Census population. Current child population data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau population data for the state and counties is the 1980 nterventions. Race is reported as White, Black and deaths of youth from ages 15 through 19. The data is 1982 and 1992 through 1996). Resulting rates create average annual rates for each five-year period for each 100,000 teens from ages 15 through 19. Base child Center to arrive at a 1997 estimate of the number of children from the ages of 1 through 14. Current teen Bureau of the Census for 1997 and adjusted by the State Data Center to arrive at a 1997 estimate of the population data for the state is estimated by the U.S. nurder, suicide and deaths that occur during legal age group. Rates are displayed as deaths per 100,000 Child Deaths are the number of deaths of children from ages 1 through 14. Teen Deaths are the number of children from ages 1 through 14 and deaths per and calculations for White. Source: Death data provided by MCH Planning and Evaluation, Maternal & Child Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997.

Child Poverty counts the related children under age 18 who threshold (poverty income guidelines). Related children Trends compare percent of child poverty in 1980 to the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1993. The data describes income falls below this minimum standard. Data counts Office of Management and Budget, are based upon the percent of child poverty estimated and revised by the are the children related to the "family head" by birth tionally adequate diet. A family is classified as poor if its one of four categories: Whire, Black, Indian (American live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty nieces and nephews. Children under age 18 who do not of the household are not included in this analysis. The poor children calculated as a percent of the children in each race. For census purposes race is self-identified in amount of money that is required to purchase a nutripoor children calculated as a percent of all children. Indian or Alaska Native), and Asian (or Pacific Islander). live in a household where they are related to the head marriage or adoption and include relatives such as Poverty Income Guidelines, as defined by the U.S. ethnicity, not as a race. Other includes races not Hispanic Origin is counted separately and as an selected and other races written in. Source: Data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 3A and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 3A and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates Program:

grades 9 through 12. School officials in Oklahoma are of the name, address, race and age of any pupil dropping out of school. 70 O.Supp. 1996 section 35e(A). Race is required to notify the State Department of Education reported as White, Black, Indian, Asian and Hispanic. There is no provision for reporting other races. Hispanic racial comparisons between this data and other data school year. The data also presents the total number High School Dropout Rates compare number of high children, for the purposes of this data, are counted as a race, rather than an ethnic group preventing precise of high school dropouts who are under the age of 19. school dropouts under age 19 to the enrollment in High School Dropouts count youths of any age who quit school without graduating. The data presents the number of high school dropouts for the 1996-97 in the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook. Source: Data provided by the Office of Accountability, Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Juvenile Violent Crime counts arrests of youth from the ages of 10 through 17 for violent offenses (homicide, forcible rape, robbery, or aggravated assault). The annual arrest figures include all arrests for violent offenses during the year, including repeated arrests of the same individual for different offenses. Rates compare the number of arrests of youth ages 10 through 17 for violent offenses (homicide, forcible rape, robbery, or aggravated assault) to all children ages 10 through 17 and is reported as a rate per 100,000 youths. The data compares juvenile violent crime rates for two one-year periods (1980 and 1997). Base child population data for the state and counties is the 1980 Census population. Current child population data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1997 and adjusted

by the State Data Center to arrive at a 1997 estimate of the number of children from the ages of 10 through 17. in juvenile crime, changes in police activity and changes Increases in the number of arrests may reflect increases in public policy. While policies and practices regarding as a race. Oklahoma KIDS COUNT reports juvenile juvenile arrests may vary from county to county and more consistent for violent crimes than for less serious city to city, it is widely believed that the policies are Origin is counted separately and as an ethnicity, not violent crime arrest data on a state and county basis. arrests in the years included in the Oklahoma KIDS crimes. Data collected counts juveniles by age or by race, but age and race cannot be compared. Race is reported as White, Black, Indian, and Asian. There Several counties reported no juvenile violent crime is no provision for reporting other races. Hispanic COUNT Factbook.

Source: Arrest data provided by the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation (OSBI): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Consus, Census of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997.

Additional References

Rinehart. (no date). Reducing the Risks: Connections that Make Pediatrics and Adolescent Health, University of Minnesota: a Difference in the Lives of Youths. Division of General Robert William Blum, M.D., Ph.D. and Peggy Mann Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Data Book: State Profiles of Child Well-Being. Baltimore, MD. The Annie E. Casey Foundation. (1998). KIDS COUNT

The Annie E. Casey Foundation. (1998). KIDS COUNT Special Report: When Teens Have Sex: Issues and Trends. Baltimore, MD.

Division of Children, Youth & Family Services, Child Welfare Services. (1998). Child Abuse and Neglect Statistics: FY 1997. Oklahoma Department of Human Services: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Exploring the Legal and Social Responses. Center on Children and the Law, American Bar Association: Washington D.C. Relationships Between Adult Males and Young Teen Girls: Sharon G. Elstein and Noy Davis. (Oct. 1997). Sexual

Olatokunbo Fashola and Robert Slavin. (1996) Effective and Replicable Programs for Elementary and Middle Schools. John Hopkins University, Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed at Risk: Baltimore, Maryland.

Olatokunbo Fashola and Robert Slavin. (1997) Effective Dropout Prevention and College Attendance Programs for Students Placed at Risk. U.S. Department of Education: Washington, D.C.

Department of Human Services: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Governor's Advisory Committee on the Homeless. (1998). Statewide Survey of the Homeless Population. Oklahoma

Together, Legal Aid of Western Oklahoma, Inc., Legal Services of Eastern Oklahoma, Inc., Oklahoma City Food Bank, Tulsa Sandy Ingraham. (1994). The Other Oklahoma: Poverty in the Heartland. Neighborhood Services Organization, Project Get Community Food Bank: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Report on the Costs of Adolescent Childbearing. Robin Hood R. Maynard, Ed. (1996). Kids Having Kids, A Special Foundation: New York, New York.

Early Childhood Poverty, Research Brief 1: Young Child Poverty Columbia School of Public Health: New York, New York. National Center for Children in Poverty. (June 1998). in the States—Wide Variation and Significant Change.

The Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy. (1995). Oklahoma Commerce, Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth, fordan and Associates, Sandy Ingraham, The Annie E. Casey KIDS COUNT Factbook 1995. Oklahoma Department of Foundation: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy. (1998). Oklahoma Kouri/Lusk Associates, Contracts & Consultation, The Annie Commerce, Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth, KIDS COUNT Factbook 1998. Oklahoma Department of E. Casey Foundation: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. OICA: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Uniform Crime Report. Oklahoma State Bureau of Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation. (1997). Investigation: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) GRAM: Maternal Characteristics and Outcomes of Teenage Women in Oklahoma State Department of Health. (Mar. 1992). Oklahoma. OSDH: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) GRAM: Initiation of Prenatal Care Among Women Having a Live Birth Oklahoma State Department of Health. (Summer 1995). in Oklahoma. OSDH: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Oklahoma State Department of Health. (Mar. 1997). Pregnancy Very Low Birth Weight in Oklahoma. OSDH: Oklahoma Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) GRAM: City, Oklahoma.

Births to Teens in Oklahoma. OSDH: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Oklahoma State Department of Health. (Spring 1997). Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) GRAM: Repeat

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) GRAM: Poverty Among Women Delivering a Live Birth: Relationships to Birth Outcomes, Life Events, and Prenatal Care. OSDH: Oklahoma State Department of Health. (Winter 1997). Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

E.M. Ozer, C.D. Brindis, S.G. Millstein, D.K. Knopf, Healthy? University of California, School of Medicine: C.E. Irwin, Jr. (1994). America's Adolescents: Are They San Francisco, California.

Delivery: SCAPP Releases Results of Study on Teen Pregnancy Prevention and Teen Childbearing in the South. Council of Southern Regional Project on Infant Mortality. Special State Governments: Lexington, Kentucky.

Tobacco. (1993). Tobacco vs. Women's Health. A Factsheet. Strategic Coalition of Girls and Women United Against

John H. Stuemky. (Spring 1998). The Most Challenging Issues Oklahoma Bar Association: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. in Juvenile Law: Identifying Cases of Abuse and Neglect.





# Directory of KIDS COUNT Leaders

# Oklahoma Kids Count Leaders

## Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leadership

trained in the areas of legislative and grass roots advocacy, communicating children's issues and community organizing. KIDS COUNT Leaders are available for group Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leaders are presentations and individual meetings.

through an application process. To receive an KIDS COUNT Leaders are chosen annually application call 405-236-5437.

## Northeastern Oklahoma

Bartlesville	Claremore
Lisa Griffith-Eldridge	Dawn Parton
220 NW Virginia Avenue	19205 South Highway 88, Unit A
Bartlesville, OK 74006	Claremore, OK 74017
Phone: 918-336-7657	Phone: 918-341-3166
Fax: 918-336-7480	Fax: 918-341-3715
E-mail: osu95@yahoo.com	E-mail: dawn@health.state.ok.us
Occupation: Resource Specialist,	Occupation: Child Development
Delaware Tribe, Child Development	Specialist, Rogers County Health
Office	Department
Interests: Early Childhood Education	Interests: Child Development and
and Funding	Guidance
Collaboration of Social Service Agencies	Parent Education

Occupation: Community Volunteer, Interest: Teen Pregnancy Prevention 2707 N. Country Club Road Past City Council Member Muskogee, OK 74403 Phone: 918-687-3403 Fax: 918-687-4493 Laurie Fuller Muskogee

#### Tulsa

Child Watch

Tahlequah

#### Interests: Early Childhood Development Occupation: Child Development Tulsa, Oklahoma 74132-3802 E-mail: Bland9707@aol.com 9707 S. 31st W. Avenue Phone: 918-224-5531 Fax: 918-224-1739 Parent Education Becky Bland Specialist

Interest: Community Service Leshia M. Pearson P.O. Box 925 Sarah Koss Edmond Occupation: Early Childhood Specialist Interests: Child Abuse Prevention E-mail: sweaver@okstate.edu Oklahoma State University Early Childhood Programs Phone: 405-744-8358 Stillwater, OK 74078 Fax: 405-744-2800 Susan Weaver **Building Assets** Stillwater 335 HES,

#### C/O Help-In-Crisis Sarah Hopkins P. O. Box 1975

Tahlequah, OK 74465 Phone: 918-456-0673

Email: Hic@intellex.com Fax: 918-456-1868

Occupation: Sexual Assault Services Interests: Sexual Assault Prevention Coordinator

Domestic Violence Prevention Support Services and Services

#### Occupation: Student, Edmond Edmond, Oklahoma 73083 Phone: 405-236-5437 Santa Fe High School

#### Occupation: Director of Resource Email: Lpearso@osuokc.edu Development, OSU-OKC 2825 Shady Tree Lane Edmond, OK 73013 Phone: 405-945-3386 Fax: 405-945-3271 Interest: Education

Child Safety/Injury Prevention

Teen Pregnancy Prevention Building Assets in Youth

Child Care Media

	.⊑	
	×	
	_	
	_	
3		
ы	,	

gton

Linda York, Ph.D.

E-mail: LRYork@aol.com Lexington, OK 73051 Phone: 405-527-9583 8151 York Road

Occupation: Marriage and Family Interests: Juvenile Justice Therapist

Children's Mental Health

Positive Parenting

and Intervention

Norman

Katie Bradshaw Campbell

Interests: Early Childhood Development Occupation: Home Child Care Provider Phone: 405-364-6663 Norman, OK 73069 109 Crawford Ct.

Jane Spake Goble

and Education

Interests: Community Volunteerism for Occupation: Graduate Student Phone: 405-292-0389 Phone E-mail: JaneGoble@aol.com

3700 N. Walker

Chris Foss

Oklahoma City

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73112 3332 N. Meridian Marty Bacher

Phone: 405-946-6753 Fax: 405-946-8603

Interests: Youth Development E-mail: martyb36@aol.com Occupation: Pastor

Brent Simpson 201 NE 50th

Terri Burlison 501 N. Classen

Occupation: Director of Home and Community Based Services, Youth Oklahoma City, OK 73105-1811 E-mail: ALABRENT@aol.com Phone: 405-235-7537 Fax: 405-528-5754 Occupation: Case Manager/Family Hope Interests: Teen Pregnancy Prevention Associated Catholic Charities Oklahoma City, OK 73106 Phone: 405-523-3028 Fax: 405-523-3030

Interests: Children's Mental Health Issues Youth and Family Counseling Homebased Services Early Childhood Development

16301 S. Western

Doug Cherry

Sjonna Whitsitt 201 NE 50th St. Oklahoma City, OK 73170 Phone: 405-691-7781

Oklahoma City, OK 73105-1811 E-mail: wlscnslr@aol.com Phone: 405-235-7537 Fax: 405-528-5754 Occupation: Director, Baptist Advocates Interests: Church-based Programs E-mail: Cherryd@obhc.org Fax: 405-691-6015 for Prevention for Children

Safe Place, Volunteers, and 24 Hour Relay for Youth Services Occupation: Director: of Oklahoma County Interests: Media Child Abuse/Neglect Intervention

Shawnee Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118

Shawnee, OK 74804 Phone: 405-275-3391 Fax: 405-275-5132 Robyn Bourland 1010 E. 45th St.

Creating Opportunities for Inner-City

Interests: Youth Development

Occupation: Youth Director

Phone: 405-525-6551

Fax: 405-525-6562

Gateway to Prevention and Recovery-Occupation: Prevention Coordinator, Area Prevention Resource Center Interests: Drug Abuse Prevention E-mail: Gateway@ionet.com HIV/AIDS Training Conflict Resolution Life Skills Teaching

Amber Shelton

Yukon

Yukon, Oklahoma 73099 400 Castle Rock Road Phone: 405-236-5437 Occupation: Student,

Yukon High School

Interests: Early Childhood Development

Services of Oklahoma County

Southwestern Oklahoma

Anadarko

Lesley Green Rt. 2, Box 1C

Anadarko, Oklahoma 73005 Phone: 405-247-4050 Fax: 405-247-4025

Occupation: Child Welfare Specialist, Caddo County, DHS Interest: Improving the Quality of Life

for Children

Lawton

Safety and Security of Children

lenny Breeden

Lawton, Oklahoma 73505 908 Beacontree Place

Phone: 580-581-2792 Fax: 580-581-2590

Health Education Outreach Center, Occupation: Programs Coordinator, E-mail: Jennyb@Cameron.edu Cameron University

Early Childhood Development Child Abuse and Neglect Interests: Foster Care Child Watch

3820 NW Euclid Avenue Anne Jones-Gray

Lawton, Oklahoma 73505 E-mail: Lfelter@sirinet.net Phone: 580-353-7744 Fax: 580-248-0659

Interests: Early Childhood Development Youth and Family Services Head Start Partnership Coordinator, Marie Detty Occupation: Family/Community Child Warch

Randi Murdock

Lawton, Oklahoma 73505 Phone: 580-536-3846 7640 Folkstone Way

Occupation: Community Volunteer Interests: Early Childhood Brain E-mail: rmurdock@sirinet.net

Child Watch Research



# Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leadership Alumni

to be an integral part of the Oklahoma KIDS After their initial period of service of one year, KIDS COUNT Leadership Alumni continue COUNT Partnership.

## Northeastern Oklahoma

Ponca City	Christy Gonterman	Americorps Leader Phone: 580-765-2476	
Jenks	Peggy Lisenbee Parent Educator for	Parents As Teachers Program Phone: 918-299-7228	

## Porter Miami

Sue Anne Holder Community Volunteer	Shirley Glenn Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Prog
Phone: 918-540-2528	Coordinator
	Phone: 918-245-2541, ext. 441

use Program

## Stillwater

Pawnee

oBeth Spears	OSU Graduate Student	Phone: 918-762-2777	E-mail: spearsj@email.ok.state.edu
JoBeth	OSO	Phone:	E-mail:

Community Volunteer

Barbara Gish

Phone: 405-372-3271

### Community Volunteer Phone: 405-377-7879 Peggy McCormick

죵

### Counselor for Therapeutic Kathy J. Coorpender Foster Care Children

Assistant District Attorney Phone: 918-744-0962 Phone: 918-596-4879 Kim Hall

## Arthetta Pouncil

Fax: 918-596-4830 (call first)

El Reno

Child Welfare Specialist, DHS Phone: 918-581-2856 Fax: 918-581-2074

## Karen Rhoads

Phone: 918-621-5989 Case Manager, DHS Fax: 918-627-1341

## Stacey Rogers

Tulsa Area Prevention Resource Center Prevention/Intervention Consultant, E-mail: puglover2@juno.com Phone: 918-585-2772 Fax: 918-582-8938

Phone: 405-366-3748

Marcia Coffman

 $\frac{2}{2}$ 

Fax: 405-366-3746

## Linda Watts

Emergency Infant Services Phone: 918-743-1410 Fax: 918-582-0628 Executive Director

School Counselor, Washington

Phone: 405-366-5984

Elementary

Fax: 405-366-5960

Sarah Ann Redwine

Phone: 405-447-3900

Attorney-at-Law

#### Vinita

### Vinita Area Chamber of Commerce Elizabeth Nottingham Phone: 918-256-7133 Executive Director

Fax: 918-256-8261

## Central Oklahoma

## Director, Family Support Services, Associated Catholic Charities Phone: 405-523-3012

ulia Davis Reed, LCSW

E-mail: jdreed.okc@worldnet.att.net Fax: 405-523-3030

Youth Services of Oklahoma County

Sherice Farha

Edmond

Phone: 405-235-7537

Sheila Griffin

### Clinical Social Worker Laura Rhodes

Phone: 405-329-4108

## Denise Squier

Central Oklahoma Chapter President, Hear My Voice

Phone: 405-348-5224

Oklahoma Committee to Prevent Healthy Families Coordinator, Child Abuse

## Phone: 405-525-0688 Fax: 405-525-0698

Counselor/Coordinator for Displaced

Beth Kouba

Phone: 405-422-2270

Homemakers

Fax: 405-422-2354

Norman

E-mail: ocpca@juno.com

## Ray Walters

Phone: 405-321-6446 Fax: 405-360-4918 Preventionist

## Oklahoma City

Jana Armstrong Pediatrician

## Phone: 405-686-1875

Melissa McLawhorn

Phone: 405-691-5080 Attorney-at-Law Gloria Bates

Fax: 405-691-6329 Mary Boren

## Attorney-at-Law

E-mail: mary\_boren@yahoo.com Phone: 405-364-6100 Fax: 405-364-6393

# Directory of KIDS COUNT Leadership Alumni

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 1999

Ted Johnson	Software Technician	Phone: 405-787-2959		Priscilla Metoyer	Counselor, Capitol Hill Elementary	Phone: 405-235-3531	Maira Moreno-Hoetker	Community Volunteer	Phone: 405-359-6194	Keith Pirtle	Planner, Oklahoma Commission	on Children and Youth	Phone: 405-522-4708	Fax: 405-524-0417	· E-mail: Kpirtle@oklaosf.state.ok.us		Casey Reed	Youth Services Coordinator, Hope	Community Services	Phone: 405-632-1900	Fax: 405-632-1976		Rhonda Stevenson	Parent Facilitator, Even Start	Phone: 405-235-0801		Marcy Wiggins	Secretary to the Director	of Student Life,
OIS Peggy C. Byerly	Coordinator of Healthy Child Care	Oklahoma	Phone: 405-271-4471	Fax: 405-271-6199	E-mail: PEGGYCB@health.state.ok.us	Vera J. Ford	Executive Director of Lend-A-Hand	Phone: 405-235-9812	Fax: 405-236-8383	Patty Grotta	Attorney/Judicial Assistant	Phone: 405-522-3135	Fax: 405-521-4980	E-mail: P.Grotta@mail.OCCA.state.ok.us		Denise Johnson Hole	Community Volunteer	Phone: 405-236-5437		Mary Holter	Pediatric Nurse Practitioner/Pediatric	Consultant,	Oklahoma State Department of Health	Phone: 405-271-4471	Fax: 405-271-6199	E-mail: MaryH@health.state.ok.us		Lou Huff	Social Worker,

~	S	٥	U	Ь	ш
•	Lou Huff	Social Worker,	Preferred Hospice	Phone: 405-235-7674	

Social Worker,	of Student Life,
Preferred Hospice	Oklahoma City Community College
Phone: 405-235-7674	Phone: 405-682-1611, ext. 7792
	Fax: 405-682-7597
Annette Jacobi	E-mail: mwiggins@okc.cc.ok.us
Director, Children First,	
Oklahoma State Department of Health	George Young
Phone: 405-271-4477	Pastor, Antioch Baptist Church
Fax: 405-271-1011	Phone: 405-272-6220

Enid	Mary Jac Rauh	Assistant Director, Rura Phone: 580-234-6075	Fax: 580-237-3839	Debi Shire	YWCA Crisis Center	Phone: 580-234-7581	Fax: 580-234-1284
Thelma Young Community Volunteer Phone: 405-755-1722	Fax: 405-879-0098	Shawnee	Susan C. Morris	Executive Director,	Youth & Family Resource Center	Phone: 405-275-3340	Fax: 405-275-3343

Kristen L. Corrales

Director, Rural Health Project

Lawton

•	
Katie Morris Henson	Hydro
Alternative Education School Counselor	
Phone: 405-273-0383	Coleen Buckmaster
Fax: 405-878-1046	Prevention Specialist, No
E-mail: bthor@hotmail.com	Behavioral Health, Inc.
	Phone: 580-323-6021

Amy Holley	Community Volunteer	Phone (wk): 405-341-2980, ext. 5788

Child Welfare Supervisor,

Elaine Bellamy

Fort Cobb

Phone: 405-247-7301 Caddo County DHS

Fax: 405-247-7227

ı Oklahoma		
Northwestern	Clinton	

Hobart

Cammy McDaugale	Prevention Information Specialist,	New Horizons	Behavioral Health, Inc.	Phone: 580-323-6021	Fax: 580-323-9375	
Сап	Preve	New	Beha	Phor	Fax:	

S.W. Regional Maternal

Phone: 580-726-6611

and Child Health

Fax: 580-726-3384

Perinatal Coordinator,

Karen C. Coakley

	Black Beaver District of the Last
	Frontier Council,
oleen Buckmaster	Boy Scouts of America
evention Specialist, New Horizons	Phone: 580-357-3633
havioral Health, Inc.	Fax: 580-357-3635

Southwestern Oklahoma

E-mail: mbose@iamerica.net

Fax: 580-323-9375

Yukon



# ST COPY AVAILABLE



Factbook 1999

published by



420 Northwest 13th Street, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103

phone: 405-236-KIDS (5437) fax: 405-236-KIDX (5439) www.oica.org





#### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



#### **NOTICE**

#### **Reproduction Basis**

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

EFF-089 (3/2000)

